

Journal of Experimental Agriculture International

Volume 46, Issue 5, Page 926-936, 2024; Article no.JEAI.115279 ISSN: 2457-0591 (Past name: American Journal of Experimental Agriculture, Past ISSN: 2231-0606)

Impact of Plant Spacing and Mulch on Growth Parameters of Strawberry (Fragaria x ananassa Duch.)

Himadri S Datta ^{a*}, P.C.Barua ^b, U.Kotoky ^b, R.Das ^b, H.Saikia ^c and H.K.D Nath ^d

 ^a College of Horticulture and Farming Systems Research, Assam Agricultural University, Nalbari, 781338, India.
^b College of Agriculture, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat, 785013, India.

^c College of Sericulture, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat, 785013, India. ^d AAU-Zonal Research Station, Assam Agricultural University, Shillongani, 782002, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Authors HSD and PCB did the conceptualization and designing of the research work and data collection. Authors HS and HKDN did the analysis of data and interpretation. Authors UK and RD helped in the preparation of manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JEAI/2024/v46i52448

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/115279

> Received: 05/02/2024 Accepted: 10/04/2024 Published: 19/04/2024

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

Plant spacing and mulch material have got tremendous influence on crop growth and yield.Proper crop spacing aids in adequate harvesting of solar radiation and sufficient absorption of nutrients and moisture from the soil due to well developed root system which can be accommodated by making changes in inter and intra row spacing.Although plant spacing has a prominent influence on plant growth and development but has received a sort of slight attention in strawberry cultivation.Mulching

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: himadri.shekhar.datta@aau.ac.in

J. Exp. Agric. Int., vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 926-936, 2024

Datta et al.; J. Exp. Agric. Int., vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 926-936, 2024; Article no.JEAI.115279

controls or increases soil temperature, maintains soil moisture, improves water and nutrient absorption and reduces weed growth. These factors have tremendous influence on crop growth and as Strawberry is a surface feeder, mulching plays a very important role. The purpose of this study was to assess the influence of spacing and mulch on growth of Strawberry. The present experiment was carried out during 2019-20 and 2020-21 in Jorhat, Assam with the objective to assess the influence of spacing and mulch on growth of Strawberry. The experiment was laid out in randomized block Design with 2 factors viz. spacing and mulch with three replications involving twenty treatments comprising of five plant spacings viz., 20 cm x 30 cm (S1), 30 cm x 30 cm (S2), 30 cm x 40 cm (S3), 40 cm x 40 cm (S4), 40 cm x 60 cm (S5) and four different mulch applications viz., paddy straw (M1), red mulch (M2), silver black mulch (M3) and no mulch (M4) and the data of individual years were subjected to pooled analysis. The treatments significantly influenced the various parameters. The experiment's findings showed that mulch material and the plant spacing had a favorable effect on strawberry growth. The pooled data revealed that 40 cm x 60 cm spacing with silver black mulch recorded maximum leaf area, Leaf Relative Water Content and minimum days from first flower opening to fruit setting which may have influence on crop vield. Standardization of spacing and mulch material according to agroclimatic condition will help farmers to cultivate Strawberry on commercial level.

Keywords: Spacing; mulch; strawberry; growth; flowering; leaf area.

1. INTRODUCTION

"Strawberry (Fragaria × ananassa Duch.) belongs to the Rosaceae family and is cultivated all over the world for its aggregate accessory fruits. The total area of cultivated Strawberry was 3.95 thousand hectares with global production of 9.2 million tonnes during the year 2019" [1]. "Crop growth of strawberry can be increased by manipulation of plant spacing and crop management techniques. Plant spacing helps in increasing crop growth through effective utilization of solar radiation, nutrients and underground resources bringing about better photosynthate formation. Optimum plant spacing aids in sufficient harvesting of solar radiation and adequate absorption of nutrients and moisture from the soil due to well developed root system by making changes in inter and intra row Mulching spacing. has impact on soil temperature, soil moisture regulation, boost water and nutrient absorption, minimize weed growth and improves fruit quality by avoiding the direct contact of soil with fruit" [2]. The present investigation aimed to study the effect of spacing and mulch on growth of strawberry.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Experimental Details

The study was conducted at the farmer's field at Jorhat district of India during the consecutive years 2019-2020 and 2020-2021. The field experiment plot was laid out in factorial randomized block design and consisted of three replications. The tissue culture strawberry plants of variety Sweet Charlie were planted in open condition in the experimental plot. There were 20 treatment combinations comprising of five plant spacings viz., 20 cm x 30 cm (S1), 30 cm x 30 cm (S2), 30 cm x 40 cm (S3), 40 cm x 40 cm (S4), 40 cm x 60 cm (S5) and four different mulch applications viz., paddy straw (M1), red mulch (M2), silver black mulch (M3) and no mulch (M4).

