

Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice

5(4): 136-142, 2022; Article no.AJMPCP.90531

Validity and Reliability of Revised Impact of Family Scale in Primary Care Giver of Cleft Lip and Cleft Palate Children in West Bengal, India the Hospital Context

Nupur Saha ^{a*ø}, Runalika Roy ^{b#}, Shabnam Zahir ^{a†}, Arnab Santra ^{a‡}, Mainak Das ^{a¥} and Siddartha Chatterjee ^{c+}

^a Department of Pediatric and Preventive Dentistry, Gurunank Institute of Dental Sciences and Research, Kolkata, India. ^b Bloomberg Initiative for Gobal Road Safety, Bengaluru, India. ^c ABMSS Comprehensive Cleft Care Centre, Kolkata, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/90531

Original Research Article

Received 15 June 2022 Accepted 04 August 2022 Published 06 August 2022

ABSTRACT

Aim: The aim of the study was to check the validity and reliability of revised impact of family scale (RIOFS) in Indian setting among Bengali population in parents or primary care giver of cleft lip and cleft palate children who were hospitalised for their treatment in comprehensive cleft care centre, Kolkata, West Bengal, India.

Materials and Methods: This study was conducted in a comprehensive cleft care centre which is located at Howrah, Kolkata. Original RIOFS (2019) questionnaire was the assessment tool in this study. The original questionnaire was sent to a bilingual teacher (English – Bengali) for translation into Bengali(Version-V1).V1 was sent to Bengali language teacher for semantic correction and

- [†]Head of the Department;
- [‡] Final yr PGT;
- ^{*} 1st Yr.PGT;

^{*w*} 2^{*nd*} Yr. PGT;

[#] Project Coordinator;

⁺ Cheif Surgeon;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: drnupursahadas@gmail.com;

Saha et al.; AJMPCP, 5(4): 136-142, 2022; Article no.AJMPCP.90531

idiosyncratic variants (Version -2).In collaboration with other social and psychiatric professional,V2 was compared to the final version of Bengali. The investigator one used the final scale which was filled by 32 parents/primary care giver of cleft lip and cleft palate children who were admitted to a comprehensive cleft care centre in West Bengal for their treatment. Investigator two was used the same scale in same population within 15 days of administrating the previous one. Data are tabulated and analysed and statistical method was used to calculate the content validity ratio, inter-observer reliability (ICC) and Cronbach's alpha.

Results: Content Validity Ratio (CVR) was ranged from 0.8 - 1 which is within the acceptable limit. The overall intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) measures 0.844 during assessment of inter – observer reliability and of Cronbach's alpha was 0.771 which indicates that RIOFS has overall good validity and reliability measures.

Conclusion: RIOFS (2019) is very simple and valid scale which can be used with respect to the Bengali population as the finding support the psychometric properties of questionnaire.

Keywords: Revised impact of family scale; impact of family scale; cleft lip and palate children; cleft lip and/palate parent; validity; reliability.

1. INTRODUCTION

Cleft lip and cleft palate are morphological alterations that cause functional problems with psychological implications in the individual's life and wellbeing. Now a days, comprehensive Cleft Care is a benchmark of the of cleft care treatment [1]. This Comprehensive managements Cleft Care including the feeding advice to parents just after the birth of feeding intervention, baby. parental counselling, and primary surgery to speech rehabilitation and orthodontic treatment of cleft lip and cleft palate child from their infancy to early adulthood [2]. This treatment need frequent hospitalization of child and mother/primary

care giver in different time for surgery and fallow up.

Functional limitations and long-time hospitalization needed for cleft care treatment which might be affect their family environment. as caregivers usually bear important and multidimensional responsibilities over the time. The effective management of these problems along with the care of their child giving them a big challenge and affecting their physical and psychological health, economical status, that might be compromised whole family function. Thus, Quality of life of these parents influenced by their child treatment. The Quality of Life is an important auxiliary measure for clinical indicators to assess the family impact of this infants [3].

