

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

34(18): 144-157, 2022; Article no.IJPSS.73678 ISSN: 2320-7035

## Physicochemical, Nutritional and Biochemical Impact of Cow dung on heavy metal Polluted Quarry Mining Site. A Case Study of Ishiagu Mining Site

C. T. Onyema <sup>a\*</sup>, K. Osuoha <sup>b</sup>, J. O. Osuoha <sup>b</sup>, A. V. Iwueke <sup>b,c</sup> and C. Ejileugha <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Microbiology Unit, Department of Science Laboratory Technology, Imo State Polytechnic Umuagwo, Nigeria.

<sup>b</sup> Biochemistry Unit, Department of Science Laboratory Technology, Imo State Polytechnic Umuagwo, Nigeria.

<sup>c</sup> Department of Biochemistry University of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, Umuagwo, Nigeria.

### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i1831066

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/73678

**Original Research Article** 

Received 12 July 2021 Accepted 20 September 2021 Published 09 May 2022

## ABSTRACT

The present study evaluated physicochemical, nutritional and biochemical impact of cow dung on heavy metal polluted quarry mining site using standard analytical methods. Physicochemical results shows an increase in soil pH, temperature and other physicochemical parameters in the mining site relative to control (P≤0.05). Nutritional studies shows increase in vitamin, mineral and phytochemical composition of vegetables treated with cow dung while biochemical assay indicates a reduction in high density lipoprotein as well as liver enzyme concentrations compared to control (P≤0.05). Findings from this study reveals the nontoxic potentials of these vegetables planted in cow dung amended soils. This also indicates these vegetables when grown in cow dung amended soils have reduced phytoaccumulation potentials to heavy metals. This however may be due to nutrient availability and hence we recommend that cow dung may be a remediating, non-phytotoxic agent in heavy metal polluted sites.

Keywords: Biochemical impact; phytotoxic agent; heavy metals; nutrition.

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: tobeonye4christ2k@gmail.com;

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Mining activities generate a variety of wastes whose presence in soils have adverse effects on plant growth, such as low water infiltration rates, rough surfaces, poor aeration, high level of heavy metals, low fertility, salinity and extremes of pH. Ezeh [1] and Nwaugo et al.,(2007a) reported that mining activities are sources of environmental pollution and degradation through the introduction of chemicals above their threshold limit into the environment as wastes generated in the mining process are often discharged into the surrounding environment especially in developing countries. Environmental impacts of such mining operations are potentially long lasting due to destruction of vegetation, surface runoff of organic matter and the overall degradation of soil structure affecting plant and microbial growth [2]. The release of such contaminants has been reported to pose significant potential environmental and human treat to people living around such environment [3]. Plants grown on land polluted with mining wastes can absorb heavy metals in form of mobile ions present in the soil solution through their root or through foliar absorption [4]. These absorbed metals get bioaccumulated in the roots, stems, fruits and leaves of plants which eventually get into the human system. Vegetables are one pathway for toxic metal mobilization into the human system which can result to DNA, kidney and liver damage, as

alteration of body metabolism, skin and lung cancer caused by the mutagenic ability, neurotic effect as well as anemia from lead poisoning [5]. The determination of elemental status of cultivated land is necessary to identify yield limiting deficiencies of essential nutrients and level of polluted soils. This is important especially in Ishiagu because the inhabitants are essentially farmers and large quantities of crops and vegetables are produced not only for local consumption but also for food supplies to other parts of Nigeria [6]. This study therefore aimed at remediating the impact of heavy metals on soil and human health using cow dung.

### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Study Area

This study was carried out with quarry mining contaminated soil samples from Ishiagu in Ivo local Government Area of Ebonyi State while the cow dung was collected from Lokpa cattle market in Umuneochi L.G.A Abia State. The rural settlers in Ishiagu are mainly peasant farmers with most farm products as cassava, vegetables, yam, cocoyam and rice. Quarry sites of leads and zinc are the major industrial activities in Ishiagu. These ever increasing quarry, lead and Zinc industries dispose their wastes into nearby farm lands and these farms are cultivated by the rural settlers.



Fig. 1. Chrush rock Quarry mining site Ishiagu (Site of soil sample collection)



**Quarry mining contaminated soil collection:** Soil samples were collected from three (3) different locations from the quarry mining site. The soil samples were thoroughly mixed before collection at a depth of 0-90cm. Points of collection were 100m (Sample B) 200m (Sample C) away from discharge point (Sample A) along the flow of the mining effluent using metal auger. Soil samples were transferred into labeled polythene bags for analysis while the control soil was collected far away (about 500m) from an unimpacted area devoid of mining activities.

**Collection of cow dung:** Cow dung was collected from Lokpa cattle market in Umuneochi Local Government Area of Abia State.

**Plant Seedling Collection:** The plant seeds *Cucurbita pepo* and *Taliferia occidentalis* used for this analysis were bought from Agricultural Development Program office Umuahia, Abia State.

**Planting of Plant Seedlings:** The method of planting was potted planting.

**Cultural Conditions:** Seeds of the vegetables were placed spaciously to avoid undue competitions among plants. In order to ensure optimum plant growth and yield, weeds were removed on weekly intervals from the pots.

Preparation of Soil Samples for Analysis: Soil samples were sieved and stored at 4°C until

analyses, while the soil sample for determination of physicochemical analysis were air dried, crushed with a wooden roller, sieved with 2mm sieve and stored in a soil sac for immediate use

**Preparation of vegetable Samples for Analysis:** Vegetable samples collected were washed with distilled water to remove sand and dust particles. The samples were then cut to separate the roots and shoots. The plant samples were oven dried at 60<sup>o</sup>C before using them for analysis while the samples for phytochemical analysis were air dried and used for analysis.

**Preparation of animal feed with cow dung treated vegetables:** The animal feed was composed of 60% plant samples and 40% normal feed and 40% plant sample and 60% normal feed. Samples A = 60% *Cucurbita pepo* and 40% normal rat chow. Sample B = 60% *Taliferia occidentalis* and 40% normal rat chow, Sample C = 40% *Cucurbita pepo* and 60% normal rat chow while sample D = 40% *Taliferia occidentalis* and 60% normal rat chow.

