

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

Volume 36, Issue 5, Page 780-791, 2024; Article no.IJPSS.114901 ISSN: 2320-7035

# Effect of Pruning and Nutrient Levels on Plant Growth of Guava (*Psidium guajava*) cv. Allahabad Safeda under Meadow Orcharding Allahabad

### Arun Kumar Singh <sup>a\*</sup> and Devi Singh <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute (NAI), Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology And Sciences (SHUATS), Prayagraj (Allahabad)-211007 (U.P.), India.

#### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2024/v36i54576

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/114901

Original Research Article

Received: 27/01/2024 Accepted: 01/04/2024 Published: 09/04/2024

#### ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted to evaluate the effect of pruning and nutrient levels on growth and yield of guava cv. Allahabad Safeda. The treatment consists of four different levels of pruning P<sub>0</sub>: 00.0cm (Un-pruned plants/ Without Pruning), P<sub>1</sub>: Pruned at 30cm of the shoot length, P<sub>2</sub>: Pruned at 40cm of the shoot length and P<sub>3</sub>: Pruned at 50cm of the shoot length and four different levels of nutrients (NPK) viz., F<sub>1</sub>: NPK (260:320:260 g/plant) (Control), F<sub>2</sub>: NPK (220:280:300 g/plant), F<sub>3</sub>: NPK (300:360:300g/plant) and F<sub>4</sub>: NPK (340:400:340g/plant). The experiment comprising 16 treatment combinations was laid out in 2-factor Factorial Randomized Block Design (f-RBD) having three replications during 2014-2015 & 2015-2016. Among the different treatments T<sub>16</sub>:P<sub>3</sub>(P3: 50cm x F<sub>4</sub>: 340:400:340 g/plant) was best dose for optimum vegetative growth parameter *viz.*, plant height (cm), number of branches per plant, number of leaves per plant, plant spread (n-s & e-w), stem diameter (mm), stem length (cm) and days to taken new shoot emergence of guava cv. Allahabad safeda.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: aks42047@gmail.com;

Keywords: Pruning; nutrient levels; plant growth; fruiting guava and Allahabad safeda; guava; nutrient levels; nutrient management; fruit production.

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

"India has a wide variety of climate and soil on which a large range of horticultural crops are grown. Horticulture is the fastest growing sector within agriculture. It contributes mainly to nutrient security and has good scope for farmers to increase their income and helpful in sustaining large number of agrobased industries which generate huge employment opportunities" (Satpal et al., 2011). "Under traditional planting system of guava, nutrient management practices have been standardized. Guava tree utilizes nutrients for vegetative arowth and fruit production. Some amount of nutrients is fixed in plant in its annual growth. A sizeable quantity of nutrients is permanently removed in the form of fruits. These nutrients must be replenished in the form of manure and fertilizers" (Dushyant Mishra, 2014). "Guava (Psidium guajava L.) commonly known as 'Apple of Tropics' is successfully cultivated over different sets of climatic conditions due to its wide range of adaptability. In India, it is being cultivated in an area of 2.76 lakh hectares with an annual production of 42.53 lakh tonnes and a productivity of 15.3 MT/ha" (Anonymous, 2020). "The leading guavaproducing states in the country are Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, Madhya Pradesh, and Maharashtra. The farmer is attracted to guava cultivation due to its lower cost of production compared to other fruit crops, moreover, its cultivation gives assured returns with little care. Due to its good nutritive value and easy availability at moderate prices, it has gained considerable fame among the consumers" [1] Guava fruit harvest peaks can deviate with prevailing weather conditions and cultural practices because flowers are produced on new growth. Irrigation [2], fertilization [3], defoliation and pruning [4,3,5] can be used to stimulate new growth and influence fruiting in guava. Several workers have reported increased yield, fruit size and qualitative attributes of quava as a result of pruning at different periods. Pruning technique is used to minimize the disease and insect pest attack, mostly fruit fly infestation. Pruning is very important horticultural operation leads to regulate the crop with season. It increases the yield and quality of fruit it evades the flowering and fruiting of crop and gives the better canopy structure Choudhary et al. [6]. Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium are the major and essential nutrients for plant growth and development. Nitrogen is an

