

Asian Journal of Research and Review in Agriculture

Volume 6, Issue 1, Page 126-132, 2024; Article no.AJRRA.1565

Participatory Varietal Selection and Agronomic Performance Evaluation of Kik-type Field Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) Varieties in Guji Zone, Southern Ethiopia

Deresa Shumi a*, Tekalign Afeta a and Rehoboth Nuguse a

^a Oromia Agricultural Research Institute, Bore Agricultural Research Center, P. O. Box 21, Bore, Ethiopia.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here:

https://prh.globalpresshub.com/review-history/1565

Original Research Article

Received: 08/02/2024 Accepted: 11/04/2024 Published: 13/04/2024

ABSTRACT

In the main cropping season of 2021, a field experiment was carried out in the Bore, Dama, and Anna Sora districts of the Guji Zone on stations and farmers' fields. The experiment's goal was to compare agronomic traits with farmer input to evaluate on-farm varieties, choose farmers' favorite varieties, and then recommend the best performing varieties for the Guji Highland field pea production regions. At the Bore Agricultural Research Center, an on-station experiment was conducted utilizing RCBD with three replications. Ten enhanced kinds that were introduced both nationally and regionally were tested and chosen based on their desirable characteristics. From each district, two farmer's fields were used, and the farmer's fields were replicated. Farmers employed a variety of factors in addition to agronomic information, such as days to blooming, plant

height, pods per plant, and seed per pod, to evaluate field pea varieties. Growth habits, production performance, disease and insect resistance, marketability, and diet compatibility were the main selection criteria used by farmers. The majority of farmers chose the Burkitu variety, followed by the Bamo variety, based on the selection criteria. The analysis of the agronomic data also showed that the selected varieties for the farmers were chosen for their high yielding and other assessed qualities. Bilalo had the highest seed yield (4167 kg/ha), followed by Bamo (3312 kg/ha), and Adi (3104 kg/ha), according to the results of the combined analysis. Due to its excellent grain output, appealing seed color, large seed size, and overall preferable field performance, the Burkitu variety was chosen. These findings suggested that farmers had a thorough understanding of how to choose and decide on the chosen better varieties in comparison to the inferior types.

Keywords: Farmers' criteria; kik-type; participatory selection.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the cool-season legume crops produced for several purposes in various agro-ecologies is the field pea (Pisum sativum L.) [1]. The crop is commonly grown in Ethiopia at mid to high altitudes, where it ranks second among highland pulses in terms of area coverage (219,927.59 hectare) and yearly output (3,762,368.83 quintals) [2]. It is the most significant food legume, a valuable and affordable source of protein with necessary amino acids (23-26%), and it is primarily consumed by homes with limited resources [3]. The crop is an important rotation crop for restoring soil fertility because it fixes atmospheric nitrogen, reducing the need for for low-income farmers Additionally, it serves as a source of revenue for farmers and a source of foreign exchange for the nation [6,7].

When compared to the global production of 2.4t per hectare [8]. Ethiopia's average yield of the crop is much below its potential 1.71t ha-1, despite its numerous relevance and large area coverage [2]. The main cause of the crop's low yield is the limitations in addressing enhanced field pea varieties to possible agro-ecologies. Despite the fact that numerous improved field pea varieties have been released by various regional and federal research centers to meet the needs of smallholder farmers [9], the production of field pea is currently limited in the Guji Zone due to the low yielding of farmers' varieties that are heavily impacted by several factors like diseases and insect pests. Farmers have not been made aware of the available varieties, and there is a lack of information regarding varieties recently released that are compatible with the region's current cropping strategy.

The lack of sufficient improved varieties with widespread acceptance, agronomic practice, and other restraints are the other major issues behind poor farmer participation in the selection process [10]. Currently, the bulk of the varieties that have been made available in Ethiopia have been chosen based on their limited agronomic characteristics and unique breeder's criteria that mostly emphasize high yield and disease resistance. In light of this, a number of variables may be to blame for the slow uptake of new cultivars.

