



Assessment of Incidence of Chilli Leaf Curl Virus, Role of Environment and Disease Management

Alka Sirawata^a and Shraddha Karcho^{b++*}

^a College of Agriculture, Tikamgarh, J.N.K.V.V., Jabalpur, India.

^b Department of Plant Pathology, CoA, Tikamgarh, M.P., India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i82062

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/100524>

Original Research Article

Received: 26/03/2023

Accepted: 30/05/2023

Published: 09/06/2023

ABSTRACT

The present study "Assessment of incidence of chilli leaf curl virus, role of environment and its management" was carried out at Chilli LCV pone are of Bundelkhand region at Tikamagarh location of Madhya Pradesh. Among diseases affecting chilli crop, leaf curl virus is one of the major threat. In experiment, 10 chilli cultivars namely ZH-927, Gypsy, Pusa Jawala, Surya Pariksha, Surya-31, Meenam-(074), Mahy-Yasash, Disha, Pride and Arka Harita were screened under open field conditions for leaf curl virus. Six insecticides viz., Methyle dematon 25 EC @ 0.025%, Imidacloprid 17.8 SL @ 0.003%, Acetamaprid 20 SL @ 0.025%, Diamethoate 30 EC @ 0.5%, Thiamethoxam 70 WG@ 0.005% and Neem seed kernel extract @ 5% were tested against disease. In screening among all cultivars, ZH-927 was identified as resistant whereas Surya Pariksha, Disha and Arka Harita showed susceptible reaction. In case of management of leaf curl virus vector, Imidacloprid and Acetamaprid were found effective in inhibiting the leaf curl disease incidence followed by Thiomethoxam, NSKE, Methyl demeton and dimethoate. Temperature was found positive correlation with disease incidence. As the temperature increases, there is a corresponding increase

⁺⁺ Assistant Professor;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: shraddha.karcho@gmail.com;

in the incidence of the disease. Relative humidity and rainfall showed negative correlation with disease incidence as relative humidity and precipitation increased the disease incidence will decrease.

Keywords: *Chilli; leaf curl virus; imidacloprid; temperature; resistance.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.) is an important commercial and spice crop belonging to the family *Solanaceae* and is a native to South America (Mexico) where it was cultivated around 6000 years ago and was brought to Asia during the 16th century by Portuguese navigators. Chilli can be grown best at temperature ranges from 20–30°C but its growth and yield suffer when temperature exceeds 35°C or drops below 15°C for extended periods [1].

Chilli crop is infected by several fungi, bacteria, viruses and nematodes which cause severe yield losses. Among these factors leaf curl virus is a major threat in chilli production, in case of severe infections, crop losses may reach up to 70 - 95% resulting in total crop failure [2]. Chilli Leaf Curl Virus (genus *Begmoviruse*; Family Geminiviridae) which has circular single stranded DNA genome with associated beta satellites [3] and transmitted by whitefly (*Bemesia tabaci*) in persistent - circulative manner. Main symptoms of disease include vein clearing, curling and puckering of leaves, stunting of plants, fruits remain small [4]. The severity of disease depends on the population of whitefly that can rapidly disseminate viruses in field even when population is not appreciable, and causes severe crop loss in susceptible plantings. The population dynamics of whitefly varies depends on seasons of the year because it is greatly influenced by atmospheric humidity, temperature and total rainfall [5]. In Bundelkhand zone the severity of

the leaf curl virus increase since last couple of years which make heavy loss of farmers and also the management of LCV is needful to overcome this disease. In keeping of this fact under consideration the present study was carried out to evaluate diseases resistant cultivar along with its management.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out during *Rabi* season at Research field of college of Agriculture Tikamgarh, JNKVV, (M.P.). 10 chilli cultivars viz. ZH-927, Pusa Jawala, Surya Pariksha, Surya -31, MEENAM-(074), Mahy-Yasash, Disha, Pride, Arka Harita with check Gypsy cultivar were sown in nursery bed and transplanted in the main field 24 to 30 days after sowing to test the resistance of chilli genotypes against chilli leaf curl disease.

The experiment was carried out in randomized block design with three replications. Screening was done based on the rating scale 0-5 developed by Banerjee and Kalloo [6].

A total of six insecticides viz., Methyle dematon 25 EC @ 0.025%, Imidacloprid 17.8 SL @ 0.003%, Acetamaprid 20 SL @ 0.025%, Diamethoate 30 EC @ 0.5%, Thiamethoxam 70 WG@ 0.005% and Neem seed kernel extract @ 5% were tested against disease. Disease incidence and disease recovery percentage was calculated with the help of following formula given by Vincent [7].