essential component of amino acid, proteins, nucleic acids, porphyrins, purines and pyrimidine nucleotides, flavin nucleotides, enzyme, coenzyme and alkaloids. Being a constituent of nucleic acids viz. Ribo Nucleic Acids (RNA) and Deoxyribo Nucleic Acids (DNA), it is responsible for the transfer of genetic code to the off-springs. Phosphorus is involved in energy transfer, photosynthesis, transformation of sugars and starch, nutrient movement within the plant and transfer of genetic characteristics from one generation to the next [7]. "Potassium plays a major role in transport of water and nutrient throughout the plant in xylem. It increases root growth and improves drought tolerance. Potassium is also responsible for activation and synthesis of protein-forming nitrate reductase enzvme" [7]. Nitrogen. phosphorus and potassium are most indispensable of all mineral nutrients for growth and development of the plant as these are the basis of fundamental constituents of all living matter [8].

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

An experiment entitled "Effect of pruning and nutrient levels on Plant Growth of Guava (Psidium guajava) cv. Allahabad Safeda under meadow Orcharding Allahabad" was conducted at Horticultural Research Farm, Central Orchard, Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences (SHUATS), Prayagraj (Allahabad), U.P., India. The heading back in this experiment was carried out at 1 year age of new planted of guava at spacing of 2m x 1m. The treatment consists of four different levels of pruning P<sub>0</sub>: 00.0cm (Un-pruned plants/ Without Pruning), P1: Pruned at 30cm of the shoot length, P<sub>2</sub>: Pruned at 40cm of the shoot length and P<sub>3</sub>: Pruned at 50cm of the shoot length and four different levels of nutrients (NPK) viz., F1: NPK (260:320:260 g/plant) (Control), F2: NPK (220:280:300 g/plant), F<sub>3</sub>: NPK (300:360:300g/plant) and F<sub>4</sub>: (340:400:340g/plant). The experiment NPK comprising 16 treatment combinations was laid out in 2-factor Factorial Randomized Block Design (f-RBD) having three replications. The observations were recorded for its change in vegetative growth parameters like increase in plant height (cm), number of branches per plant, number of leaves per plant, plant spread (n-s & e-w), stem diameter (mm), stem length (cm) and days to taken new shoot emergence. The means

S. No.	Treatment Symbol	Treatment Combination
1	P <sub>0</sub> :F <sub>1</sub>	00.0cm (Without Pruning)+ NPK (260:320:260 g/plant) Control
2	P <sub>0</sub> : F <sub>2</sub>	00.0 cm (Without Pruning)+ NPK (220:280:300 g/plant)
3	P <sub>0</sub> : F <sub>3</sub>	00.0 cm (Without Pruning)+ NPK (300:360:300g/plant)
4	P <sub>0</sub> : F <sub>4</sub>	00.0 cm (Without Pruning)+ NPK (340:400:340g/plant)
5	P <sub>1</sub> : F <sub>1</sub>	Pruned at 30cm of the shoot length+ NPK (260:320:260 g/plant)
		Control
6	P <sub>1</sub> : F <sub>2</sub>	Pruned at 30cm of the shoot length+ NPK (220:280:300 g/plant)
7	P <sub>1</sub> : F <sub>3</sub>	Pruned at 30cm of the shoot length+ NPK (300:360:300g/plant)
8	P <sub>1</sub> : F <sub>4</sub>	Pruned at 30cm of the shoot length+ NPK (340:400:340g/plant)
9	P <sub>2</sub> : F <sub>1</sub>	Pruned at 40cm of the shoot length+ NPK (260:320:260 g/plant)
		Control
10	P <sub>2</sub> : F <sub>2</sub>	Pruned at 40cm of the shoot length+ NPK (220:280:300 g/plant)
11	P <sub>2</sub> : F <sub>3</sub>	Pruned at 40cm of the shoot length+ NPK (300:360:300g/plant)
12	P <sub>2</sub> : F <sub>4</sub>	Pruned at 40cm of the shoot length+ NPK (340:400:340g/plant)
13	P3: F1	Pruned at 50cm of the shoot length+ NPK (260:320:260 g/plant)
		Control
14	P <sub>3</sub> : F <sub>2</sub>	Pruned at 50cm of the shoot length+ NPK (220:280:300 g/plant)
15	P3: F3	Pruned at 50cm of the shoot length+ NPK (300:360:300g/plant)
16	P <sub>3</sub> : F <sub>4</sub>	Pruned at 50cm of the shoot length+ NPK (340:400:340g/plant)

#### List 1. Treatment details

of all the treatments of the observations were analysed statistically by ANOVA using OPSTAT software and the significance of the results are verified.