Table 1. (English Version of RIOFS questinniare)

- 1 Our family gives up things because of my child's illness.
- 2 People in the neighborhood treat us specially because of my child's illness
- 3 We see family and friends less because of the illness
- 4 I don't have much time left over for other family members after caring for my child
- 5 We have little desire to go out because of my child's illness
- 6 Beacause of the iliness, we are not able to travel out of the city.
- 7 Sometimes we have to change plans about going out at the last minute because of my child's state
- 8 Sometimes I wonder whether my child should be treated "specially" or the same as a normal child
- 9 I think about not having more children because of the illness.
- 10 Nobody understands the burden I carry.
- 11 Traveling to the hospital is a strain on me.
- 12 Sometimes I feel like we live on a roller coaster : in crisis when my child is acutely ill. OK when things are stable
- 13 It is hard to find a reliable person to take care of my child.
- 14 I live from day to day and don't plan for the future
- 15 Fatigue is a problem for me because of my child's illness.

For each item an answer of four choices should be considered. "1. Strongly agree; 2. Agree; 3 Disagree; 4. Strongly disagree" The Impact on Family Scale (IOFS) is an instrument originally designed to assess family burden in the paediatric ambulatory care context. The actual version of it consisted in 27 items grouped in four factors (financial, familial/social, personal strain and mastery). But, a "Revised of the IOFS" (RIOFS-Table version 1) considering only 15 items has shown better psychometric properties than the original IOFS, and it used as a shorter, representative and more reliable single factor solution scale. RIOFS have been developed in different versions like Turkey, Germany, Brazil and France [3].

The purpose of this study is to measure reliability and content validity of RIOFS scale in Indian setting among Bengali population by parents having cleft lip and/ palate child and coming for hospital treatment for their child in comprehensive cleft care centre Kolkata.

2. METHODOLOGY

I) Questionnaire – RIOFS questionnaire is used which is validated Turkey, Germany, Brazil and France. In recent 2019 Jalil et al. [3] did RIOFS Spanish validation. English version of RIOFS included 15 item scales. It is a Likert Scale questionnaire which value each question 1 (strongly agree), 2 (agree), 3 (disagree), 4 (strongly disagree). Original English version of the RIOFS (Table 1).

II) Study Setting, Study subjects and Study Duration

This validation study was conducted in ABMSS comprehensive cleft care centre, Howrah West Bengal. Data was collected from either parent of cleft lip and /palate children coming at ABMSS comprehensive cleft care centre Kolkata for their child treatment in the month of February and March 2022.All the parents of cleft children coming in these time syndromic and non – syndromic were included in this study. RIOFS questionnaire was given to 32 parents by investigator one to be filled by parents and after 15 days investigator two was given same questionnaire to same parents to be filled again.

III) Procedure

Assessment of validity

Face and Content Validity were tested by administering the questionnaire to the domain experts (10 experts). The experts were provided

with a 4-point content validity assessment tool to assess the relevancy of the tool. At the same time, the original English version of the questionnaire was sent to a bilingual teacher (English-Bengali) for translation into Bengali (version1, V1). After that, V1 was given to a Bengali language teacher for any semantic correction and made idiosyncratic variants (version 2, V2). In collaboration with а researcher, social worker and other mental health care professionals. V2 was compared to the final version of Bengali. The scale was then back translated into the original (English) language. In this sense, the intention was to reduce the ambiguity and misinterpretation, to ensure a good adaptation to the Bengali population.

Assessment of reliability

Inter – observer reliability was tested to measure reliability. Data was collected from either parent of cleft lip and/or palate children coming at ABMSS comprehensive cleft care centre Kolkata for the treatment of their child in the month of February and March 2022.All the parents of cleft children who came during this period for both syndromic and non – syndromic were included in this study. Bengali version (V2) of the RIOFS questionnaire was given to 32 parents by a trained investigator to be filled by parents. Same questionnaire was administered by a second investigator to the same set of parents within 2 weeks of administering the questionnaire by the first investigator.