Chart 1. Description of reaction

Rating	Reaction Description
0	Shows no symptoms appearance
1	5% curling and clearing of upper leaves
2	6-25% curling, clearing of leaves and swelling of veins
3	26-50% curling, puckering and yellowing of leaves and swelling of veins
4	51-75% curling, stunted plant growth and blistering of internodes
5	More than 75% curling and deformed small leaves, stunted plant growth with small or no fruit set.

$$\text{Disease Incidence (\%)} = \frac{\text{Number of infected plant per plot}}{\text{Total number of plant per plot}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Disease Recovery (\%)} = \frac{\% \text{ Disease in untreated plot} - \% \text{ Disease in Treatment}}{\% \text{ Disease in Treatment}} \times 100$$

The seasonal disease incidence of chilli leaf curl with abiotic factors was correlated on the basis of following formula:

$$\text{Correlation coefficient (r)} = \frac{\sum x_i y_i - nxy}{\sqrt{(\sum x_i^2 - nx^2)(\sum y_i^2 - ny^2)}}$$

Where,

r = Correlation coefficient, $\sum xy$ = Sum of product of both variable x and y $\sum x$ = Sum of variables x, $\sum x^2$ = Sum of square of variable x, $\sum y^2$ = Sum of square of variable y, n = Total no. of observations.

After correlating significant and non-significant findings, t-test value (n-2) degrees of freedom were calculated.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data presented in the Table 1 shows that, lowest severity percentage of leaf curl disease was recorded in the plot planted with chilli cultivar ZH-927 with 24.30 percent followed by Gypsy (38.69%), Surya 31 (44.93%) and Meenam-074 (46.49%) respectively. As per the rating scale an examination of data presented in Table 1 indicate that, cultivars viz. Surya pariksha, Disha and Arka-Harita were found as susceptible against leaf curl disease whereas moderate susceptible reactions were recorded for Meenam-074, Mahy yasash (hybrid) and Surya 31.

Screening results are very indicative and it was clear that, those cultivar had susceptible for chilli

leaf curl virus must not be used for cultivation by farmers. Muhammad et al. [8] had earlier screened fourteen different chilli lines / varieties for their resistance/susceptibility towards Chilli leaf curl in open field trials. Similarly, Srivastava et. al. [9] recorded at the end of four seasons of screening trial, three lines namely DLS-Sel-10, WBC-Sel-5 and PBC-142 showed resistance against leaf curl disease. Mali et al. [10] also identified two resistant cultivars namely HC-28 and HC-44 under field conditions. The similar findings were reported by Thakur et al. [11], Magsi et.al. [12] and Gomez et al. [13].

In contrast to fungicide treatments, obtained data was represented in Table 2. The findings of this study mentioned that, the lowest incidence of 33.33% was recorded in plot treated with Imidacloprid followed by Acetamaprid (37.50%) and Thiomethoxam (39.72%). The data show that the highest percentage of disease incidence (61.46%) was recorded in untreated (control) plot. Among the all management practices, the highest disease reduction percentage of chilli leaf curl virus was recorded in plot treated with Imidacloprid (45.76%) followed by Acetamaprid (38.98%), Thiomethoxam (35.37%), NSKE (31.93%), methyl demeton (29.82%) and Dimethoate, (26.50%).

Table 1. Screening of chilli cultivars against chilli leaf curl virus under field condition

S. No.	Name of Cultivars	Grade (0-5)	Disease reactions
1.	ZH-927	2	R
2.	Gypsy (F1 hybrid)	3	MS
3.	Pusa jawala	5	HS
4.	Surya pariksha	4	S
5.	Surya 31	3	MS
6.	MEENAM- 074	3	MS
7.	Mahy-yasash	3	MS
8.	Disha	4	S
9.	Pride	5	HS
10.	Arka-Harita	4	S

Table 2. Effect of chemical treatments on chilli leaf curl disease

S. No.	Name of treatments	Disease Incidence (%)	Disease Recovery (%)	Yield (qha ⁻¹)
1.	Methyl demeton 25EC	43.13	29.82	32.66
2.	Imidacloprid 17.8SL	33.33	45.76	47.11
3.	Acetamaprid 20SL	37.50	38.98	43.33
4.	Diamethoate 30EC	45.17	26.50	27.33
5.	Thiomethoxam 70WG	39.72	35.37	40.55
6.	Neem seed kernel extract	41.83	31.93	39.44
7.	Control	61.46	-	16.24
	SEM±	1.41	-	2.90
	CD at 5%	8.71	-	8.44
	CV	13.87	-	14.25