### Factor A: Pruning Intensities (LEVELS OF PRUNING)

P <sub>0</sub>	:	00.0cm		(Un-pru	inec	l k	plants/
Without	t Pruning	g)					
P1	:	Pruned	at	30cm	of	the	shoot
length							
P <sub>2</sub>	:	Pruned	at	40cm	of	the	shoot
length							
P <sub>3</sub>	:	Pruned	at	50cm	of	the	shoot
length							

#### Factor B: Nutrient levels (NPK)

F₁	:	NPK	(260:320:260	g/plant)
(Cont	trol)			
F <sub>2</sub>	:	NPK (2	220:280:300 g/pla	ant)
F <sub>3</sub>	:	NPK (	300:360:300g/pla	nt)
$F_4$	:	NPK (	340:400:340g/pla	nt)

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data pertaining to the effect of different levels of pruning (P0: Without pruning), (P1: 30cm), (P2: 40cm) & and (P3: 50cm) and Nutrient (NPK) (F1: 260:32 0:260), (F2: 220:280:300), (F3: 300:360:300) & (F4: 340:400:340)/g/plant on vegetative growth of Guava (*Psidium guajava*) cv. Allahabad Safeda

were statistically analyzed for test of significance of the results. The data presented in the Tables 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 showed that various pruning level and application of nutrient and their interaction combinations result into significant increase in plant height (cm), number of leaves per plant, plant spread (n-s & e-w), stem diameter (mm), stem length (cm) and days to taken new shoot emergence during pruning time September - October and May – June, (January –February) of experiment. Results of pruning intensities showed significant effect on number of leaves per plant, plant spread (n-s & e-w), stem diameter (mm), stem length (cm) and days to taken new shoot emergence of duava. As far interaction effect between different levels of pruning intensities viz., P0: Without pruning, P1: 30cm, P2: 40cm & and P3: 50cm and different of levels of nutrients NPK viz., F2: F1: 260:320:260, 220:280:300, F3 300:360:300 & F4: 340:400:340, showed significant effect on plant height (cm), number of leaves per plant, plant spread (n-s & e-w), stem diameter (mm), stem length (cm) and days to taken new shoot emergence during pruning time May – June, September – October and January - February of experiment. However, significantly maximum plant height (135.41, 168.72 and 220.89) was recorded in treatment  $T_{16}$ :  $P_{3}$ ;  $F_4$  (P3: 50cm x F<sub>4</sub>: 340:400:340 g/plant) followed by T<sub>15</sub>:P<sub>3</sub>: F<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>14</sub>:P<sub>3</sub>: F<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>13</sub>:P<sub>3</sub>: F<sub>1</sub>. Whereas the minimum plant height (110.49, 141.02 and 162.14 cm) was found with  $T_5:P_130cm: x$ F<sub>1</sub>260:320:260 and T<sub>9</sub>:P<sub>2</sub> 40cm x F<sub>1</sub>260:320:260 g/ plant during pruning time May - June, September - October and January - February of experiment. In the present investigation, it was observed that early pruning result in more plant growth vice versa late pruning decrease the shoot growth. Similar findings were reported by Basu et al., (2007) and Singh et al. [9] Singh et al. [9] further concluded that pruning might shift the allocation of metabolites from rainy season crop in favour of increased vegetative growth due to flower and fruit let removal as a result of pruning. Thus, the vegetative growth of guava seems to respond to variation in month of pruning operation. With an increase in severity of pruning the increase in plant height was less. Kumar and Rattanpal (2010) stated that this may be due to the fact that pruned trees were unable to make up the loss of growth caused by severe pruning in this short period. Similar views were reported by Mahesh et al. [10] and Kohli et al., (2017) in guava. However, significantly maximum number of branches per plant (5.10, 6.36 and 8.23) was recorded in treatment T<sub>16</sub>: P<sub>3:</sub>F<sub>4</sub> (P<sub>3</sub>: 50cm x F<sub>4</sub>: 340:400:340 g/plant) followed by T<sub>15</sub>:P<sub>3</sub>: F<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>14</sub>:P<sub>3</sub>: F<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>12</sub>:P<sub>2</sub>: F<sub>4</sub> and T<sub>9</sub>:P<sub>2</sub>: F<sub>1</sub>. Whereas the minimum number of branches per plant (3.45, 4.76 and 6.65) was found with  $T_1:P_0$ without pruning x F1 260:320:260g/plant and T<sub>5</sub>:P<sub>1</sub>30cm: x F<sub>1</sub>260:320:260 during pruning time May – June, September – October and January - February of experiment. However, significantly maximum number of leaves per plant (119.64, 153.20 and 184.94) was recorded in treatment T<sub>16</sub>: P<sub>3</sub>:F<sub>4</sub> (P3: 50cm x F<sub>4</sub>: 340:400:340 g/plant) followed by T<sub>15</sub>:P<sub>3</sub>: F<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>12</sub>:P<sub>2</sub>: F<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>11</sub>:P<sub>2</sub>: F<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>14</sub>:P<sub>3</sub>: F<sub>2</sub>. Whereas the minimum number of leaves per plant (88.83, 123.45 and 159.60) was found with  $T_1:P_0$  without pruning x F₁ 260:320:260g/plant T<sub>5</sub>:P<sub>1</sub>30cm: and х F<sub>1</sub>260:320:260 during pruning time May – June, Septemer - October and January - February of experiment. However, significantly maximum plant spread (cm) (N-S & E-W) (77.12, 87.91 and 104.85) was recorded in treatment  $T_{16}$ :  $P_3$ :  $F_4$  (P3: 50cm x F<sub>4</sub>: 340:400:340 g/plant) and T<sub>12</sub>:P<sub>2</sub> 40cm x F<sub>4</sub> 340:400:340 g / plant followed by T<sub>15</sub>:P<sub>3</sub>: F<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>14</sub>:P<sub>3</sub>: F<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>13</sub>:P<sub>3</sub>: F<sub>1</sub>. Whereas the minimum plant spread (cm) (N-S & E-W) (43.34, 58.34 and 73.06) was found with  $T_1:P_0$  without pruning x  $F_1$ 260:320:260g/plant, T<sub>5</sub>:P<sub>1</sub>30cm: х  $F_1260:320:260g$  / plant and  $T_4:P_0$  wihtout pruning F<sub>4</sub>340:400:340 g/plant during pruning time May -Septemer – October and January – June, February of experiment. The results of the present investigation depict that early and lower level of pruning enhanced the canopy spread in E-W direction. However, the interaction effect