The breeders' selection criteria might not correspond with the needs and preferences of producers, which is the first cited factor. Farmers take into account a number of qualities to meet their unique needs, which helps to assess whether a variety with desired traits will be accepted. Similar research found that the traits used in farmer selection are multivariate in nature [11]. One key strategy for identifying and evaluating features that are significant to smallscale farmers is to involve farmers in variety evaluation [12]. It aids in evaluating "subjective traits" that can be challenging for breeders to truly assess and challenging to measure numerically, such as flavor, color, size, market demand, and other culinary qualities [13].

The key to breeding programs being able to issue variations that farmers will accept is to understand their preferences in the evaluation and selection of variants [14]. Therefore, participatory variety selection is the fundamental method for breeding, which involves bringing together breeders, social scientists, farmers, and extension agents in a field environment to collaboratively evaluate novel varieties, prioritize, and target important qualities alongside already-existing local variations [10]. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to compare the

agronomic performance of several field pea varieties and evaluate them in conjunction with farmers in order to determine which variety they preferred.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two different methods of the study's execution were used: (I) on-station as mother trial, and (II) on-farm as infant trials.

2.1 Experimental Site Description (Onstation)

The experiment was conducted at the Bore Agricultural Research Center, Guji Zone of Southern Oromia, one of the recently created Research Centers of the Oromia Agricultural Research Institute (OARI), for three years in a row during the main cropping season. The site of the Bore Agricultural Research Center lies just off the main road that leads to Addis Abeba via the town of Hawassa, some 8 km north of the town of Bore. The experimental location is located at a height of 2728 m above sea level, between the latitudes of 06°23'55"N and 06°24'15"N and the longitudes of 38°34'45"E and 38°35'5"E. The study area corresponds to the highlands of the Guji Zone, which are known for their heavy rainfall and bimodal rainfall distribution. The second rainy season begins in late November and lasts until the beginning of March, while the first rainy season lasts from April to October.

2.2 On-station

Three districts' farmers' fields were used for the study. Two farmer fields in each district were chosen to serve as the site of the on-farm participatory trials with the assistance of the district agricultural extension personnel. There

were two replications per district because each farmer was classified as a separate replication.

Materials for experiments: Ten improved field pea varieties, including the local check, were assessed for adaptability and performance on yield and yield components. These varieties included Arjo-1, Burkitu, Dadimos, Tulu-Dimtu, Bamo, Tegegnech, Adi, Gume, Markos, and Urji. Agricultural Research Centers at Holeta, Sinana, and Bako announced these enhanced varieties.

Experimental planning and methods: Three replications of the experiment were run using a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD). The experimental plot has four rows of a 6m2 net plot and six rows of 3m x 2.4m with six rows each. Blocks (replications) were set 1.5 meters apart, whereas plots were 1 meters apart. Prior to seeding, the experimental field was prepared using best practices for field pea cultivation. The field was leveled, plowed, and prepared with rows spaced 20 cm apart. All other suggested agronomic techniques were used consistently across all experimental plots.

Gathering and analyzing data: On-site agronomic data (mother trial): The performance of the field pea varieties is assessed using all agronomic characteristics, including days to blooming, plant height, pods per plant, seeds per pod, days to maturity, thousand seed weight, and grain yield. Ten randomly selected plants from the middle four rows of the garden provided all the data. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed on all recorded data using SAS 9.4 at P 0.05. The Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) of mean comparison was used to see whether there were any appreciable changes between the treatment means.