**Determination of physicochemical properties:** Soil temperature and moisture was determined by the method of [7] While soil pH will be determined by the method of Bates [8] using air dried soil sample. The cation exchange capacity, exchangeable acidity, soil sulphate, phosphate and nitrate were determined by ammonium saturation method described by Dewis and Freitas [9]. Soil organic carbon/organic matter of the samples were obtained according to the method outlined by Walkely and Black [10]. Soil electrical conductivity was determined using digital electrical conductivity meter according to the method described by Whitney [11].

**Determination of heavy metals in soil and vegetable samples:** Heavy metals were determined by the method described in APHA [7] using Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS).

**Determination of vitamin composition of vegetables:** Thiamine (B1), Riboflavin (B2), Nicotinamide (B3), Ascorbic Acid(c) (mg) and tocopherol were determined by the method of Association of Official Analytical Chemist [12].

**Determination of mineral composition of vegetables:** Sodium (Na), Zinc(zn), Iron **(Fe),** Copper (CU), Calcium (Ca), Potassium (k), Magnesium (Mg) and Phosphorus (p) were

determined by the method of Association of Official Analytical Chemist [12].

**Determination of proximate composition of vegetables:** Moisture, protein, lipids, fibre, ash and carbohydrate were determined by the method of Association of Official Analytical Chemist [12].

**Phytochemical Screening of vegetables:** The methods described by Odebiyi and Sofowora [13] were used to test for the presence of saponins, phenolics and alkaloids; Lieberman Burchad reaction as described by Herburne [14] and Sofowara, [15] was used for glycosides, flavonoid and oxalate determination. The method of Adetugi and Popoola (2001) was used for the determination of tannins while quantitative phytochemical constituents of vegetables were assayed using gas chromatography Flame ionization detector (GC-FID)

Biochemical analysis: High density lipoprotein and low density lipoprotein cholesterol were determined by the method of Fossati and Prencipe, [16] using Randox Reagent kit while serum triglyceride and total cholesterol was determined by the method described by Fossati and Prencipe, [16]. Serum total protein was determined by the method described by Henry et al. [17]. Albumin concentration was determined by the method of Bromocresol Green (BCG) as described by Doumas et al. [18]. Alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity was determined by the method described by Bassey et al., [19] using commercial diagnostic kit (Randox, United Kingdom), Serum alanin aminotransferase (ALT) and serum aspartate aminotransferase (AST) activity was determined according to the method of Reitman and Frankel [20].

## 2.2 Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis of result was done using students package for social sciences (SPSS) version 20 computer software and data collected was analyzed using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA). Means were separated using One Way Analysis of Variance.

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Soil pH is a master variable that affects soil physical, chemical and biological properties. Soil pH which determines the basic and acidic properties of soil influences the availability and uptake of nutrients needed by plant for

#### Table 1. Physicochemical properties of quarry mining soil and cow dung

| Location | Site | Temperature             | рН                     | CEC (cmol/kg)           | Organic Mater            | Organic Carbon          | EC (µS/cm)              | Moisture (%)            |
|----------|------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
|          |      | (°C)                    |                        |                         | (%)                      | (%)                     |                         |                         |
| Control  |      | 26.53±0.00 <sup>a</sup> | 7.37±0.00 <sup>1</sup> | 39.74±0.00 <sup>j</sup> | 80.43±0.001 <sup>1</sup> | 46.65±0.00 <sup>d</sup> | 26.12±0.00 <sup>a</sup> | 20.88±0.00 <sup>a</sup> |
|          | А    | 27.07±0.02 <sup>e</sup> | 6.03±0.02 <sup>b</sup> | 18.13±0.02 <sup>b</sup> | 46.18±0.15 <sup>a</sup>  | 7666±0.02 <sup>a</sup>  | 18.93±0.13 <sup>j</sup> | 55.54±1.01 <sup>i</sup> |
|          | В    | 27.04±0.01 <sup>d</sup> | 5.04±0.00 <sup>a</sup> | 24.29±0.10 <sup>†</sup> | 22.12±0.40 <sup>c</sup>  | 19.93±0.33 <sup>9</sup> | 87.68±0.13 <sup>′</sup> | 49.65±2.11 <sup>9</sup> |
|          | С    | 27.01±0.01 <sup>°</sup> | 5.04±0.06 <sup>c</sup> | 25.79±0.11 <sup>g</sup> | 34.35±0.03 <sup>f</sup>  | 13.37±0.25 <sup>°</sup> | 73.13±1.74 <sup>h</sup> | 45.88±3.29 <sup>d</sup> |
|          | D    | 28.00±0.01 <sup>h</sup> | 5.09±0.02 <sup>d</sup> | 15.38±0.01 <sup>ª</sup> | 20.07±0.03 <sup>b</sup>  | 11.66±0.17 <sup>b</sup> | 66.80±0.11 <sup>g</sup> | 59.42±1.05 <sup>j</sup> |
| LSD      |      | 0.023                   | 0.0079                 | 0.155                   | 0.216                    | 0.0512                  | 0.9366                  | 0.0376                  |

Values are mean of triplicate determination ±standard deviation. Means down the column having different superscript are significantly different (P<0.05). Site A= cow dung, B= soil sample from discharged point, C= soil sample 100m away from discharged point while sample D = soil sample 200m away from discharged point. CEC= Cation Exchange Capacity of soil samples, EC=Electrical Conductivity of soil samples