between time and intensity of pruning showed nonsignificant effect on the canopy spread in East-West direction. Increase in the canopy spread may be due to the increase in shoot length leading to increase in canopy spreading Meena et al., (2016). he results of the present findings are in line with the findings of Mahesh et al. [10] who stated that mild pruning (25% of the length shoot) initiated towards the end of summer with plants getting sufficient period of rest followed by pruning and irrigation resulted in profuse growth. These findings corroborate with views of Sundarajan and Muthuswamy (1966) who opined that mild pruning by tipping had increased numbers of functionary laterals in guava. However, significantly maximum stem diameter (cm) (35.65, 44.31 and 48.34) was recorded in treatment T<sub>16</sub>:P<sub>3</sub>:F<sub>4</sub>(P3: 50cm x F<sub>4</sub>: 340:400:340 g/plant) followed by T<sub>15</sub>:P<sub>3</sub>: F<sub>3</sub>. T<sub>14</sub>:P<sub>3</sub>: F<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>13</sub>:P<sub>3</sub>: F<sub>1 and</sub> T<sub>12</sub>:P<sub>2</sub>: F<sub>4</sub>. Whereas the minimum stem diameter (cm) (18.52, 25.67 and 31.11) was found with  $T_1:P_0$  without pruning x  $F_1$ 260:320:260g/plant during pruning time May -Septemer - October and January -June. February of experiment. However, significantly maximum stem length (cm) (26.01, 28.06 and 31.07) was recorded in treatment T<sub>16</sub>:P<sub>3</sub>F<sub>4</sub>(P3: 50cm x F<sub>4</sub>: 340:400:340 g/plant) followed by T<sub>15</sub>:P<sub>3</sub>: F<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>14</sub>:P<sub>3</sub>: F<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>13</sub>:P<sub>3</sub>: F<sub>1 and</sub> T<sub>12</sub>:P<sub>2</sub>: F<sub>4</sub>. Whereas the minimum stem length (cm) (20.76, 22.64 and 24.69) was found with T<sub>1</sub>:P<sub>0</sub> without pruning x F1 260:320:260g/plant during pruning time May - June, Septemer - October and January – February of experiment. The results revealed that the shoot length increased up to May pruning and later decrease with delay in pruning time. However, with the increase in severity of pruning the shoot length increased. This may be attributed due to relatively less number of shoots and availability of more nutrients per shoots Bhagawati et al. [11]. It has already been established that nitrogen, being an important constituent of chlorophyll, proteins and amino acids, promoted the photosynthetic efficiency of the plant system when applied at sufficient quantities (Pafli, 1965) higher rate of increase in plant height during rainy season is obvious due to the perennial nature of tree growth and the nutrients added in the first year which would have helped in assimilation of reserves in the plant leading to desirable results in the subsequent season in mango (Sivakumar, 2007). However, significantly minimum days to taken new shoot emergence (29.25, 28.60 and 30.27) was recorded in treatment  $T_{16}$ :  $P_3F_4$ (P3: 50cm x F<sub>4</sub>: 340:400:340 g/plant) followed by T<sub>15</sub>:P<sub>3</sub>: F<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>14</sub>:P<sub>3</sub>: F<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>13</sub>:P<sub>3</sub>: F<sub>1 and</sub> T<sub>12</sub>:P<sub>2</sub>: F<sub>4</sub>.