Internal Consistency

It is assessed by computing Cronbach's alpha for each item, sub scale and for entire study instrument after the data collection from all the study subjects. Cronbach's alpha was computed for each scale to assess internal consistency with 0.7 considered minimally acceptable.

2.1 Statistical Analysis

Data were tabulated and analyzed using the IBM SPSS version 26 and the statistical methods used were Content Validity Ratio, ICC and Cronbach's alpha. Content Validity Ratio (CVR) measures the essentiality of an item [4]. The formula of content validity ratio is CVR = (Ne - N/2) / (N/2), in which the Ne is the number of panellists indicating "essential" and N is the total number of panellists. The numeric value of content validity ratio is determined by Lawshe

Saha et al.; AJMPCP, 5(4): 1	36-142, 2022; Article no.AJMPCP.90531
------------------------------	---------------------------------------

Cronbach's alpha	Internal consistency
α ≥ 0.9	Excellent
0.9 > α ≥ 0.8	Good
0.8 > α ≥ 0.7	Acceptable
0.7 > α ≥ 0.6	Questionable
0.6 > α ≥ 0.5	Poor
0.5 > α	Unacceptable

Fig. 1. Internal consistency interpretation

content validty ratio Table. For validity ratio the number of experts, who rated 3 and 4 for any item, are considered as Ne, where 0.8 ratio are considered as acceptable [4].

Cronbach's alpha intensity was used to assess the internal consistency of the instrument. It is expressed as a number between 0 and 1 (Fig. 1). Internal consistency describes the extent to which all the items in a test measure a similar concept or construct [5]. If alpha is too high it may suggest that some items are expendable as they are testing the same question but in a different guise. A maximum alpha value of 0.90 has been recommended [6].

The Interclass correlation coefficient (ICC) was used to assess Inter – observer reliability. The ICC is a value measures between 0 and 1, where values below 0.5 indicate poor reliability, between 0.5 and 0.75 moderate reliability, between 0.75 and 0.9good reliability, and any value above 0.9 indicates excellent reliability. Spearman's rho was also calculated to measure overall correlation of items during the two investigators. These results were used to evaluate the psychometric properties of the questionnaire and to confirm that each item directly measured what it intended.

3. RESULTS

I) Content validity

Results of the Content Validity Assessment are presented in (Fig. 2). All the item of RIOFS

questionnaire shows CVR from 0.8 to 1. Item 2,3,4,7,9,14,15 have CVR value of 0.8 and Item 1,5,6,8,10,11,12,13 have CVR value of 1(Fig. 2).For a number of 10 experts, CVR value of 0.8 is considered acceptable [4]. Hence, the RIOFS questionnaire elicited overall acceptable content validity.

II) Inter – observer reliability

All the item of RIOFS has ICC value range from 0.35 to 1 in two different group. ICCs were classified as follows: 'excellent' (≥ .81), 'good' (.61 - .80), 'moderate' (.41 - .60), 'poor' (\leq .40) [7]. Item no 6 (because the illness we are not travel out of the city)and 7 (sometimes we have to changed the plan for going out at last minute because of child illness) scored 0.645 and 0.626 which interpreted moderate reliability. Item no 5 (We have little desire to go out because of my child illness) and item no 13(it is hard to found a reliable person to take care of my child) also scored less 0.674 and 0.664 interpreted moderate reliability (Table .However, overall ICC value for the 2) questionnaire measured 0.84 which indicates that RIOFS (V2) questionnaire is reliable. Spearman's rho was measured as 0.815 which depicts good positive correlation in inter observer reliability.

The internal consistency was measured using Cronbach's and the value was 0.778, (Table 3) which indicates that the questionnaire is internally consistent.