| Cucurbita pepo Ta |                    |                    | Taliferia          | a occidentalis     | 5                  |                    |                    |                    |                    |                    |                    |                    |
|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Sample            | Lead               | Cadmium            | Chromium           | Nickel             | Manganese          | Zinc               | Lead               | Cadmium            | Chromium           | Nickel             | Manganese          | Zinc               |
|                   |                    |                    |                    |                    |                    |                    |                    |                    |                    |                    |                    |                    |
| Control           | 0.04               | 0.03               | 0.11               | 0.04               | 0.16               | 0.01               | 0.08               | 0.09               | 0.15               | 0.09               | 0.06               | 0.85               |
|                   | ±0.00 <sup>a</sup> | ±0.00 <sup>a</sup> | ±0.00 <sup>a</sup> | ±0.00 <sup>a</sup> | $\pm 0.00^{a}$     | ±0.00 <sup>a</sup> | $\pm 0.00^{a}$     | ±0.00 <sup>a</sup> |
| А                 | 0.16               | 0.07               | 0.20               | 0.42               | 0.19               | 0.29               | 0.97               | 0.76               | 0.85               | 0.99               | 0.65               | 1.10               |
|                   | ±0.12 <sup>b</sup> | ±0.06 <sup>b</sup> | ±0.10 <sup>b</sup> | ±0.15 <sup>d</sup> | ±0.10 <sup>b</sup> | ±0.01 <sup>b</sup> | ±0.06 <sup>c</sup> | ±0.00 <sup>a</sup> | ±0.14 <sup>c</sup> | ±0.12 <sup>d</sup> | ±0.17 <sup>c</sup> | ±0.31 <sup>°</sup> |
| В                 | 0.82               | 0.19               | 0.50               | 0.32               | 0.53               | 0.23               | 1.07               | 0.64               | 0.90               | 0.79               | 0.82               | 1.50               |
|                   | ±0.25 <sup>d</sup> | ±0.12 <sup>c</sup> | ±0.07 <sup>d</sup> | ±0.06 <sup>c</sup> | ±0.05 <sup>c</sup> | ±0.02 <sup>c</sup> | ±0.47 <sup>d</sup> | ±0.07 <sup>c</sup> | ±0.06 <sup>b</sup> | ±0.06 <sup>c</sup> | ±0.07 <sup>b</sup> | ±0.21 <sup>b</sup> |
| С                 | 0.44               | 0.25               | 0.39               | 0.20               | 0.75               | 0.53               | 0.88               | 0.49               | 0.85               | 0.75               | 1.05               | 1.28               |
|                   | ±0.26 <sup>c</sup> | ±0.15 <sup>d</sup> | ±0.15 <sup>°</sup> | ±0.06 <sup>b</sup> | ±0.03 <sup>d</sup> | ±0.06 <sup>d</sup> | ±0.11 <sup>b</sup> | ±0.05 <sup>b</sup> | ±0.19 <sup>d</sup> | ±0.03 <sup>b</sup> | ±0.17 <sup>d</sup> | ±0.34 <sup>d</sup> |
| LSD               | 0.361              | 0.190              | 0.185              | 0.166              | 0.195              | 0.054              | 0.462              | 0.097              | 0.233              | 0.124              | 0.363              | 0.478              |

Values are mean of triplicate determination ±standard deviation. Means down the column having different superscript are significantly different (P<0.05). Site A= soil sample from discharged point, B= soil sample 100m away from discharged point, C= soil sample 200m away from discharged point

| Phytochemicals | Cucurbita pepo | Taliferia occidentalis |  |
|----------------|----------------|------------------------|--|
| Phenols        | ++             | ++                     |  |
| Glycoside      | +              | +                      |  |
| Tannins        | ++             | ++                     |  |
| Flavonoids     | +              | +                      |  |
| Steriod        | +              | +                      |  |
| Oxalate        | _              | +                      |  |

## Table 3. Shows preliminary phytochemical constituents of Taliferia occidentalis and Cucurbita pepo

| Table 4. GC-Fid Carotenoid content of veget | ables grown in cow dung treated soils (mg/100g) |
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|

| Name of compound  | Cucurbita pepo | Taliferia occidentalis |
|-------------------|----------------|------------------------|
| Malvidin          | 0.0857         | 0.1125                 |
| Carotene          | 0.0325         | 0.0657                 |
| Lycopene          | 0.09492        | 0.12172                |
| Beta-crytoxanthin | 0.3328         | 0.3596                 |
| Lutein            | 0.2544         | 0.2812                 |
| Anther-xanthin    | 0.0871         | 0.1139                 |
| Asta-xanthin      | 0.0125         | 0.0457                 |
| Viola-xanthin     | 0.4874         | 0.5142                 |
| Neo-xanthin       | 0.6624         | 0.6892                 |
| Xanthophylls      | 0.1584         | 0.1852                 |

Table 5. GC-Fid Phenolic content of vegetables grown in cow dung treated soils (mg/100g)

| Name of compound                   | Cucurbita pepo | Taliferia occidentalis |
|------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|
| 4-hydroxy benzaldehyde             | 0.0167         | 0.1920                 |
| 4-hydroxybenzoic acid              | 0.0693         | 0.3340                 |
| 4-hydroxybenzoic acid methyl ester | 0.0920         | 0.4510                 |
| Vanillic acid                      | 0.0237         | 0.5960                 |
| Gallic acid                        | 0.1420         | 0.2170                 |
| Ferulic acid                       | 0.0431         | 0.7900                 |
| Capsaicin                          | 0.0400         | 0.3990                 |
| Rosmarinic acid                    | 0.0927         | 0.5680                 |
| Tannic acid                        | 0.044          | 0.0900                 |

growth [21]. The pH values obtained for the various soils contaminated with quarry mining effluent discharge as shown are generally acidic. The acidity marginally declines from the epicenter and distances away along the waste plume path. This could be attributed to high level of pollutants caused by mining effluent at the discharge points. Low acidic soil pH has been shown to increase metal phyto-availability [22]. The results suggest that indiscriminate discharge of mining effluents into these farms have contributed immensely to acidic pH as observed. Low acidic pH in mine water has also been

reported by Aroh et al. [23]. who evaluated physicochemical properties of pit-water from Ishiagu lead/ zinc (Pb/Zn) mine. Soil becomes acidic with considerable loss of soil nutrient when contaminated with mine water.