Treatments				Pla	nt height (cm	ו)			
		May – J	une	9	September –	October	(January –February)		
	Intial plant height (cm)	After pruning	Increase plant height (cm)	Before pruning	After Pruning	Increase plant height (cm)	Before pruning	After Pruning	Increase plant height (cm)
P <sub>0</sub> :F <sub>1</sub>	83.07	83.07	119.59	119.59	119.59	144.73	144.73	144.73	163.25
P <sub>0</sub> : F <sub>2</sub>	85.30	85.30	121.55	121.55	121.55	147.18	147.18	147.18	166.43
P <sub>0</sub> : F <sub>3</sub>	86.91	86.91	123.43	123.43	123.43	148.88	148.88	148.88	164.29
P <sub>0</sub> : F <sub>4</sub>	88.60	88.60	122.82	122.82	122.82	148.34	148.34	148.34	164.86
P <sub>1</sub> : F <sub>1</sub>	92.00	30.35	110.49	110.49	60.50	141.02	141.02	111.02	175.57
P <sub>1</sub> : F <sub>2</sub>	94.48	30.33	111.78	111.78	60.96	143.11	143.11	113.11	184.36
P₁: F₃	97.70	30.75	112.86	112.86	61.20	141.72	141.72	111.72	176.24
P1: F4	103.09	30.79	112.24	112.24	61.31	141.46	141.46	111.46	183.61
P <sub>2</sub> : F <sub>1</sub>	106.94	40.26	121.70	121.70	64.51	145.03	145.03	105.03	112.14
P <sub>2</sub> : F <sub>2</sub>	109.41	40.44	121.96	121.96	61.86	153.31	153.31	113.31	184.83
P <sub>2</sub> : F <sub>3</sub>	115.39	40.56	122.00	122.00	62.81	154.33	154.33	114.33	185.78
P <sub>2</sub> : F <sub>4</sub>	118.64	40.26	121.72	121.72	62.78	156.03	156.03	116.03	194.17
P <sub>3</sub> : F <sub>1</sub>	119.70	50.17	130.93	130.93	62.80	157.32	157.32	107.32	201.10
P <sub>3</sub> : F <sub>2</sub>	120.07	50.44	132.75	132.75	66.69	158.94	158.94	109.94	208.23
P <sub>3</sub> : F <sub>3</sub>	122.03	50.51	134.84	134.84	63.76	162.61	162.61	105.21	217.27
P <sub>3</sub> : F <sub>4</sub>	124.42	50.64	135.41	135.41	65.19	168.72	168.72	106.71	220.89
F-Test			S			S			S
S.Ed (+)			0.439			0.929			0.851
C.D. at 0.5%			0.898			1.907			1.746