T1 S1M1	20 cm x 30 cm with paddy straw mulch
T2 S1M2	20 cm x 30 cm with red mulch
T3 S1M3	20 cm x 30 cm with silver black
	mulch
T4 S1M4	20 cm x 30 cm with no mulch
T5 S2M1	30 cm x 30 cm with paddy straw mulch
T6 S2M2	30 cm x 30 cm with red mulch
T7 S2M3	30 cm x 30 cm with silver black
	mulch
T8 S2M4	30 cm x 30 cm with no mulch
T9 S3M1	30 cm x 40 cm with paddy straw
	mulch
T10 S3M2	30 cm x 40 cm with red mulch
T11 S3M3	30 cm x 40 cm with silver black mulch
T12 S3M4	30 cm x 40 cm with no mulch
T13 S4M1	40 cm x 40 cm with paddy straw mulch
T14 S4M2	40 cm x 40 cm with red mulch
T15 S4M3	40 cm x 40 cm with silver black
	mulch
T16 S4M4	40 cm x 40 cm with no mulch

T17	S5M1	40 cm x 60 cm with paddy straw mulch
T18	S5M2	40 cm x 60 cm with red mulch
T19	S5M3	40 cm x 60 cm with silver black
		mulch
T205	65M4	40 cm x 60 cm with no mulch

"Growth parameters viz. leaf area, leaf relative water content, days to appearance of first flower and days taken from first flower opening to fruit setting were recorded at proper time during the crop cycle. The data recorded during field experimentation were subjected to the statistical analysis of variance using factorial randomised block design" as described by [3]. "The overall significance of difference among the treatments was tested, using critical differences (C.D.) at 5% level of significance. The results were statistically analyzed with the help of a windows-based computer package OPSTAT and SPSS software" [4].

2.2 Land Preparation and Planting

The tissue cultured planting materials of Strawberry variety Sweet Charlie were brought from Daffodil Nursery Old, Dhupguri, Kamrup, Assam. The plants were healthy, uniform in growth with well developed root systems and were planted in 15-20 cm raised beds with spacing of different treatments. The outer leaves were pinched off and coco peats of the roots of the seedlings were washed properly. All plants were given uniform cultural practices during the course of investigation. The experimental area (open condition) was brought to a fine tilth by ploughing followed by harrowing and levelling. Weeds and stones were removed from the field. For each treatment equal sized plots each measuring 1.5 m x 2.0 m were laid out accommodating plants depending on treatment spacings in each plot. Each treatment contained three number of replication and a gap of 50 cm was left between two adjacent plots. Raised beds of 15-20 cm in height were prepared for planting the strawberry plants.Before planting, the soil of each bed was enriched with 3 kg of well decomposed cow dung and then treated with Captaf @ 3g per litre water to avoid soil borne pathogens. After that, mulching was done with different mulched materials in the plots as per respective treatments. In case of paddy straw mulching treatments, paddy straw was spread uniformly over the beds where the thickness of straw was 5 cm and plastic mulches (30 micron) of silver black and red of the treatments were spread after bed preparation. Before planting,

holes were made on the mulch materials with a sharp blade at different treatments of plant to plant and row to row spacing for planting the strawberry plants by inserting in the holes. Healthy tissue cultured planting materials along with ball of earth were then planted carefully with a khurpi after treatment with Ridomil Gold @ 2 g per litre of water. Vermicompost application was made to the experimental plot as preplanting application. Vermicompost @ 2.5 t ha-1 was applied one fortnight before planting the strawberry plants and it was mixed thoroughly during bed preparation. NPK 19-19-19 was applied through foliar spraying @ 5 g l⁻¹ water at ten days interval after one month of planting. The crop was lightly and continuously irrigated and optimum soil moisture level maintained in the field throughout the raising of crop.Foliar application of micronutrient Tracel at the rate of 5 a l-1 of water was done after one month of planting at monthly intervals. Tracel is water soluble thereby facilitating ready uptake of nutrients by plants.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Leaf Area

The leaf area of each trifoliate leaf per plant was calculated at flowering stage and was expressed in cm². The effect of spacing and mulch on leaf area is shown in Table 1. The interaction of spacing and mulching has recorded significant effect for all the treatments. The interactions involving spacing and mulching exhibited significant effect on leaf area for both the years of study. Treatment combination T₁₉ (40 cm x 60 cm spacing with silver black mulch) registered maximum leaf area (106.07 cm²) while minimum leaf area (59.04 cm²) was observed in T₄.

"Leaf is the main site of photosynthetic activity and hence the estimation of leaf area is very important in the growth analysis of crop plants. Strawberry leaves at different leaf ages had a significantly different maximum photosynthetic rate and the maximum photosynthetic rates of the functional leaves were generally 1-1.5 times greater than the new leaves and 80% greater than the old leaves. Functional leaves are complete in structure and rich in chlorophyll, allowing for optimal light use efficiency. The total net photosynthesis of the lower old leaves throughout the day are very low, especially on cloudy days with insufficient light. New leaves have small sizes and leaf inclination angles, low chlorophyll and protein contents and are exposed to a little light. Old leaves are located below functional leaves, some of their chlorophyll and protein decompose and they are also exposed to a little light. Functional leaves are exposed to the most light and contain the most chlorophyll and protein and the strongest photosynthetic energy, making full use of light energy. Therefore, during strawberry management, it is necessary to remove old leaves as early as possible in order to minimize the volume of low-light and light-free areas to improve the use of light energy" [5]. "Development of new leaf area is reduced substantially even under moderate water stress. In addition, several mechanisms exist in crop plants which can reduce the amount of radiation intercepted by mature foliage" [6].