Fig. 2. Content validity ratio

	Inter-class (Corelation) Coefficient Values (95% CI)	tion) 95%Cl (95% Cl)				
Item 1	1	1.000	1.000			
Item 2	0.892 (0.787 – 0.946)					
Item 3	0.854	0.718	0.927			
Item 4	0.83	0.677	0.914			
Item 5	0.674	0.424	0.828			
Item 6	0.645	0.381	0.811			
ltem 7	0.626	0.354	0.800			
Item 8	1	1.000	1.000			
Item 9	0.769	0.574	0.882			
Item 10	1	1.000	1.000			
Item 11	1	1.000	1.000			
Item 12	1	1.000	1.000			
Item 13	0.664	0.410	0.823			
Item 14	0.783	0.596	0.889			
Item 15	1	1.000	1.000			
Total	0.844	0.701	0.921			
P = 0.000						

Table	2.	ICC	value
-------	----	-----	-------

Table 3. Cronbach's alpha value

	Reliability Statistics	
Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
0.778	0.778	15

माचात	I SIN	দূঢভাবে একমত (।)	একমত (2)	ना(3)	দৃঢভাবে লা (4)					
1.	আমাদের পরিবার আমার সন্তালের অসুহুতার কারণে অনেক কিদু দেড়ে দেয়	328	1.25			10.	আমার পরিশ্বিতি কেউ বুঝতে পারে না	10.64		
2.	আশেপাশের লোকেরা আমাদের সাখে আমার সন্তানের জন্য বিশেষভাবে আচরণ করে					41.	হাসপাতালে যাওয়া হচ্ছে আমার জন্য মালসিক চাপ	Sold Pre	12 20.59	
3.	আমার বান্চা অণুস্বতার কারণে আমরা পরিবার ও বন্ধুদের সাথে কম দেখা করি					12.	কথনও কথনও আমি মলে করি আমরা রোলার কোস্টারে থাকি: সঙ্কটে			
4.	আমি আমার সন্তানের শঙ্গ নেওয়ার গরে গরিবারের অন্যান্য সদস্যদের জন্য থুব বেশি সময় দিতে গারি না।						যথন আমার বাষ্চা গুরুতর অসুস্থ হয়। স্বাভাবিক যথন জিনিস স্বিতিশীল হয			
5.	আমাদের সম্ভালের অসুহুতার কারণে আমাদের বাইরে যাওয়ার থুব ইচ্ছা লেই					13.	আমার সন্তানের শঙ্গ লেওযার জন্য কোলও			
	আমার বাদ্চা অসুস্থতার কারণে আমরা শহরের বাইরে দিকে ভ্রমণ করতে পারছি লা।						নির্ভরযোগ্য ব্যক্তি খুঁজে পাওয়া শক্ত			
2	কখনও কখনও আমাদের দন্তানের অবস্থার কারণে আমাদের শেষ মুহর্তে বাইরে যাওয়ার পরিকঘনা ারিবর্তন করতে হয়					14.	আমি দিনের পর দিন বাঁচি এবং ভবিষ্যতের জন্য পরিকল্পনা করি না।			
ৰ ভ শ স ক	ম্মনও কথনও আমি চাবছি যে আমার সম্ভালের বিশেষ" বা সাধারণ দ্রানের মতোই আচরণ রা উচিত।					15.	আমার সন্তানের অসুস্থতার কারণে কখনত কখনত	alest a		
্যা অ বি	ই শিশু অসুস্বতার কারণে মি অন্য সম্ভান নেওয়ার স্বয়ে চিয়া করি না ।	S. M.K.					আমি ক্লান্টি অনুভব করি			

Table 4. Bengali version of revised impact of family life scale

4. DISCUSSION

"Validity and reliability are two fundamental components in the estimation of a measurement instrument. Instruments can be divided into conventional knowledge, skill or attitude tests, clinical simulations or survey questionnaires. Instruments measure concepts, psychomotor skills or affective values" [4]. "Face validity was assessed using qualitative techniques. However, we assessed content validity quantitatively using content validity ratio. Since, content validity is a prerequisite for other validity tests, it should gain prioritv durina the hiahest instrument development. Validity is not the property of an instrument, but the property of the values obtained by an instrument used for a specific purpose on a special group of respondents. Therefore, validity evidence should be obtained on each study for which an instrument is used" [5,6,8].