Soil pH recorded from this study showed high acidity compared to control (P<0.05). This low acidic pH obtained from the study could be traced to mine water discharge and loss of soil nutrients. Low soil acidity observed from this study may hinder the release of available essential plant nutrient and reduce crop yield.

| Name of compound                      | Cucurbita pepo | Taliferia occidentalis |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|
| Catechi                               | 0.4611         | 0.6005                 |
| Resveratrol                           | 0.2119         | 0.3513                 |
| Genistein                             | 0.0072         | 0.1322                 |
| Daidzein                              | 0.0348         | 0.1742                 |
| Apigein                               | 0.027          | 0.1124                 |
| Butein                                | 0.3698         | 0.5092                 |
| Naringenin                            | 0.0002         | 0.1392                 |
| Biochanin                             | 0.1182         | 0.0212                 |
| Luteolin                              | 0.0178         | 0.1572                 |
| Kaemferol                             | 0.2828         | 0.4111                 |
| (-) Epicatechin                       | 0.0808         | 0.2200                 |
| (-)Epigalocatechin                    | 0.0038         | 0.1490                 |
| Quercetin                             | 0.6508         | 0.7902                 |
| Gallocatechin                         | 0.1484         | 0.009                  |
| (-) Epicatechin -3-gallate            | 0.167          | 0.0215                 |
| (-)Epigallocatechin-3-gallate         | 0.1212         | 0.0182                 |
| Isorhamnetin                          | 0.1258         | 0.2652                 |
| Robinetin                             | 0.2868         | 0.4262                 |
| Ellagic acid                          | 0.0932         | 0.0462                 |
| Myricetin                             | 0.2848         | 0.4242                 |
| Baicalein                             | 0.0522         | 0.0872                 |
| Nobicalein                            | 0.3618         | 0.5012                 |
| Kaempferol -3,7,4,-trimethyl ether    | 0.0008         | 0.1402                 |
| Quercetin-3,7,4'-trimethyl ether      | 0.2128         | 0.3522                 |
| Baicalin                              | 0.1221         | 0.0173                 |
| Tangeretin                            | 0.1269         | 0.0125                 |
| Quercetin-3,7,3',4'-tetramethyl ether | 0.1385         | 0.0009                 |
| Artemetin                             | 0.1952         | 0.0498                 |
| Hyperoside                            | 0.0908         | 0.2302                 |
| Silymarin                             | 0.1968         | 0.3362                 |
| Kaempferol-3-Arabinoside              | 0.1468         | 0.2862                 |
| Quercitrin                            | 0.0672         | 0.2066                 |
| Naringin                              | 0.1299         | 0.0095                 |
| Isoquercetin                          | 0.128          | 0.0114                 |
| Oriebtin                              | 0.7613         | 0.9007                 |
| Rutin                                 | 0.2895         | 0.4289                 |
| Isoorientin                           | 0.90931        | 1.04871                |
| Hesperidin                            | 0.4611         | 0.6005                 |

## Table 6. GC-Fid Flavonoid content of vegetables grown in cow dung treated soils (mg/100g)

# Table 7. GC-Fid Lignin content of Cucurbita pepo vegetable grown in cow dung treated soils (mg/100g)

| Name of compound                            | Cucurbita pepo | Taliferia occidentalis |
|---------------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|
| 2-ally1-5ethoxy-4-methoxyphenol             | 0.003          | 0.262                  |
| (9E, 12E, 15E)-9, 12, 15-Octadecatrien-1-01 | 0.205          | 0.054                  |
| Apigenin-4,7-methyl ether                   | 0.226          | 0.315                  |
| Dehydroabietic acid                         | 0.034          | 0.207                  |
| Retusin                                     | 0.013          | 0.254                  |
| Galgravin                                   | 0.112          | 0.329                  |
| Epieudesmin                                 | 0.13           | 0.389                  |
| Sakuranin                                   | 0.221          | 0.48                   |

| Location | Retinol                | Tocopherol             | Niacin                 | Ascorbic acid          |
|----------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Control  | 0.04±0.00 <sup>a</sup> | 0.91±0.00 <sup>b</sup> | 1.25±0.00 <sup>d</sup> | 1.31±0.00 <sup>⁵</sup> |
| A        | 0.14±0.10 <sup>c</sup> | 1.04±0.01 <sup>a</sup> | 2.13±0.20 <sup>b</sup> | 2.03±0.35 <sup>c</sup> |
| В        | 0.07±0.03 <sup>b</sup> | 1.20±0.06 <sup>c</sup> | 5.15±0.15 <sup>d</sup> | 2.73±0.55 <sup>d</sup> |
| С        | 0.08±0.01 <sup>b</sup> | 1.24±0.06 <sup>d</sup> | 4.82±0.35 <sup>°</sup> | 1.41±0.26 <sup>a</sup> |
| LSD      | 0.00099                | 0.00083                | 0.0407                 | 0.0662                 |

Table 8. Vitamin composition of Cucurbita pepo grown in cow dung treated soils (mg/100g)

Values are mean of triplicate determination ± standard deviation. Means down the column having different superscript are significantly different (P<0.05). Site A= soil sample from discharged point, B= soil sample 100m away from discharged point, C= soil sample 200m away from discharged point

Table 9. Vitamin content of Taliferia occidentalis grown in cow dung treated soils (mg/100g)

| Retinol                 | Tocopherol                                                                                                               | Niacin                                                                                                                                                                                  | Ascorbic acid                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 0.006±0.00 <sup>a</sup> | 0.11±0.00 <sup>a</sup>                                                                                                   | 1.14±0.00 <sup>a</sup>                                                                                                                                                                  | 1.27±0.00 <sup>a</sup>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| $0.007 \pm 0.02^{b}$    | 0.15±0.02 <sup>b</sup>                                                                                                   | 1.77±0.38 <sup>b</sup>                                                                                                                                                                  | 2.22±0.25 <sup>d</sup>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| 0.029±0.17 <sup>c</sup> | $0.19 \pm 0.05^{\circ}$                                                                                                  | 1.83±0.27 <sup>°</sup>                                                                                                                                                                  | 1.92±0.17 <sup>c</sup>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| $0.044 \pm 0.03^{d}$    | $0.15 \pm 0.00^{b}$                                                                                                      | 1.84±0.46 <sup>°</sup>                                                                                                                                                                  | 1.40±0.52 <sup>b</sup>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| 0.0022                  | 0.0050                                                                                                                   | 0.0614                                                                                                                                                                                  | 0.0322                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|                         | Retinol           0.006±0.00 <sup>a</sup> 0.007±0.02 <sup>b</sup> 0.029±0.17 <sup>c</sup> 0.044±0.03 <sup>d</sup> 0.0022 | RetinolTocopherol $0.006\pm0.00^{a}$ $0.11\pm0.00^{a}$ $0.007\pm0.02^{b}$ $0.15\pm0.02^{b}$ $0.029\pm0.17^{c}$ $0.19\pm0.05^{c}$ $0.044\pm0.03^{d}$ $0.15\pm0.00^{b}$ $0.0022$ $0.0050$ | RetinolTocopherolNiacin $0.006\pm0.00^a$ $0.11\pm0.00^a$ $1.14\pm0.00^a$ $0.007\pm0.02^b$ $0.15\pm0.02^b$ $1.77\pm0.38^b$ $0.029\pm0.17^c$ $0.19\pm0.05^c$ $1.83\pm0.27^c$ $0.044\pm0.03^d$ $0.15\pm0.00^b$ $1.84\pm0.46^c$ $0.0022$ $0.0050$ $0.0614$ |