"Optimum row spacing ensures better light interception and penetration into the crop canopy and enhances light utilization efficiency in crop plants" [7]. Wider spacing recorded maximum leaf area than that of closer spacing. This could be due to lessened competition for light and reduced overlapping from adjoining plants which might have enabled the plants grown at wider spacing to utilize its energy for the production of a larger leaf area. Leaf emergence reduced under very close planting owing to lower temperature inside the canopy since temperature had significant influence on leaf emergence. This might have helped in production of higher leaf area by producing wider leaves in wider spacing and narrow leaves in closer spacing. These results were supported by findings [8,9,10,11].

Higher values of leaf area under plastic mulch was obtained which may be attributed to more water conservation, better soil hydrothermal regimes and suppression of weeds that helped

Treatment	Leaf area(cm ²)							
	2019-20	20	2020-21		Pooled			
Spacing (S)								
S ₁	77.09 ^e		75.	42 ^e	76.	25 ^e		
S ₂	80.72 ^d		78.	78.69 ^d		79.71 ^d		
S₃	83.92°		81.	60 ^c	82.	76 ^c		
S4	86.50 ^b		84.	30 ^b	85.	40 ^b		
S ₅	87.96 ^a		85.	58ª	86.	77 ^a		
SEd(±)	0.44		0.3	8	0.2	9		
CD(P=0.05)	0.90		0.7	7	0.5	8		
Mulches (M)								
M1	75.30°		73.	65°	74.	48 ^c		
M2	89.45 ^b		87.	15 ^b	88.	30 ^b		
M ₃	101.81ª		99.	68 ^a	100).75 ^a		
M4	66.39 ^d		63.	98 ^d	65.	19 ^d		
SEd(±)	0.40		0.34		0.26			
CD(P=0.05)	0.80		0.6	9	0.52			
Interaction (S x M)								
Treatment	2019-	2020-	Pooled	Treatment	2019-	2020-	Pooled	
combination	20	21		combination	20	21		
T1 (S1M1)	71.29	69.37	70.33	T11 (S3M3)	102.57	101.35	101.96	
T ₂ (S ₁ M ₂)	82.60	81.38	81.99	T12 (S3M4)	67.62	64.29	65.95	
T ₃ (S ₁ M ₃)	94.84	92.47	93.65	T ₁₃ (S ₄ M ₁)	77.30	76.25	76.78	
T4 (S1M4)	59.62	58.46	59.04	T14 (S4M2)	93.47	91.27	92.37	
T ₅ (S ₂ M ₁)	73.60	71.58	72.59	T15 (S4M3)	105.68	103.40	104.54	
T ₆ (S ₂ M ₂)	86.43	83.38	84.91	T ₁₆ (S₄M₄)	69.55	66.29	67.92	
T7 (S2M3)	98.58	96.47	97.52	T₁⁊ (S₅M₁)	78.39	76.52	77.45	
T ₈ (S ₂ M ₄)	64.27	63.34	63.81	T ₁₈ (S ₅ M ₂)	95.15	93.54	94.35	
T ₉ (S ₃ M ₁)	75.91	74.55	75.23	T ₁₉ (S ₅ M ₃)	107.40	104.74	106.07	
T ₁₀ (S ₃ M ₂)	89.59	86.19	87.89	T ₂₀ (S ₅ M ₄)	70.91	67.51	69.21	
	2019-20			2020-2021	Pooled			
SEd(±)	0.89			0.76	0.58			
CD(P=0.05)	1.80			1.54	1.16			

Table 1. Effect of spacing, mulch and spacing and mulch (S x M) interaction on Leaf area (cm²)

the plants to produce more leaves with more leaf area. The enhancement of soil properties and soil microbial activity also led to the growth of the leaf acquiring more leaf area. These results are in line with the findings of [12,13,14]. Similar results were also reported by [15,16] in strawberry who observed the positive role of black mulch in enhancing leaf area than other mulches of paddy straw, clear polyethylene mulch.

"Regarding the apparently higher leaf area in plants exposed to red mulch compared to paddy straw and no mulch, leaf production might be promoted by light in the red range" [17]. "However plant response to different mulch colors is highly dependent on the species, experimental conditions and leaf area sampling as in tomato plants exposed to black or white mulches, leaf area was found to be unaffected" [18].