"Reliability is tasked with the ability of an instrument to measure consistently [4]. It should be observed that the reliability of an instrument is intimately associated with its validity. If an instrument is not reliable, then it cannot be said to be valid. However, the reliability of an instrument does not depend on its validity" [6,9,10]. To ensure reliability, Cronbach's alpha integration and ICC were calculated for the questionnaire. In general, the results were acceptable.

"This adapted version of the RIOFS in the hospital context has been presented as a simple answering tool. The study proved that proposed scale have acceptable psychometric properties of reliability and validity that would validate its application in parents having cleft lip and/palate children or caregiver's population. These results could be explained by the fact that RIOFS is a one-factor solution, unlike the original IOFS which exhibit poorer psychometric properties than the revised version like Jalil et al 2019" [3]. Our results suggest that they remained acceptable after this process. The internal consistency showed acceptable values (0.778 Cronbach's alpha), framed in the category of "good" (values> 0.7). as reported" by Steiner et al. [6].

5. CONCLUSION

Cleft lip and cleft palate children need treatment from their infancy to early childhood including primary surgery, revision surgery, plastic surgery, speech rehabilitation, etc which required frequent hospitalization both mother and child. Thus parents of these children have a negative effect on their quality of life. This study recommended that RIOFS is a valid and reliable simple, non invasive tool for evaluation of quality of life of parents having cleft lip and /palate children.

6. LIMITATION

This study has several limitations. It was a single centred and small population study.

We need to further study with multi-centric and in big population.

CONSENT

As per international standard or university standard, Participants' written consent has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

ETHICAL APPROVAL

Requisite ethical clearance & permission to undertake the study was obtained from Gurunanak Institutional ethical committee of Gurunanak Institute of Dental Sciences and Research, Kolkata. Ethical approval no is GNIDSR/IEC/2020-23/04.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We acknowledge and thank to all the staff and authorities of ABMSS comprehensive Cleft Care Centre, Kolkata.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

Havlik Robert J. Comprehensive cleft care. 1. Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery. 2009; 124(3):995.

- 2. Kharbanda OP, Monga N. Cleft care in India: What is missing?. J Indian Orthod Soc. 2018:52:S97-100.
- Jalil, et al. Journal of Patient-Reported 3. Outcomes. 2019;3:28 Available:https://doi.org/10.1186/s41687-

019-0118-1 Ayre C, Scally A. Critical values for

- 4. lawshes content validity ratio. Measurement Evaluation and in Counselling and Development. 2013; 47:79-86.
- 5. Cronbach L. Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests. Psychomerika. 1951;16:297-334.
- Streiner D. Starting at the beginning: An 6. introduction to coefficient alpha and internal consistency. Journal of Personality Assessment. 2003;80:99-103.
- 7. Fleiss JL. The design and analysis of clinical experiments. Wiley, New York -Chichester - Brislane - Toronto -Singapore; 1986. Available:https://doi.org/10.1002/bimj.4710 300308
- 8. Waltz CF, Strickland O, Lenz ER. Measurement in nursing and health research. 4th ed. New York: Springer Publishing Company; 2010.
- Tavakol M, Mohagheghi MA, Dennick R. 9. Assessing the skills of surgical residents using simulation. J Surg Educ. 2008; 65(2):77-83.
- 10. Lynn MR. Determination and quantification of content validity. Nurs Res. 1986; 35(6):382-5.

© 2022 Saha et al.: This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

> Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/90531