Values are mean of triplicate determination ± standard deviation. Means down the column having different superscript are significantly different (P<0.05). Site A= soil sample from discharged point, B= soil sample 100m away from discharged point, C= soil sample 200m away from discharged point

|              | Cucurbita pepo | Taliferia occidentalis |  |
|--------------|----------------|------------------------|--|
| Ash          | 4.78           | 6.97                   |  |
| Moisture     | 80.72          | 76.38                  |  |
| Lipid        | 0.55           | 1.22                   |  |
| Fiber        | 2.03           | 3.34                   |  |
| Carbohydrate | 11.07          | 11.17                  |  |
| Protein      | 0.85           | 0.92                   |  |

#### Table 11. Mineral composition of vegetables grown in cow dung treated soils (mg/100g)

|               | Cucurbita pepo | Taliferia occidentalis |
|---------------|----------------|------------------------|
| Calcium (Ca)  | 2.90           | 3.18                   |
| Sodium (Na)   | 2.82           | 1.97                   |
| Iron (Fe)     | 1.83           | 2.03                   |
| Potassium (K) | 0.74           | 1.06                   |

## Table 12. Lipid profile of male albino rats fed with vegetables from heavy metal polluted sites treated with cow dung

| Groups  | HDL(mg/dl)              | Cholesterol(mg/dl)        | TAG(mg/dl)               | LDL(mg/dl)              | VLDL(mg/dl)             |
|---------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Group A | 82.80±1.80 <sup>a</sup> | 140.74±1.58 <sup>ª</sup>  | 166.76±4.22 <sup>ª</sup> | 24.59±3.07 <sup>a</sup> | 33.23±0.82 <sup>ª</sup> |
| Group B | 84.60±3.65 <sup>b</sup> | 142.18±5.74 <sup>b</sup>  | 167.27±3.44 <sup>b</sup> | 24.32±3.90 <sup>b</sup> | 34.26±0.69 <sup>b</sup> |
| Group C | 87.28±3.19 <sup>a</sup> | 143.31±10.17 <sup>a</sup> | 168.67±6.09 <sup>a</sup> | 23.50±8.59 <sup>a</sup> | 35.54±1.22 <sup>a</sup> |
| Group D | 84.54±2.19 <sup>b</sup> | 142.46±4.54 <sup>a</sup>  | 165.17±4.12 <sup>a</sup> | 22.29±4.74 <sup>a</sup> | 35.63±0.83 <sup>a</sup> |

Results represent mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. Different superscripts represent significant difference at 90% confidence level. Values in the same column having the same superscript are not significantly (P<0.05) different. Samples A = 60% Cucurbita pepo and 40% normal rat chow. Sample B = 60% Taliferia occidentalis and 40% normal rat chow, Sample C = 40% Cucurbita pepo and 60% normal rat chow while sample D =40% Taliferia occidentalis and 60% normal rat chow

|         | Creatinine (mg/dl)     | Urea (mg/dl)            |
|---------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Group A | 0.90±0.08 <sup>c</sup> | 34.00±3.16 <sup>†</sup> |
| Group B | 0.69±0.08 <sup>a</sup> | 38.00±1.58 <sup>f</sup> |
| Group C | $0.78 \pm 0.02^{b}$    | 36.00±2.24 <sup>†</sup> |
| Group D | 1.12±0.10 <sup>d</sup> | 30.00±1.58 <sup>e</sup> |

 Table 13. Renal function assessment of male albino rats fed with vegetables from heavy metal polluted sites treated with cow dung

Results represent mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. Different superscripts represent significant difference at 90% confidence level. Values in the same column having the same superscript are not significantly (P<0.05) different. Samples A = 60% Cucurbita pepo and 40% normal rat chow. Sample B = 60% Taliferia occidentalis and 40% normal rat chow, Sample C = 40% Cucurbita pepo and 60% normal rat chow while sample D =40% Taliferia occidentalis and 60% normal rat chow

## Table 14. Liver enzymes of male albino rats fed with vegetables from heavy metal pollutedsites treated with cow dung

| Groups  | Parameters              |                         |                         |
|---------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
|         | AST (IU/L)              | ALT (IU/L)              | ALP (IU/L)              |
| Group A | 33.43±2.82 <sup>a</sup> | 19.29±3.04 <sup>a</sup> | 52.90±0.72 <sup>a</sup> |
| Group B | 34.14±6.15 <sup>b</sup> | 18.00±2.65 <sup>b</sup> | 50.64±3.92 <sup>b</sup> |
| Group C | 31.57±2.64 <sup>°</sup> | 20.43±1.99 <sup>c</sup> | 51.84±3.16 <sup>°</sup> |
| Group D | 33.14±3.02 <sup>d</sup> | 21.00±3.32 <sup>°</sup> | 53.97±1.72 <sup>°</sup> |

Results represent mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. Different superscripts represent significant difference at 90% confidence level. Values in the same column having the same superscript are not significantly (P<0.05) different. Samples A = 60% Cucurbita pepo and 40% normal rat chow. Sample B = 60% Taliferia occidentalis and 40% normal rat chow, Sample C = 40%Cucurbita pepo and 60% normal rat chow while sample D =40% Taliferia occidentalis and 60% normal rat chow

Soil temperature plays an important role in many processes which takes place in soil such as chemical reactions and biological interactions. High soil temperature recorded from this study may affect carbon turn over and organic matter. High soil temperature has been reported to predispose soils to slower microbial metabolism and carbon mineralization which is usually favoured by lower soil temperature [24]. Temperature raise has been reported to increase metal activity in soil solution as well as absorption rates in plants through high evaporation and transpiration from the plant. Change in soil temperature can strongly affect plant growth, biomass, nutrient uptake by plants and microbial activities.