In contrast to yield data, the highest yield was observed under the plot treated with Imidacloprid (47.11 qha⁻¹) and moderate yield 43.33 qha⁻¹ obtained from plot treated with Acetamaprid followed by Thiomethoxam (40.55 qha⁻¹), NSKE (39.44 qha⁻¹), Methyl Demeton (32.66 qha⁻¹), the least yield was obtained from the plot treated with Diamethoate (27.33 qha⁻¹) over control. The lowest yield was obtained from the untreated plot (control) (16.24 qha⁻¹). Panday et al. [14] reported that the plant seed extract as Neem Seed kernel extract (5%) was found to be most effective for reducing chilli leaf curl disease incidence (60%) while in chemical insecticides Imidacloprid 17.8 SL (0.003%) gave the highest per cent reduction in disease incidence of leaf curl (78.95%) over control. Similar results were also obtained by Naveed et al. [15]. Kumar et al. [16] evaluated efficacy of different newer insecticides viz., thiamethoxam 25 WG, imidacloprid 17.8 SL, acephate 20 SP, fipronil 5 SC, thiacloprid 240 SC and one conventional insecticide i.e. dimethoate 30 EC against *Bemisia tabaci* and revealed that thiamethoxam 25 WG @ 100g/ha was found most effective insecticide in reducing the population of whitefly.

From Table 3 it was clearly understood, the disease incidence in check cultivar Gypsy was significantly affected by environmental factors. The first leaf curl disease symptoms were observed from first week of January and the disease incidence was recorded as 14.17 percent which is increased highly up to 92.50 percent at end of observation. Notably the disease incidence was raised in 2nd and 3rd week, then reduced on 4th week and slightly increase in 5th week which again decrease from 6th to 8th week in the week 9 the disease

incidence rate was suddenly increase from 3.33 to 11.67 percent and again reduced from 10th to 12th week with 5.83, 7.5 and 3.33 respectively, which again suddenly increased from 3.33 to 11.67 % on 13 week and 11.67 to 11.23 % on 20 week, whereas lowest increasing rate was found in 7 week with 0.83 percent.

It was evident from Table 3, there is a significant positive correlation of temperature either minimum or maximum indicated by r-value r=0.94 and r=0.95 respectively. The maximum disease incidence was observed between 21.8 °C to 40.9 °C whereas lowest incidence was observed between 6.0 °C to 24.6 °C these data shows that, the incidence was increased along with temperature increase. The incidence was drastically decreased when rainfall occurred 2.2 mm on 4th week, 1.0 mm on 6th week and 3.2 mm on 7th week respectively.

Thus, these experiments clearly revealed that the disease incidence was directly influenced by the environmental factors influence the vector populations since it has ben vector transmitted begmovirus. Similar study was carried out by Naveed et al. [15] in the correlation between TLCV incidence on different lines/varieties and the environmental factors (temperature, relative humidity and rainfall). Furthermore, he explained that the whitefly population was also correlated with the environmental factors. His finding strongly supported that there is a strong positive and significant interaction in case of maximum and minimum temperature (8°C-32°C) and TLCV incidence but negative and significant interaction was observed in case of relative humidity and rainfall.

Table 3. Effects of environmental factors on chilli leaf curl incidence

Standard weeks	Relative Humidity (%)	Rainfall (in mm)	Min Temp (°C)	Max. Temp (°C)	Disease incidence	Disease increase (%)
1	69.0	0.00	6.4	24.0	14.17	14.17
2	74.0	0.00	5.2	20.8	17.50	3.33
3	72.0	0.00	5.8	24.8	23.33	5.83
4	79.0	2.20	9.4	21.5	27.50	4.17
5	68.0	0.00	6.6	22.4	32.50	5.00
6	68.0	1.00	6.4	24.1	35.83	3.33
7	70.0	3.20	5.9	24.8	36.67	0.84
8	60.0	0.00	12.1	25.1	40.00	3.33
9	59.0	0.00	10.7	27.9	51.67	11.67
10	61.0	0.00	14.1	32.8	57.50	5.83
11	56.0	0.00	13.8	32.8	65.00	7.50
12	44.0	0.00	16.0	36.5	68.33	3.33
13	44.0	0.00	17.8	39.5	80.00	11.67
14	37.0	0.00	21.8	40.9	91.23	11.23
Correl.	-0.93	-0.25	0.94	0.95	-	-
t table	2.178	2.178	2.178	2.178	-	-
t cal.	-9.544	-0.932	9.544	10.539	-	-