3.2 Leaf Relative Water Content (%)

The Relative Water content (RWC) of the recently mature leaves was determined. The effect of spacing and mulch on leaf relative water content is shown in Table 2. In the interactions involving spacing and mulching, the variation in leaf relative water content was statistically non significant for both the years of study and pooled data with T₁₉ registering the maximum value (76.12%). Strawberry consumes a great amount of water because of its large leaf area, shallow root system and juicy texture [19,20]. Leaf relative water content (LRWC) is an important indicator of water status in plants through their effect on cell volume; it reflects the balance between water supply to the leaf tissue and transpiration rate [21,22].

The LRWC was found to increase at wider spacing and decrease at narrow spacing. Higher root densities of narrower planting may result in a more rapid depletion of soil water content thereby influencing LRWC. High plant population might also increase competition for water, which may reduce relative leaf water content [23,24,25].

Plastic mulch resulted in higher LRWC than straw mulch and no mulch treatments which might be due to favourable soil physical condition which play an important role in the root extension and absorption of moisture & nutrients. Higher values of LRWC under mulch treatments could be due to less evaporational water loss and more water conservation. The results of present study are in close conformity with those reported by [26,27,28]. However, in some study it was observed that high temperature cause increase in transpiration and this change may lead to a reduction in the LRWC and loss of turgidity [29,30,31].

3.3 Days to Appearance of First Flower (days)

Data of days taken to appearance of first flower from planting under different treatments was recorded and has been presented in Table 3. The interactions involving spacing and mulching showed non significant effect on number of days to appearance of first flower during the second year of study and pooled data while first year of study showed significant effect. Treatment combination T₃ registered the minimum number of days to appearance of first flower (33.50 days).

Closer spacing took minimum number of days to first flowering and flower bud appearance delayed successively as the planting distances were increased. This might be due to more competition among plants in closer spacing for space, light and air and nutrition, hence closer spaced plants tended to grow vertically and led to early physiological maturity as a result of their growth. The plants grown at closer spacing completed their vegetative growth earlier and entered into reproductive phase than wider spacing, resulting in earlier flower bud initiation in closer spaced plants. The longest days to flowering with a wider spacing might be due to the fact that more nutritional area available in the wider spacing might have caused the crop to flower later than the narrower spacing. Furthermore, this result might be because wider spacing had a better light interception as compared to the narrow row spacing, resulting in more number of days to flowering. The results of the present study are in conformity with the findings of [32,33,34,35,36,37]. This findings are in contrast to the views of [38] and [39] who reported early flowering and fruiting in wider spaced plants which might be due to less interplant competition and more leaf surfaces exposed to light, which increased the metabolism of the plant causing early physiological maturity, flowering and subsequent fruiting.

Treatment	Leaf Relative Water Content (%)							
	2019-2020 2020-21			Pooled				
Spacing (S)								
S ₁	70.80 ^d		72.0	3°	71.4	11 ^d		
S ₂	71.05°		72.2	4 ^c	71.6	65°		
S ₃	71.42 ^b		72.6	3 ^b	72.0)2 ^b		
S4	72.05ª		73.0	5 ^a	72.5	55 ^a		
S ₅	72.15ª		73.2	5 ^a	72.7	70 ^a		
SEd(±)	0.12		0.12		30.0	3		
CD(P=0.05)	0.24		0.24		0.17	7		
Mulches (M)								
M ₁	70.99°		72.2	5°	71.6	62°		
M ₂	74.03 ^b		75.1	2 ^b	74.5	5 7 ^b		
M ₃	74.80 ^a		76.1	5 ^a	75.4	17 ^a		
M4	66.15 ^d		67.0	4 ^d	66.6	50 ^d		
SEd(±)	0.11		0.11		0.08			
CD(P=0.05)	0.22		0.22		0.15			
Interaction (S x M)								
Treatment	2019-20	2020-	Pooled	Treatment	2019-	2020-	Pooled	
combination		21		combination	20	21		
T1 (S1M1)	70.33	71.76	71.04	T₁1 (S₃M₃)	74.77	76.15	75.46	
T ₂ (S ₁ M ₂)	73.23	74.45	73.84	T ₁₂ (S ₃ M ₄)	66.28	67.22	66.75	
T3 (S1M3)	74.16	75.42	74.79	T13 (S4M1)	71.31	72.65	71.98	
T ₄ (S ₁ M ₄)	65.45	66.46	65.96	T ₁₄ (S ₄ M ₂)	74.92	75.43	75.18	
$T_5(S_2M_1)$	70.65	71.85	71.25	T15 (S4M3)	75.46	76.67	76.06	
T ₆ (S ₂ M ₂)	73.55	74.70	74.13	T16 (S4M4)	66.50	67.45	66.98	
T ₇ (S ₂ M ₃)	74.24	75.63	74.94	T17 (S5M1)	71.81	72.75	72.28	
T ₈ (S ₂ M ₄)	65.76	66.70	66.27	T ₁₈ (S ₅ M ₂)	74.65	76.09	75.37	
T9 (S3M1)	70.84	72.23	71.53	T19 (S5M3)	75.37	76.86	76.12	
T ₁₀ (S ₃ M ₂)	73.78	74.91	74.35	T ₂₀ (S ₅ M ₄)	66.79	67.29	67.04	
	2019-20			2020-2021	Pooled			
SEd(±)	0.24			0.24	0.17			
CD(P=0.05)	NS			NS	NS			