Electrical conductivity is the measure of the number of ions (dissolved salts) present in soil solution. Results showed high electrical conductivity in guarry mining effluent discharge soils compared to control (P<0.05). Findings also revealed that electrical conductivity decreased distance away from discharge points. This result implicates the discharge points as having more soluble salts than distances away from source of contamination. This could be due to increased level of trace metals at the discharge points. This agrees with the findings of Akubugwo et al., [25]

who reported high electrical conductivity in mine water contaminated soils. Significant high values of electrical conductivity recorded from this study may be due to excessive level of trace metals observed from the results while cation exchange capacity is defined as a measure of all the exchangeable cations the soil can absorb which plays vital role in soil fertility. Cation exchange capacity has been reported to give the soil a buffering capacity which may slowdown the leaching of nutrients. Results obtained in this study for cation exchange capacity were relatively low at the discharge points but increased distances away from discharge points. This can be attributed to low organic matter recorded from the discharge point and high level of trace metals at the discharge points as observed from this study. Soils with low cation exchange capacity have been shown to develop deficiencies of essential nutrients while high soil cation exchange capacity hinders the leaching of soil nutrients [25]. Cation exchange capacity of the mining effluent discharge soils shows a positive relationship with increasing pH.. Soils with high pH usually have high cation exchange capacity.

The moisture content of soil is defined as directly proportional to the water holding capacity of soil. Results showed that moisture content decreased distance away from discharge points. High moisture content of the soil samples was expected considering the overall climatic predisposition of the area under study. Soil moisture content has been reported to stimulate plant productivity and microbial driven processes [26]. High soil moisture content may have effect on the organic matter decomposition through indirect reduction of soil ventilation.

Soil organic matter is often considered a key quality factor in soil and is highly correlated to numerous factors influencing soil productivity and environmental sustainability. Organic carbon/organic matter content of soil are the reservoir of plants essential nutrients for growth and development. Okalebo et al., [27] noted that the level of soil organic matter influences a number of soil processes and is indicative of the soil as a rooting environment. Anikwe and Nwobodo, [28] opined that high organic carbon/organic matter is directly related to high soil productivity. Results obtained from this study showed that soil organic carbon/ organic matter adversely affected were by high metal concentration. The results indicates high organic carbon/organic matter content in the control soils compared to quarry mining effluent discharge soils (P<0.05). The presence of high organic matter in soil has been reported to be beneficial for plant productivity and for structural stability of the soil (Surendra and Rana, 2010). Soil organic carbon/ organic matter content obtained from the study increased distance away from the discharge points. This could be due to lesser effect of trace metals distance away from discharge points. Monty et al., [2] also observed a gradual increase in organic matter/carbon content in a nutrient deficient soil with increasing distance. Nwaugo et al., (2007b) noted that soils with low pH have reduced metal solubility which directly rubs off soil organic matter. Generally, cool climates exhibit wet and reduced decomposition and promote organic matter accumulation, while warmer climates favour accelerated decomposition of organic matter (Frimpong et al., 2014). Organic carbon levels in mined soils are often low due to the disruption of ecosystem functioning, depletion of soil organic pool and loss of litter layer during mining activities (Dutta and Agrawal, 2002).

Phytochemicals form part of the natural plant defense system against infection, microbial invasions and free radical generation and is one

of the initial responses of plants to stress (Michalak, 2006). Dev et al. (2015) noted that phytochemical constituents of plants vary heavily depending on variation in season, soil constituents as well as cultivar. This explains the changes observed on many researches on phytochemical components of different plants. Findings from the present study also showed an increase in the amount of phytochemicals. This increase especially in phenolic compounds could be due to protective function of these compounds against trace metal stress by metal chelation and ROS scavenging as well as amendment of cow dung. This is in agreement with the findings of Pritesh et al., (2013); Abdussalam et al. [29] who reported significant increase in phenolic compounds in vegetables treated with cow dung. Many authors have reported induction of phenolic metabolism as a response of plants to stress [30]. Phenolic compounds are known to have strong antioxidant activity in plants growing under conducive environment. It has been suggested that their antioxidant act resides chiefly in their chemical structure. Theunissen et al., [31] reported that phenolic compounds are known to be synthesized in response to various environmental stress such as nutritional stress, pest and diseases and temperature. Similarly, the induction of phenolic compound biosynthesis has been reported in wheat in response to nickel toxicity (Diaz et al., 2001) and in maize in response to aluminum [32]. Flavoniod are important secondary plant metabolites that possess strong antioxidant activity due to their ability to scavenge reactive oxygen species and inhibit oxidative stress (Shiva and Jung-Ho, 2014). The results showed an increase in flavonoid concentration of vegetables. This could be due to increased heavy metal stress that triggers the biosynthesis of the flavonoids as flavonoids have been reported as efficient metal chelators (Brunetti et al., 2013). Carotenoid content of the vegetables grown in guarry mining effluent discharge soils increased. This increase could be due to stress as carotenoid has been reported to play important role in detoxification of ROS [30]. Carotenoid increase could be due to its antioxidant role in protection of chlorophyll reduction under trace metal stress. Similar findings in carotenoid increase in plants under metal stress has also been reported by Hegazy et al. [30]. Accumulation of carotenoid is often regarded as a mechanism to counter stress in plants. Lignin content of the vegetables grown in the mining effluent discharge soils showed an increase in relation to control. This could also be due to increased metal stress in the sites which

elicit lignin biosynthesis. Buchanan *et al.,(*2000) reported that phenolics are the precursor of lignin hence increased phenolic content due to heavy metal stress is directly related to lignin biosynthesis.