Fig. 1. Screening of chilli for leaf curl virus



Fig. 2. General view of experimental trial

4. CONCLUSION

Chilli leaf curl disease is an important disease of chilli caused by chilli leaf curl virus and cause crop loss up to 70 – 95 % worldwide. The screening of 10 cultivars of chilli against chilli leaf curl virus, only one cultivar ZH – 927 was found to-be resistant and remaining all are moderate to highly susceptible. In systemic insecticides spray all the insecticide were significantly effective in controlling the disease incidence of chilli leaf curl virus over control. The least percent incidence and highest yield was recorded for Imidacloprid, Which was significantly superior over all other treatments. The environmental factors like maximum and minimum temperature were found as significantly positive correlated with disease incidence, relative humidity and rainfall was negatively correlated with disease incidence.

CONFERENCE DISCLAIMER

Some part of this manuscript was previously presented in the conference: 3rd International Conference IAAHAS-2023 "Innovative Approaches in Agriculture, Horticulture & Allied Sciences" on March 29-31, 2023 in SGT University, Gurugram, India. Web Link of the proceeding: <https://wikifarmer.com/event/iaahas-2023-innovative-approaches-in-agriculture-horticulture-allied-sciences/>

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Chaubey AN, Mishra RS. Environmental factor influencing the population of whitefly and leaf curl disease incidence in chilli. *Journal of Environmental Biology*. 2018; 39:216-220.
2. Kumar S, Kumar S, Singh M, Singh AK, Rai M. Identification of host plant resistance to pepper leaf curl virus in chilli (*Capsicum species*). *Scientia Horticulturae*. 2006;110:359 – 361.
3. Fauquet CM, Stanley J. Geminivirus classification and nomenclature: progress and problems. *Ann. Appl. Biol*. 2003; 142:165–189.
4. Senanayake DMJB, Varma A, Mandal B. Virus–vector Relationships, host range, detection and sequence comparison of chilli leaf curl virus associated with an epidemic of leaf curl disease of chilli in Jodhpur, India. *Journal of Phytopathology*. 2012;160.
5. Horowitz AR, Podoler H and Gerling D. Life table analysis of the tobacco whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) in cotton fields in Israel. *Acta Oecologica-Oecologia Applicata*. 1984;5:221233.
6. Banerjee MK, Kalloo. Sources and inheritance of resistance to leaf curl virus in *Lycopersicon*. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*. 1987;73:707–710.
7. Vincent JM. Distortion of fungal hyphae in the presence of certain inhibition. *Nature*. 1927;159:850.
8. Muhammad S, Hussain, Khalid N, Muhammad A. 2017. Susceptibility of chilli lines / varieties towards chilli leaf curl virus and its management through vector control. *Pakistan Journal of Phytopathology*. 2006;29(1):17- 22.
9. Srivastava A, Mangal M, Saritha RK, Kalia P. Screening of chilli pepper (*Capsicum spp.*) lines for resistance to the begomoviruses causing chilli leaf curl disease in India. *Crop Protection*. 2017; 100:177-185.
10. Mali PC, Kumar A, Verma SK. Screening of chilli cultivar against leaf curl disease and their biochemical components. *Annals of Arid Zone*. 2006;45(1):63-66.
11. Thakur H, Jindal SK, Sharma A, Dhaliwal MS. A monogenic dominant resistance for leaf curl virus disease in chilli pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *Crop Protection*. 2019;116:115-120.
12. Magsi FH, Lashari KH, Chandio MA, Bhatto ZA, Channa NA, Junejo A A, Soomro A A, Lashari SH, Mangi S. Effectiveness of different synthetic insecticides against *Bemisia tabaci* (genn) on tomato crop. *International Journal of Fauna and Biological Studies*. 2017; 4(3):06-09.
13. Gomez P, Hernandez AR, Moury B, Aranda M. Genetic resistance for the sustainable control of plant virus diseases, breeding, mechanisms and durability. *Eur. J. Pl. Pathol*. 2009;125(1):1-22.
14. Pandey SK, Mathur AC, Srivastava M. Management of leaf curl disease of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *International Journal of Virology*. 2010;6(4):246-250.
15. Naveed K, Imran M, Riaz A, Azeem M and Tahir MA. Impact of environmental factors on tomato leaf curl virus and Its management through plant extracts. *International Journal of African and Asian Studies* ISSN 2409-6938. 2015;11.

16. Kumar R, Kumar V, Kadiri S, Palicherla SR. Epidemiology and diagnosis of chilli leaf curl virus in Central India, a major chilli growing region. *Indian Phyto pathology*. 2017;69: 61-64.

© 2023 Sirawata and Karcho; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/100524>