## Table 1. Effect of pruning and nutrient levels on plant height (cm) of Guava (*Psidium guajava*) cv. Allahabad safeda under meadow orcharding Allahabad

Treatments	Number of branches per plant										
		May – June			September ·	- October		(January –F	ebruary)		
	Intial No. Branches	After pruning	Increase No. of Branches	Before pruning	After Pruning	Increase No. of Branches	Before pruning	After Pruning	Increase No. of Branches		
P <sub>0</sub> :F <sub>1</sub>	2.36	1.31	3.45	3.45	3.45	5.60		5.60	7.05		
P <sub>0</sub> : F <sub>2</sub>	2.47	1.41	3.74	3.74	3.74	5.84	5.84	5.84	7.25		
P <sub>0</sub> : F <sub>3</sub>	2.66	1.62	3.76	3.76	3.76	5.88	5.88	5.88	7.13		
P <sub>0</sub> : F <sub>4</sub>	2.75	1.67	3.87	3.87	3.87	5.98	5.98	5.98	7.42		
P <sub>1</sub> : F <sub>1</sub>	3.07	1.97	4.09	4.09	2.64	4.76	4.76	3.55	6.65		
P <sub>1</sub> : F <sub>2</sub>	3.23	2.11	4.22	4.22	2.81	5.03	5.03	3.78	7.00		
P₁: F₃	3.34	2.20	4.43	4.43	3.18	5.30	5.30	4.19	7.44		
P <sub>1</sub> : F <sub>4</sub>	3.49	2.36	4.80	4.80	3.36	5.58	5.58	4.33	7.66		
P <sub>2</sub> : F <sub>1</sub>	3.60	2.43	4.71	4.71	3.56	5.77	5.77	4.63	7.75		
P <sub>2</sub> : F <sub>2</sub>	3.71	2.43	4.66	4.66	3.14	5.36	5.36	4.13	7.34		
P <sub>2</sub> : F <sub>3</sub>	3.77	2.44	4.65	4.65	3.32	5.44	5.44	4.11	7.36		
P <sub>2</sub> : F <sub>4</sub>	3.80	2.56	4.78	4.78	3.64	5.84	5.84	4.70	7.92		
P3: F1	3.84	2.51	4.37	4.37	3.53	5.64	5.64	4.31	7.43		
P <sub>3</sub> : F <sub>2</sub>	3.92	2.58	4.48	4.48	3.68	5.90	5.90	4.65	7.87		
P <sub>3</sub> : F <sub>3</sub>	4.03	2.68	4.70	4.70	3.75	5.95	5.95	4.55	7.86		
P <sub>3</sub> : F <sub>4</sub>	4.04	2.93	5.10	5.10	4.04	6.36	6.36	4.93	8.23		
F-Test			S			S			S		
S.Ed (+)			0.104			0.090			0.154		
C.D. at 0.5%			0.213			0.184			0.316		

# Table 2. Effect of pruning and nutrient levels on number of branches per plant of Guava (*Psidium guajava*) cv. Allahabad safeda under meadow orcharding Allahabad