Vitamins are antioxidants that play important role in cellular defense strategy against stress [33]. Findings from this study showed significant increase in vitamin composition of vegetables grown in the mining effluent treated soils compared to control (P<0.05). The results also indicates significant increase in the concentration of tocopherol, ascorbic acid, retinol and niacin composition of the vegetables grown in quarry mining effluent discharge soils (P<0.05). This may be due to increased oxidative stress leading to increased biosynthesis of the vitamins as the serve as free radical scavenger. This agrees with the findings of Fikrive and Omer (2005) who reported an increase in retinol, tocopherol and ascorbic acid content in vegetables due to exposure to heavy metals. Several authors have also reported that the content of ascorbic acid is also activated following exposure of vegetables to heavy metal stress [34]. Hegazy et al., [30] reported an increase in vitamin C content of bean plant with increased accumulation of uranium and thorium. This increase in the level of vitamins analyzed in dry season could be due to its antioxidant based function in plant metabolism.

Results of proximate analysis shows significant composition increase in percentage of carbohydrate, fats and protein, ash, fiber and moisture content of vegetables grown in cow dung treated soils. This increase could be due to increased level of nutrient availability. .Results of this study revealed a decrease in protein content of vegetables compared to control (P<0.05). This may be due to inhibition of protein synthesis or due to unavailability of essential components of amino acids or inhibition of amino acid mobilization to the site of protein synthesis or may be due to interaction of trace metals with thiol residues of proteins and its replacement with trace metals in metalloproteins. Impaired protein synthesis has been reported in plants under heavy metal stress [29]. Analysis of percentage carbohydrate composition of the vegetables shows decrease in carbohydrate content of vegetables (P<0.05). This decrease in carbohydrate content may be a metabolic signal in response to trace metal stress

Calcium is needed in the development of bone and teeth and it regulate heart rhythm, helps in normal blood clotting, maintain proper nerve and muscle functions and lower blood pressure [35]. Iron plays a major role in haemoglobin synthesis. Sodium is associated with potassium in the body in maintaining acid-base balance and nerve transmissions [36]. The presence of sodium recorded from this study may be an indication that cow dung improved the soil fertility indices and the vegetables may be effective in treatment of hypertension. Potassium has been reported as an important mineral nutrient in the control of hypertension and in the reduction of risks of stroke [37,38]. This implicated the fertility improvement of cow dung on heavy metal polluted soils and the vegetables maybe helpful in controlling hypertension and stroke.

The kidneys are involved in vital functions associated with homeostatic processes of the human body, such as filtration of blood, regulation of acid, water and electrolyte balance. regulation of hormone levels in the blood, and removal of waste products and unwanted substances from plasma. Kidney function tests are used for the assessment of kidney diseases, acid-base disorders, and water balance. Use of physical examination alone in the evaluation of kidney function is limited and imprecise; therefore, laboratory measurement of various substances in plasma and urine is required for the accurate assessment of kidney function. Determinations of importance include glomerular filtration rate (GFR), urine protein levels, disturbances in electrolytes, and serum concentrations of creatinine, urea, and uric acid [39]. Assay for liver enzymes namely ALT, AST and ALP is important in assessing optimal liver function. Increase in the level of liver enzymes in the serum is an indication of liver dysfunction [40].

### 4. CONCLUSION

Findings from this study reveals the nontoxic potentials of these vegetables planted in cow dung amended soils. This also indicates these vegetables when grown in cow dung amended soils have reduced phytoaccumulation potentials to heavy metals. This however may be due to nutrient availability and hence we recommend that cow dung may be a remediating, non phytotoxic agent in heavy metal polluted sites.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are most grateful to Tetiary Education Trust Fund (Tetfund) as well as the Management of Imo State Polytechnic Umuagwo for their immense financial support in carrying out this research work.

### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

### REFERENCES

- Ezeh HN. Environmental significance of heavy metals distribution in the Ebonyi River drainage system Abakalaki and Ohoazora area, South- Eastern, Nigeria. Ph.D thesis, Nnamdi Azikwe University, Awka, Nigeria. 2007;214.
- 2. Monty K, Sanat KG, Amiya KP. Quantifying the contribution of different soil properties on enzyme activities in dry tropical ecosystems. Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science. 2012;7(9):763-772.
- Laidlaw MAS, Fillippeli GM. Resuspension of urban soils as a persistent source of lead poisoning of children. A review and a new direction. Journal of Applied Geochemistry. 2008;23:2021-2039.
- Oluyemi EA, Feuyit G, Onekunle JAO, Ogunfowokan AO. Seasonal variation in heavy metal concentration in soil and some selected crops at a landfill in Nigeria. African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology. 2008;2(5):89-96.
- 5. Duruibe JO, Ogwuegbu MOC, Egwurugwu JN. Heavy metal pollution and human biotoxic effects. International Physical Sciences. Journal of 2007;2(5):112-118.
- Ezeh HN, Chukwu E. Small scale mining and heavy metals pollution of agricultural soils: The case of Ishiagu mining District south Eastern Nigeria. Journal of Geology and Mining Research. 2011;3(4):87 – 104.
- APHA. Standard methods for examination of water and waste water. American Public Health Association, American water works Association and water pollution control federation. 20<sup>th</sup> edition, Washington DC. USA; 1998.