Table 2. Effect of spacing, mulch and spacing and mulch (S x M) interaction on Leaf Relative Water Content (%)

Silver black and red mulch showed early flowering which might be due to accumulation of more heat units that induced early flowering under sub tropical conditions. Earliness in silver black mulch could be due to its reflective properties which increased light interception into inner canopy that might have resulted in early flowering and fruiting in strawberry. Maximum number of days to appearance of first flower was observed in no mulch and paddy straw due to presence of low temperature which might have affected the flowering. These results are in the earlier findings conformity with of [40,41,42,43,44,45] who noted that a film that filtered red light, decreasing R/FR ratio, would promote flowering in plants.

3.4 Days from First Flower Opening to Fruit Setting

Data of number of days taken from first flower opening to fruit setting under different treatments was recorded and has been presented in Table 4. The interactions involving spacing and mulching showed significant differences on days from first flower opening to fruit setting during both the years of study. Treatment combination T₁₉ (40 cm x 60 cm spacing with silver black mulch) took minimum days from first flower opening to fruit setting (4.75 days) while maximum days from first flower opening to fruit setting (9.69 days) was observed in T₄.

Treatment	Days to appearance of first flower							
	2019-202					d		
Spacing(S)								
S ₁	36.69 ^a			9.00 ^a		37.85ª	37.85 ^a	
S ₂	37.25 ^b		3	9.49 ^b		38.37 ^t)	
S ₃	38.21°		4	0.02°		39.11ª	;	
S ₄	39.00 ^d		4	0.41 ^d		39.70°	1	
S ₅	39.41 ^d		4	1.17 ^e		40.29 [∉]	3	
SEd(±)	0.20		C	0.16		0.13		
CD(P=0.05)	0.42		C	.33		0.26		
Mulches(M)								
M ₁	40.52 ^c		4	2.67°		41.60 ^c	;	
M ₂	34.20 ^b		3	6.39 ^b		35.29 ^t)	
M ₃	33.72 ^a		3	5.66 ^a		34.69ª	1	
M4	44.00 ^d 45.37 ^d			5.37 ^d	44.69 ^d			
SEd(±)	0.18		C).15	0.11	0.11		
CD(P=0.05)	0.37		C	.29	0.23			
Interaction (S x M)								
Treatment	2019-20	2020-21	Pooled	Treatment	2019-20	2020-21	Pooled	
combination				combination				
T ₁ (S ₁ M ₁)	38.19	41.83	40.00	T11 (S3M3)	33.82	35.85	34.84	
$T_2 (S_1 M_2)$	33.10	35.40	34.25	T ₁₂ (S ₃ M ₄)	43.96	45.38	44.67	
T ₃ (S ₁ M ₃)	32.45	34.56	33.50	T13 (S4M1)	41.77	43.40	42.59	
T4 (S1M4)	43.02	44.25	43.63	T14 (S4M2)	35.11	36.70	35.91	
T ₅ (S ₂ M ₁)	39.43	41.98	40.70	T15 (S4M3)	34.40	35.92	35.16	
$T_6 (S_2 M_2)$	33.30	35.83	34.56	T ₁₆ (S ₄ M ₄)	44.72	45.60	45.16	
T ₇ (S ₂ M ₃)	32.98	35.40	34.19	T ₁₇ (S ₅ M ₁)	42.48	43.59	43.04	
$T_8 (S_2 M_4)$	43.27	44.76	44.02	T ₁₈ (S ₅ M ₂)	35.17	37.71	36.44	
T ₉ (S ₃ M ₁)	40.73	42.54	41.63	T19 (S5M3)	34.92	36.55	35.74	
T ₁₀ (S ₃ M ₂)	34.32	36.33	35.32	T ₂₀ (S ₅ M ₄)	45.04	46.84	45.94	
	2019-20			2020-2021	Pooled			
SEd(±)	0.41			0.33	0.26			
CD(P=0.05)	0.83			NS	NS			

Table 3. Effect of spacing, mulch and spacing and mulch (S x M) interaction on days to appearance of first flower

Superscript by same letter means they are at par

Table 4. Effect of spacing, mulch and spacing and mulch (S x M) interaction on days from firstflower opening to fruit setting

Treatment	Days from first flower opening to fruit setting						
	2019-2020	2020-21	Pooled				
Spacing(S)							
S ₁	6.67 ^d	8.01 ^d	7.34 ^d				
S ₂	6.39°	7.63°	7.01°				
S ₃	6.19 ^b	7.34 ^b	6.76 ^b				
S ₄	5.73 ^a	7.01 ^a	6.37ª				
S ₅	5.64 ^a	6.96 ^a	6.30ª				
SEd(±)	0.09	0.07	0.05				
CD(P=0.05)	0.18	0.14	0.12				
Mulches (M)							
M ₁	5.98 ^b	7.70°	6.84 ^c				
M2	5.13 ^a	6.04 ^b	5.59 ^b				
Mз	5.03 ^a	5.59 ^a	5.31ª				
M4	8.36 ^c	10.20 ^d	9.28 ^d				