Table 1. List of field pea varieties

SN	Varieties	Year of release (GC)	Maintainer (ARC)	Character		
1	Burkitu	2009	Holeta	Kik type		
2	Tulu-Dimtu	1999	Sinana	Kik type		
3	Adi	1995/96	Holeta	Kik type		
4	Gume	2006	Holeta	Kik type		
5	Tegegnech	1993/94	Holeta	Kik type		
6	Urji	2007	Sinana	Kik type		
7	Markos	1994/95	Holeta	Kik type		
8	Arjo-1	2005	Bako	Kik type		
9	Bamo	2005	Bako	Kik type		
10	Dadimos	1994/95	Sinana	Kik type		

Farmer's data on-farm (baby trials): In addition to the qualities determined for the study, the best varieties for eight additional attributes were evaluated and chosen using participatory onfarm trials. Growth behavior, grain color, seed early maturity, vield performance, size, diet suitability. and marketability. disease resistance were the eight attributes. A total of 43 farmers—27 men and 16 women—participated actively in the evaluation of the varieties. Agronomic information gathered from both onfarm and on-station studies was analyzed and compared with all comments and features that farmers valued or thought were essential from individual farmer and focus group talks. The evaluation of the trials began as soon as the crop emerged. While selection for the traits of grain seed color, seed size. appropriateness, and marketability was done after harvest and thrown away, variety selection for the traits of growth habit, earliness, disease. and total yield attribute was carried out close to physiological maturity. Based on farmers' selection criteria, varieties were ranked using the rank sum approach for each feature for each variety. Each trait's worth is equally weighted. Each selection criterion for each variety was ranked from 1 to 5 (1 = Very poor, 2 = Poor, 3 = Average, 4 = Good, and 5 = Very good) after the process was described participating farmers. According to farmers' preferences, simple ranking is a common method for identifying promising cultivars (De Boef & Thijssen, 2007). In order to minimize bias, varieties were recognized during assessment by their plot numbers rather

than their names, as recommended by [10]. Data on vield was collected for each plot and then translated to vield per hectare.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Performance in Agronomy

The findings of the analysis of variance showed that most of the studied agronomic parameters show significant variation between varieties (Table 2). No one variety was identified that demonstrated consistently improved performance in all measured traits across the two testing settings, despite differences in agronomic performance and disease reactivity amongst varieties. However, one variety was shown to be better to the others in the majority of attributes.

Accordingly, the highest in plant height, pod per plant, seed per pod and thousand seed weight were recorded from Burkitu variety with mean grain yield of 4167 kg ha-1 in mother trial and trials followed by Dadimos with mean grain yield of 3720 kg ha-1 at on-station; while the intermediate to lower values of agronomic traits were recorded from varieties, Tullu Dimtu, Bamo, Tegegnech, Urji, Adi, and Arjo consecutively (Table 2). To determine whether the farmers' preferred varieties were approved or rejected, it was necessary to compare the agronomic performance with their perception and criteria.

Table 2. Averages of growth, yield components, and mother trial yield

Varieties	Mean values							
	PH (cm)	NPPP	NBPP	NSPP	HSW (g)	GY (Kg ha ⁻¹)		
Burkitu	182.1	49.58a	0.58	5.42	21.63ª	4167a		
Tulu-Dimtu	197.8	31.92ab	1.42	5.13	20.03 ^{abc}	3537 ^{ab}		
Adi	201.8	34.17 ^{ab}	0.83	5.33	19.20 ^{abc}	3104 ^{bc}		
Gume	202.4	36.42ab	1.00	5.75	18.97 ^{abc}	3326bc		
Tegegnech	209.2	35.33 ^{ab}	1.08	5.58	20.83 ^{abc}	3021bc		
Urji	201.7	26.58c	1.08	5.67	19.33 ^{abc}	3275 ^{bc}		
Markos	203.4	44.42ab	1.50	5.83	21.20 ^{ab}	3113 ^{bc}		
Arjo	229.3	29.08ab	1.08	6.08	18.13 ^{bc}	2940°		
Bamo	208.3	40.75 ^{ab}	1.66	5.17	17.73°	3312 ^{bc}		
Dadimos	194.0	47.92ab	1.83	5.25	21.0 ^{abc}	3720 ^{ab}		
LSD (0.05)	NS	22.44	NS	NS	3.46	1261.55		
CV (%)	11.5	24.8	24.2	7.2	10.2	21.9		