- 8. Bates RG. Electronic pH determination. John Wiley and sons Inc. New York; 1954.
- 9. Dewis J, Freitas F. Physical and chemical methods of soil and water analysis. Soil Bulletin F.A.O. Rome; 1970.
- 10. Walkely AJ, Black IA. Estimation of soil organic carbon by the chronic acid titration method.Soil Science. 1934;37:29-38.
- Whitney DA. Soil salinity. Recommended chemical soil test procedures for the North central region. North central research publications No 221 (revised) missiouri Agricultural Experimental station and SB wool. 1998;59 – 60.
- AOAC Official Methods of Analysis. Association of official analytical chemistry. 14<sup>th</sup> Edition. Arlington, VA. 2005;67:1-45.
- Odebiyi D, Sofowor I. Phytochemical screening of the extracts of Root and latex of Jatropha curcas. John Wiley and Sons. U.S.A. 1978;540.
- 14. Herburne JB. Photochemical methods: A Guide to Modern Techniques of plants Analysis. Chapman and Hall, London. 1973;279.
- Sofowora A. Medicinal Plants and Traditional Medicines in Africa. Chichester John Wiley and Sons, New York. 1993;645.
- 16. Fassati P, Prencipe L. Serum triglyceride determined colorimetrically with an enzyme that produces hydrogen peroxide. Clinical Chemistry. 1982;28:2077-2080.
- Henry RS, Winkelman R. Clinical Chemistry Principles and Techniques. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Herper and Row Hagerstown, Washiton. 1974;168.
- Doumas BT, Watson WA, Briggs AG. Albumin Estimation. International Journal of Clinical Chemistry and Diagnostic Laboratory Medicine. 1971;31:87-96.
- 19. Bessey OA, Lowery OH, Brock MJ. A method for the rapid determination of alkaline phosphatase with five cubic millimeters of serum. Journal of Biological chemistry. 1946;164:321-329.
- 20. Reitman S, Franklin S. A colorimetric method for the determination of serum glutamic oxaloacetate and glutamic pyruvic transaminases. American Journal of Clinical Pathology. 1957;28:56-58.
- 21. Lad RJ, Samant JS. Impact of Bauxite Mining on Soil: A Case Study of Bauxite Mines at Udgiri, Dist-Kolhapur, Maharashtra State, India. International

Research Journal of Environment Sciences. 2015;4(2):77-83.

- 22. Tshibangu MI, Nsahlai VI, Kiatoko MH, Hornick JL. Heavy metal concentration in Adenodolichos rhomboideus arms forage growing on mining tailings in south east of Democratic Republic of Congo: Influnce of washing, pH and soil concentration. International Journal of Current Research in Biosiences and Plant Biology. 2014;1(5):16-27.
- Aroh KN, Eze C, Abam TK, Gobo AE, Ubong IU Physicochemical properties of pit-water from ishiagu lead/ zinc (Pb/Zn) mine as an index for alkaline classification of the mine drainage. Journal of Applied Science and Environmental Management. 2007;11(4):19 – 24.
- 24. Osuji CL, Adesiyan SO The Isiokpo oil pipline leakage: Total organic carbon/organic matter content of affected soils. Chemical Biodiversity. 2005;2:1079-1084.
- 25. Akubugwo EI, Ofeegbu CJ, Dike CC, Ugbogu AE. Effect of mining operations on the physicochemical properties and microbial diversity of soil from Ishiagu, Ebonyi State. Journal of Applied and Enviromental Science. 2010;6(1):46 -51.
- Vargas R, Collins SL, Thomey ML, Johnson JE, Brown RF, Natvig DO, Friggens MT. Precipitation variability and fire influence the temporal dynamics of soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux in arid grassland. Global Change Biology. 2012;18:1401–1411.
- Vargas R, Collins SL, Thomey ML, Johnson JE, Brown RF, Natvig DO, Friggens MT. Precipitation variability and fire influence the temporal dynamics of soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux in arid grassland. Global Change Biology. 2012;18:1401– 1411.
- Anikwe MAN, Nwobodo KCA Long-term effect of municipal waste disposal on soil properties and productivity of sites used for urban agriculture in Abakaliki, Nigeria. Bioresource Technology. 2002;83:241-250.
- 29. Abdussalam AK, Ravindran CP, Ratheesh CP, Azeez K, Nabeesa S. Physiological effect of heavy metal toxicity and associated histological changes in Boerhavia diffusa L. Journal of Global Biosciences. 2015;4(1):1221-1234.

- Hegazy AK, Al-Rowail SL, Kabiel HF, Faisal M, Emam MH. Variation of plant macronutrients and secondary metabolites content in response to radionuclides accumulation. Journal of Bioremediation and Biodegradation. 2013;4(3):2-10.
- 31. Theunissen J, Ndakidemi PA, Laubscher CP. Potentail of vermicompost produced from plant waste on the growth and nutrient status in vegetable production.International Journal of the Physical Sciences. 2010;5(13):1964 -1973.
- 32. Winkel-Shirley B. Biosynthesis of flavonoids and effects of stress. Current Opine in Plant Biology. 2002;5:218-223.
- Henry Fikriye KZ, Omer M. Effect of some heavy metals on content chlorophyll, proline and some antioxidant chemicals in bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L) seedlings. Acta Biologica Cracoviensia. 2005;47(2):157-164.
- Ivanov YV, Savochkin YV, Kuznetsov VV. Scots pine as a model plant for studying the mechanisms of conifers adaptation to heavy metal action: Functioning of antioxidant enzymes in pine seedlings under chronic zinc action. Russian Journal of Plant Physiology. 2012;59(1):50-58.
- 35. Fagbohun ED, Egbebi AO, Lawal OU. Phytochemical screening, proximate analysis and in-vitro antimicrobial activities of methanolic extract of Cnidoscolus aconitifolius leaves. International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences Review and Research. 2012;13(1):28-33.
- 36. Yussuf AA, Mofio BM, Ahmed AB. Proximate and mineral composition of Tamarindus indica Linn. World Journal of Science. 2007;2(1):1-4.
- Emelike CU, Unegbu GO Effects of oral administration of Cnidoscolus aconitifolius leaf extract (Chaya Tea) on biomarkers of cardiovascular system of Wistar albino Rats. Advances in Biological Research. 2015;9(3):182-188.
- Iweala EE, Osundiya AO. Biochemical, haematological and histological effects of diatary supplementation with leaves of Gnetum africanum Welw on paracetamolinduced hepatotoxicity. International Journal of Pharmacology. 2010;6(6):872-879.

- Nwaugo VO, Egbu AU, Odu NN, Ogbonna CE. Impact of metal mining on domestic water quality in Ishiagu and its enivirons, Ebonyi State, Nigeria. ABSU Journal of Environmental, Science and Technology. 2011;1(1):62-71.
- 40. Okalebo JR, Gathua KW, Woomer PL Laboratory methods of soil and plant analysis. A working manual. Marvel EPZ Ltd, Nairobi, Kenya. 1993;11-12.

© 2022 Onyema et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/73678