Treatment	Days from first flower opening to fruit setting							
	2019-202			0-21	Pooled			
SEd(±)	0.08		0.06	6	0.05			
CD(P=0.05)	0.16		0.13	3		0.10		
Interaction (S x M)								
Treatment	2019-20	2020-21	Pooled	Treatment	2019-	2020-	Pooled	
combination				combination	20	21		
T ₁ (S ₁ M ₁)	6.54	8.33	7.43	T ₁₁ (S ₃ M ₃)	5.36	5.42	5.39	
$T_2(S_1M_2)$	5.68	6.83	6.25	T ₁₂ (S ₃ M ₄)	8.24	10.24	9.24	
$T_3 (S_1 M_3)$	5.72	6.24	5.98	T ₁₃ (S ₄ M ₁)	5.65	7.43	6.54	
$T_4 (S_1 M_4)$	8.75	10.63	9.69	T ₁₄ (S ₄ M ₂)	4.74	5.45	5.10	
$T_5(S_2M_1)$	6.25	7.78	7.01	T ₁₅ (S ₄ M ₃)	4.33	5.27	4.80	
$T_6(S_2M_2)$	5.43	6.55	5.99	T ₁₆ (S ₄ M ₄)	8.19	9.90	9.05	
T ₇ (S ₂ M ₃)	5.46	5.82	5.64	T ₁₇ (S ₅ M ₁)	5.59	7.36	6.47	
$T_8 (S_2 M_4)$	8.44	10.36	9.40	T ₁₈ (S ₅ M ₂)	4.53	5.34	4.94	
$T_9 (S_3 M_1)$	5.87	7.64	6.76	T ₁₉ (S ₅ M ₃)	4.27	5.23	4.75	
$T_{10}(S_3M_2)$	5.30	6.04	5.67	T ₂₀ (S ₅ M ₄)	8.17	9.89	9.03	
	2019-20			2020-2021	Pooled			
SEd(±)	0.18			0.15	0.12			
CD(P=0.05)	0.37			0.30	0.23			

Datta et al.; J. Exp. Agric. Int., vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 926-936, 2024; Article no.JEAI.115279

Superscript by same letter means they are at par

Optimum conditions for fruit set include high light intensity, warm temperature, and adequate soil moisture and nutrients. Wider spacing may result in minimum days required for fruit set compared to other interactions due to less competition for mineral nutrients and water between the plants. Higher fruit setting in plant under wider spacing might be due to greater photosynthetic activity because of exposure of more number of leaves to sunlight, while availability of poor sunlight to the lower leaves of the plant at closer spacing becomes a limiting factor and adversely affects the flowering and fruiting. Research results are in accordance with the findings of [10,46,47,48].

Silver black polyethylene mulch gave minimum days to fruit setting than other mulch materials. This might have been influenced by favourable canopy temperature, soil temperature and moisture conditions provided by the silver black mulch. Moreover, it might also have improved the fruit set due to reduction of flower drop by minimizing the moisture stress. The results are in agreement with the observation of [13,15,49,16,50].

4. CONCLUSION

The study exhibited that the optimum plant spacing and suitable mulch material had a considerable influence on crop growth, yield and quality of strawberry. The results indicated that 40 cm x 60 cm spacing with silver black mulch recorded maximum leaf area, Leaf Relative Water Content and minimum days from first flower opening to fruit setting. However, minimum number of days to appearance of first flower was obtained in 20 cm x 30 cm spacing with silver black mulch.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the competent authority of Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat, India for providing necessary guidance, support and assistance during the course of investigation.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Mahmood MM, Al-Dulaimy AF. Response of strawberry cv. Festival to culture media and foliar application of nano and normal micronutrients. IOP Conf. Series: Earth Envt. Sci. 2021;904:1-11.
- Kijchavengkul T, Auras R, Rubino M, Gouajia M, Fernanadez RT. Assessment of aliphatic–aromatic copolyester biodegradable mulch films. Part I: Field study. Chemosphere, 2008;71:942-953.
- 3. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi; 1985.