Keys: P H= plant height, NPPP=number of pod per plant, NBPP= Number of branch per plant, NSPP= number of seed per pod, HSW= hundred seed weight, GY= Grain yield

Table 3. Mean value of each farmer's selection traits and ranking of varieties

Farmers' trait	Varieties									
	Burkitu	Gume	Adi	Tegegnech	Tullu-dimtu	Urji	Markos	Bamo	Arjo-1	Dadimos
Pod Bearing (PB)	12.6	7.9	9.9	9.0	7.3	9.3	5.6	10.4	12.7	7.1
Earliness (EL)	10.5	9.4	9.1	11.4	11.9	11.4	8.3	12.4	6.2	11.2
Synchrony of Maturity (SM)	15.0	9.8	8.5	13.9	13.7	9.9	8.4	13.8	9.6	8.3
Free of disease and insect Pest	13.5	6.1	12.4	9.7	8.4	6.7	6.5	11.2	10.2	5.4
Shattering (SH)	13.3	9.2	11.2	11.1	8.6	9.0	11.1	12.4	14.4	10.6
Seed size (SS)	14.9	10.1	11.8	9.6	9.1	11	10.3	12.5	12.3	11.2
Seed color (SC)	13.0	10.3	13.6	9.3	10.9	13.1	9.0	13.6	12.8	11.1
Market value (MV)	13.9	9.6	13.5	9.7	10.2	12.1	9.5	13.0	13.2	10.4
Overall performance (OAP)	12.9	9.1	10.8	9.5	8.4	9.8	7.2	11.0	11.0	7.1
Total	119.6	81.5	100.8	93.2	88.5	92.3	75.9	110.3	102.4	82.4
Mean preference rating	13.29	9.06	11.20	10.36	9.83	10.26	8.43	12.26	11.38	9.16
Rank	1	8	3	5	7	6	9	2	4	10

3.2 Farmer Preferences and Variety Ratings

About eight different features were mentioned for the farmers who participated in participatory varietal selection and which helped them choose which field pea varieties to adopt (Table 3). The participants rated each attribute either as important or as being most important. Farmers therefore take into account a variety of features, but it's important to pinpoint a handful that they frequently employ. Farmers employing a mix of a few features when evaluating new varieties was found in a prior study [11] on common beans.

According to this study, the most crucial factors farmers considered when choosing varieties of field pea for adoption were growth habit, disease reaction, yield performance, seed color, seed size, earliness, marketability, and diet suitability (Table 3). According to the results of the one-on-one interviews conducted at each site, the majority of farmers favored cultivars that were resistant to disease, grew and continued to bloom, and had good pod loads and pod length. These criteria were determined to be the main deciding factors and their decision-making criteria to keep or reject a variety, with the other criteria serving as descriptors to choose a good variety.

The last criterion being a descriptor to choose from a decent selection. Farmers graded the tenth released field pea varieties on a scale of 1 to 5 (where 1 is very poor, 2 is poor, 3 is average, 4 is acceptable, and 5 is very good). All types were graded 1-10 according to the mean value of farmers' perceptions (Table 3). Despite having very equal perceptions for several features, the variation 'Burkitu' was favoured by a large number of participants and superior to the others in most of the tested traits, followed by the 'Bamo' variety (Table 3). While the two remaining varieties had lower rank and relatively similar log odds ratios for growth habit, earliness, yield, marketability, and diet suitability, but varied in disease reaction, seed size, and seed color, Adi was also one of the competent varieties and the preferred variety in the majority of traits. Similar to this, [13] observed that since good qualities are rarely seen in a single variety, there is a better likelihood of sustaining on-farm diversity when there is more variation in the selection criterion. Although it is impossible to discover a single variety that satisfies every requirement of the farmer [14], the analysis of the farmers' preference score revealed that "Burkitu" has received the greatest amount of farmer preference and has undergone the most positive selection. Overall, according to the combined farmers' view, Burkitu, Bamo, and Adi were ranked first, second, and third, respectively, while the remaining kinds were ranked fourth through tenth, sequentially (Table 3).

4. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDA-TION

The analyzed results of this study indicated that field pea variety in Guji zone is greatly influenced by the low yielder and market: thus, farmers seek for varieties that give them a high yielding and marketing edge. Farmers preferred variety with good seed color and size which is attractive or marketable at a premium price for income purpose, while yield and culinary qualities for Researchers must consumption. consider farmers selection traits in their varietal development including seed yield, seed size, and seed color, market value and overall field performance. Besides, the training given during participatory variety selection process enhances capacity of the farmers for identifying varieties and managing varietal diversity. Therefore, field pea variety with a combination of traits such as disease resistance/tolerance, early maturity, high yield, large seed size, white-yellowish color, and good taste have a higher likelihood of being accepted by farmers in the Guji zone of Oromia. Based on farmers' selection criteria, variety Burkitu and Bamo were selected as the first top ranking varieties respectively at all three farmer Therefore, these two varieties recommended for Bore, Ana Sorra, Dama and similar agro ecologies of Guji Zone for production and scaling up programs. In general involving famers in research, specially, in crop breeding can improve variety development as the famers are capable of identifying superior lines that meet their specific require

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Kent McKay et al. Field pea production. In Production. 2003;1166:1-8.
- CSA. The federal democratic republic of Ethiopia Central Statistical Agency. Agricultural Sample Survey. Report on Area and Production of Major Crops

- (Private Peasant Holdings Meher Season, 2020/2021)". Addis Ababa: Statistical Bulletin; 2021.
- 3. Kapila RK et al. Analysis of genetic diversity among gardenand fi eld-pea genotypes of higher Indian Himalayas. Journal of Plant Biochemistry and Biotechnology. 2012;21:286-291.
- 4. Stenvovic V et al. Productive and quantitative traits of pea fodder and grain depending on nitrogen nutrition. Biotechnology in Animal Husbandry. 2005; 21:287-291.
- Keneni G et al. Genetic diversity for attributes of biological nitrogen fixation in Abyssinian field pea (*Pisum sativum* var. abyssinicum) germplasm accessions. Ethiopian Journal of Agriculture. 2013;4: 1-20.
- Girma B. The state of grain marketing in Ethiopia. In Proceedings of the EDRI/IFPRI 2020 Network policy forum on toward sustainable food security in Ethiopia: Integrating the Agri-Food Chain; 2003.
- 7. Shahidur R, et al. Pules value chain in Ethiopia; Constraints and opportunities for enhancing exports. International Food Policy Research Institute; 2010.
- 8. FAOSTAT; 2019.
- MOA (Minsitry of Agriculture). Variety register booklet for. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia; 2018.

- Kapinga RE et al. Farmer criteria for selection of sweet potato varieties, results from Tanzania. In: Rees D, Van Oirschot Q, Kapinga R. (Eds.)". Sweetpotato Postharvest Assessment: Experiences from East Africa, Chattam, UK, Natural Resources Institute. 2003;9-21.
- Asfaw A et al. Participatory approach in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) breeding for drought tolerance for southern Ethiopia. Plant Breeding 131.1. 2012;125-134.
- 12. Asrat A. Participatory varietal evaluation and breeding of the common bean in the Southern region of Ethiopia. Farmers, seeds and varieties: Supporting supply informal in Ethiopia. seed Wageningen International. 2008: 348.
- Vom BK et al. Participatory variety development for sorghum in Burkina Faso: Farmers' selection and farmers' criteria. Field Crops Research. 2010;119: 183-194.
- 14. Dorp M Van, Rulkens T. Farmer cropselection criteria and gene bank collections in Indonesia. In: de Boef W, Amanor K, Wellard K, Bebbington A (Eds.), Cultivating Knowledge. Genetic Diversity, Farmer Experimentation and Crop Research, Intermediate Technology Publications. 1993;119-127.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://prh.globalpresshub.com/review-history/1565