Treatments				Ν	umber of leav	es per plant			
-	May – June			September – October				(January –February)	
	Intial No.	After	Increase No.	Before	After	Increase No.	Before	After Pruning	Increase No. of
	leaves	pruning	of leaves	pruning	Pruning	of leaves	pruning		leaves
P <sub>0</sub> :F <sub>1</sub>	56.38	56.38	88.83	88.83	88.83	137.35	137.35	137.35	159.60
P <sub>0</sub> : F <sub>2</sub>	59.71	59.71	90.23	90.23	90.23	140.38	140.38	140.38	162.79
P <sub>0</sub> : F <sub>3</sub>	61.07	61.07	97.32	97.32	97.32	149.77	149.77	149.77	171.91
P <sub>0</sub> : F <sub>4</sub>	64.67	64.67	98.92	98.92	98.92	150.36	150.36	150.36	173.02
P <sub>1</sub> : F <sub>1</sub>	70.98	40.53	104.97	104.97	67.20	123.45	123.45	91.01	162.46
P <sub>1</sub> : F <sub>2</sub>	74.87	44.35	108.87	108.87	74.23	132.64	132.64	99.39	171.53
P <sub>1</sub> : F <sub>3</sub>	76.11	44.97	109.55	109.55	74.88	134.40	134.40	100.88	173.43
P <sub>1</sub> : F <sub>4</sub>	81.61	49.36	112.80	112.80	76.40	134.92	134.92	101.67	175.92
P <sub>2</sub> : F <sub>1</sub>	84.05	45.90	110.42	110.42	74.84	136.29	136.29	102.66	174.10
P <sub>2</sub> : F <sub>2</sub>	87.06	46.91	111.49	111.49	74.24	136.69	136.69	102.44	174.69
P <sub>2</sub> : F <sub>3</sub>	90.49	49.04	113.56	113.56	76.84	140.09	140.09	104.57	176.01
P <sub>2</sub> : F <sub>4</sub>	91.78	50.56	115.11	115.11	78.51	142.66	142.66	104.52	176.77
P <sub>3</sub> : F <sub>1</sub>	97.68	39.53	104.05	104.05	68.11	136.26	136.26	99.74	171.37
P <sub>3</sub> : F <sub>2</sub>	99.57	48.12	112.81	112.81	75.10	142.62	142.62	105.37	176.81
P <sub>3</sub> : F <sub>3</sub>	101.50	50.05	118.27	118.27	83.73	151.74	151.74	114.30	183.97
P <sub>3</sub> : F <sub>4</sub>	104.10	51.96	119.64	119.64	84.79	153.20	153.20	114.76	184.94
F-Test			S			S			S
S.Ed (+)			1.875			1.506			1.721
C.D. at 0.5%			3.848			3.090			3.532

## Table 3. Effect of pruning and nutrient levels on number of leaves per plant of Guava (*Psidium guajava*) cv. Allahabad safeda under meadow orcharding Allahabad

Treatment	Plant spread (N-S & E-W)									
S	May – June			September	September – October			(January –February)		
	Intial No. spread	After pruning	Increase plant spread (N-S & E-W)	Before pruning	After Pruning	Increase plant spread (N-S & E-W)	Before pruning	After Pruning	Increase plant spreac (N-S & E-W)	
P <sub>0</sub> :F <sub>1</sub>	27.71	15.19	43.34	43.34	43.34	61.49	61.49	61.49	81.64	
P <sub>0</sub> : F <sub>2</sub>	31.30	17.94	46.46	46.46	46.46	61.87	61.87	61.87	84.12	
P <sub>0</sub> : F <sub>3</sub>	32.37	20.23	45.35	45.35	45.35	61.60	61.60	61.60	83.12	
P <sub>0</sub> : F <sub>4</sub>	36.26	20.71	46.23	46.23	46.23	58.34	58.34	58.34	80.57	
P <sub>1</sub> : F <sub>1</sub>	40.54	18.39	43.83	43.83	28.42	63.87	63.87	47.65	73.06	
P <sub>1</sub> : F <sub>2</sub>	41.27	21.02	46.43	46.43	31.18	67.40	67.40	50.88	79.00	
P1: F3	43.41	19.89	46.41	46.41	30.19	68.34	68.34	54.12	82.75	
P <sub>1</sub> : F <sub>4</sub>	46.77	25.32	49.98	49.98	35.76	74.28	74.28	60.76	84.87	
P <sub>2</sub> : F <sub>1</sub>	48.73	26.48	54.93	54.93	42.30	81.74	81.74	68.49	94.01	
P <sub>2</sub> : F <sub>2</sub>	53.66	28.40	57.81	57.81	45.48	82.03	82.03	68.37	94.81	
P <sub>2</sub> : F <sub>3</sub>	54.44	30.22	60.43	60.43	45.91	80.43	80.43	66.91	93.43	
P <sub>2</sub> : F <sub>4</sub>	58.47	35.25	66.58	66.58	51.36	87.91	87.91	73.36	94.40	
P <sub>3</sub> : F <sub>1</sub>	62.81	32.69	64.84	64.84	44.29	80.81	80.81	64.29	96.64	
P3: F2	66.01	32.76	64.87	64.87	41.65	77.90	77.90	62.76	98.12	
P <sub>3</sub> : F <sub>3</sub>	69.06	43.84	75.99	75.99	50.55	85.07	85.07	69.85	101.86	
P <sub>3</sub> : F <sub>4</sub>	71.12	44.90	77.12	77.12	50.90	85.14	85.45	70.01	104.85	
F-Test			S			S			S	
S.Ed (+)			1.203			1.236			1.414	
C.D. at 0.5%	D		2.468			2.536			2.901	