- Sheoran OP, Tonk DS, Kaushik LS, Hasija RC, Pannu RS. Statistical software package for agricultural research workers. In: Recent Advances in information theory, Statistics and Computer Applications. Hooda DS, Hasija RC. (Eds.). Department of Mathematics Statistics, CCS HAU, Hisar. 1998;139-143.
- Li Z, Gao Z. Simulation of photosynthetic capacity of strawberry plants at different leaf ages. Adv. J. Food Sci. Technol. 2015;9(9):735-740.
- Begg JE. Morphological adaptations of leaves to water stress. In: Adaptations of plants to water and high temperature stress. Turner NC, Kramer PJ. (Eds.). John Wiley and Sons, New York. 1980;33-42.
- 7. Eberbach P, Pala M. Crop row spacing and its influence on the partitioning of evapotranspiration by winter-grown wheat in northern Syria. Plant Soil. 2005;268:195-208.
- Narkar ND. Effect of spacing and plant growth regulators on growth and yield of Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus L. Moench*) var. Varsha Uphar. Ph.D Thesis submitted to Dr Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli; 2016.
- Ramamneh Al E, Rawashdeh Al Z, Karajeh M, Abu-Romman S. Plant response of strawberry to intra-row spacing and growing conditions in South of Jordan. Asian. J. Pl. Sci. 2013;12(5): 201-207.
- Hazarika TK, Lalrinfeli L, Lalchhanmawia J, Nautiyal BP. Effect of planting density on growth, yield and quality of strawberry (*Fragaria × ananassa*) cv. Camarosa. Ind. J. Agril. Sci. 2019;89(3): 489-493.
- 11. Sonkar P, Ram RB, Meena ML. Effect of various mulch materials and spacing on growth, yield and quality of Strawberry. Hort. Flora Res. Spectrum. 2012;1(4):323-327.
- 12. Sharma SR. Effect of spacing and pinching on growth, flowering and yield of Chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum coronarium L.*) cv. Marry Mix. M.Sc (Hort) Thesis submitted to Maharana Pratap University of Agriculture & Technology, Udaipur; 2007.
- Ali A, Gaur GS. Effect of mulching on growth, fruit yield and quality of strawberry (*Fragaria ananassa Duch.*). The Asian J. Hort. 2007;2(1):149-151.
- 14. Dwivedi SK, Singh B, Elipaljor. Effect of mulch on establishment and growth of

apple sapling in cold arid conditions of Ladakh. Progr. Horti. 2000;32(1):42-45.

- Kaur P, Kaur A. Effect of various mulches on the growth and yield of strawberry cv. chandler under sub-tropical conditions of Punjab. Int. J. Recent Trends Sci. Techno. 2017;25(1):21-25.
- 16. Singh R, Sharma RR, Jain RK. Planting time and mulching influenced vegetative and reproductive traits in strawberry (*Fragaria x ananassa Duch.*) in India. Fruits. 2005;60(6):395-403.
- Nishiyama M, Kanahama K. Effect of light quality on growth of everbearing strawberry plants. Acta Hort. 2009;842: 151-154.
- Decoteau D. Leaf area distribution of tomato plants as influenced by polyethylene mulch surface color. Hort Technol. 2007;17(3):341-345.
- 19. Zahedi SM, Moharrami F, Sarikhani S, Padervand M. Selenium and silica nanostructure-based recovery of strawberry plants subjected to drought stress. Sci. Rep. 2020;10(1):1-18.
- 20. Madhavi BGK, Khan F, Bhujel A, Jaihuni M, Kim NEK, Moon BE, Kim HT. Influence of different growing media on the growth and development of strawberry plants. Heliyon. 2021;7(6):e07170.
- 21. Lugojan C, Ciulca S. Evaluation of relative water content in winter wheat. J. Hort. Fores. Biotechnol. 2011;15:173-177.
- 22. Ober ES, Bloa ML, Clark CJA, Royal A, Jaggard KW, Pidgeon JD. Evaluation of physiological traits as indirect selection criteria for drought tolerance in sugar beet. Field Crops Res. 2005;91: 231-249.
- 23. Archer E, Strauss HC. The effect of plant spacing on the water status of soil and grapevines. S. Afr. J. Enol. Vitic. 1989;10(2):49-58.
- 24. Ahmed BEAM, Abass DA, Mohmmed SJ, Naiem ARI. Response of physiological parameters and water use efficiency to water stress and plant population in Soybean (*Glycine max L*). Haya: Saudi J. Life Sci. 2017;2(9):362-365.
- Sahoo MR, Roy SS, Singh NR, Indrani Devi Y, Prakash N, Ngachan SV. Physiological and biochemical properties of sweet potato as affected by agronomic practices under sub-tropical North Eastern Hills of India. J. Root Crops. 2013;39(2):117-122.
- 26. Shokri B, Ghaderi N, Javad T. Effect of plastic mulch on some physiological and

morphological characteristics of strawberry under drought stress. Iran. J. Hort. Sci. 2016;46(4):535-547.

- 27. Kaur KP. Effect of FYM levels and mulch sources on growth, productivity and water use of Brinjal (*Solanum melongena*) at varying irrigation depth. M.Sc (Agri) Thesis submitted to CSK Himachal Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Palampur, India; 2015.
- Ahmad S, Raza MAS, Saleem MF, Zahra SS, Khan IH, Ali M, Shahid AM, Iqbal R, Zaheer MS. Mulching strategies for weeds control and water conservation in cotton. ARPN J. Agril. Biol. Sci. 2015;10(8):299-306.
- 29. Kesici M, Gulen H, Ergin S, Turhan E, Ipek A, Nezihe Koksal N. Heat-stress tolerance of some strawberry (Fragaria × ananassa) cultivars. Not. Bot. Hort. Agrobo. 2013;41(1):244-249.
- Cansev A. Physiological effects of high temperature treatments on leaves of olive cv. 'Gemlik'. Plant Arch. 2012;12:521-525.
- Díaz-Pérez JC, Shackel KA, Sutter EG. Effects of *In vitro*-formed roots and acclimatization on water status and gas exchange of tissue cultured apple shoots. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 1995;120(3):435-440.
- Birhanu A, Tadesse T, Tadesse D. Effect of inter- and intra-row spacing on yield and yield components of mung bean (*Vigna* radiata L.) under rain-fed condition at Metema District, northwestern Ethiopia. Agric. Food Secur. 2018;7:84.
- 33. Rajput V, Abhishek Kumar J, Tomar S. Effect of pinching and spacing on flowering attributes of african marigold (*Tagetes erecta L.*). Pl. Archv. 2020;20: 516-519.
- 34. Bindu RH. Effect of plant growth regulators and spacing on growth, flower yield and carotenoid content of African Marigold (*Tagetes erecta L.*) cv. Pusa Narangi Gainda. M.Sc. (Hort) Thesis submitted to Andhra Pradesh Horticultural Univ., Hyderabad; 2010.
- Khanna K, Arora J, Singh J. Effect of spacing and pinching on growth and flower production of carnation (*Dianthus caryophyllus*) cv. Marguerite Scarlet. Ind. J. Hort. 1986;43:148-152.
- Sharma SR. Effect of spacing and pinching on growth, flowering and yield of Chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum coronarium L.*) cv. Marry Mix. M.Sc (Hort) Thesis submitted to Maharana Pratap

University of Agriculture and Technology, Udaipur; 2007.

- Dhemre JK, Shirsath NS, Naphade AS. Effect of different plant densities and season on flowering of China aster. J. Soils Crops. 1997;7(2):136-138.
- Niels V. On-farm assessment of banana plant density in Rwanda. M.Sc Thesis submitted to Katholieke University, Leuven; 2009.
- 39. Sarrwy SMA, Mostafa EAM, Hassan HSA. Growth, yield and fruit quality of Williams Banana affected by different planting distances. Intern. J. Agril. Sci. 2012;7:266-275.
- Sujatha S. Studies on the influence of mulching on growth and yield of Strawberry (*Fragaria ananassa Duch.*) cv. Camarosa under shade net conditions.
 M.Sc. (Hort) Thesis submitted to Dr Y.
 S.R. Horticultural Univ., West Godavari; 2016.
- 41. Singh R, Asrey R. Growth, earliness and fruit yield of micro-irrigated strawberry as affected by planting time and mulching in semiarid regions. Ind. J. Horti. 2005;62(2):148-151.
- 42. Sharma NC, Sharma SD, Spehia RS. Effect of plastic mulch colour on growth, fruiting and fruit quality of strawberry under polyhouse cultivation. Int. J. Bio-res. Stress Mngt. 2013;4(2):314-316.
- 43. Singh R, Sharma RR, Goyal RK. Interactive effect of planting time and mulching on Chandler strawberry (*Fragaria ananassa Duch.*). Scient. Hort. 2007;111:344-351.
- 44. Sujatha S, Suchitra VD, Swami V, Subbaramamma P, Uma Krishna K, Saravanan L. Influence of mulching materials on growth and yield of strawberry (*Fragaria ananassa Duch.*) cv. Camarosa under shadenet conditions of coastal Andhra Pradesh. Int. J. Agril. Sci. 2018;14(1):219-224.
- 45. Black BL, Swartz HJ, Deitzer GF, Butler B, Chandler CK. The effects of conditioning strawberry plug plants under altered red/far red light environments. Hort. Sci. 2005;40:1263-1267.
- 46. Tariq R, Qureshi KM, Hassan I, Rasheed M, Qureshi US. Effect of planting density and growing media on growth and yield of Strawberry. Pak. J. Agric. Res. 2013;26(2):113-123.
- 47. Thakur G, Singh AK, Maurya PK, Patel P, Kumar U. Effect of plant spacing on

growth, flowering, fruiting and yield of Capsicum (*Capsicum annuum L.*) hybrid buffalo under natural ventilated polyhouse. J. Pharmacog. Phytochem. 2018;SP1: 78-81.

 Zec G, Vulić T, Milatović D, Đorđević B, Čolić S. The influence of planting density on characteristics of one-year old peach and nectarine shoots. Proc. IInd Balkan Symposium on Fruit Growing Eds.: Coman M, Chitu Acta E. Hort. 981, ISHS. 2013; 249-254.

- 49. Sharma VK, Goel AK. Effect of mulching and nitrogen on growth and yield of strawberry. Int. J. Sci. Environ. Technol. 2017;6(3):2074-2079.
- 50. Prasad M, Minz M, Kumar R, Das B. Effect of mulching and PGRs on growth, yield and economics of Strawberry (*Fragaria ananassa Duch.*) cv. Douglas. J. Interacad. 2012;16(1):44-55.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/115279