## Table 4. Effect of pruning and nutrient levels on plant spread (N-S & E-W) cm of Guava (*Psidium guajava*) cv. Allahabad safeda under meadow orcharding Allahabad

Treatments		5	Stem diameter (mm)	
	Stem diameter (mm)	May –June	September –October	January –February
P <sub>0</sub> :F <sub>1</sub>	11.08	18.52	25.67	31.11
P <sub>0</sub> : F <sub>2</sub>	12.88	20.40	27.73	33.36
P <sub>0</sub> : F <sub>3</sub>	14.11	21.26	28.40	33.98
P <sub>0</sub> : F <sub>4</sub>	14.34	21.56	28.89	34.44
P <sub>1</sub> : F <sub>1</sub>	14.56	21.67	29.08	34.62
P <sub>1</sub> : F <sub>2</sub>	14.87	22.02	29.17	34.72
P <sub>1</sub> : F <sub>3</sub>	15.26	22.78	29.89	35.55
P <sub>1</sub> : F <sub>4</sub>	15.84	23.39	30.80	36.38
P <sub>2</sub> : F <sub>1</sub>	16.58	23.73	31.13	36.76
P <sub>2</sub> : F <sub>2</sub>	16.96	24.40	31.84	37.38
P <sub>2</sub> : F <sub>3</sub>	17.48	24.63	32.04	37.67
P <sub>2</sub> : F <sub>4</sub>	18.65	26.17	33.28	38.86
P <sub>3</sub> : F <sub>1</sub>	22.87	29.98	37.42	43.19
P <sub>3</sub> : F <sub>2</sub>	24.88	32.51	39.87	45.86
P <sub>3</sub> : F <sub>3</sub>	26.17	34.20	42.34	47.52
P <sub>3</sub> : F <sub>4</sub>	28.47	35.65	44.31	48.34
F-Test		S	S	S
S.Ed (+)		0.348	0.357	0.352
C.D. at 0.5%		0.714	0.733	0.721

 Table 5. Effect of pruning and nutrient levels on Stem diameter (mm) of Guava (*Psidium guajava*) cv. Allahabad safeda under meadow orcharding

 Allahabad

Treatments	Stem length (cm)						
	Stem length (cm)	May –June	September –October	January –February			
P <sub>0</sub> :F <sub>1</sub>	19.43	20.76	22.64	24.69			
P <sub>0</sub> : F <sub>2</sub>	19.84	21.19	23.11	25.21			
P <sub>0</sub> : F <sub>3</sub>	20.17	21.53	23.22	25.27			
P <sub>0</sub> : F <sub>4</sub>	20.20	21.58	23.52	25.63			
P1: F1	20.29	21.81	23.47	25.49			
P <sub>1</sub> : F <sub>2</sub>	20.78	22.14	24.05	26.16			
P₁: F₃	21.35	22.87	24.98	27.13			
P <sub>1</sub> : F <sub>4</sub>	21.60	22.81	24.82	26.95			
P <sub>2</sub> : F <sub>1</sub>	21.88	23.10	25.22	27.33			
P <sub>2</sub> : F <sub>2</sub>	22.17	23.53	25.63	27.76			
P <sub>2</sub> : F <sub>3</sub>	22.59	23.83	25.86	28.07			
P <sub>2</sub> : F <sub>4</sub>	22.47	23.80	26.01	28.12			
P <sub>3</sub> : F <sub>1</sub>	23.62	24.95	27.17	29.31			
P <sub>3</sub> : F <sub>2</sub>	23.96	25.28	27.38	29.60			
P <sub>3</sub> : F <sub>3</sub>	24.24	25.49	27.60	30.16			
P <sub>3</sub> : F <sub>4</sub>	24.79	26.01	28.06	31.07			
F-Test		S	S	S			
S.Ed (+)		0.086	0.163	0.191			
C.D. at 0.5%		0.176	0.334	0.392			

## Table 6. Effect of pruning and nutrient levels on stem length (cm) cm of Guava (*Psidium guajava*) cv. Allahabad safeda under meadow orcharding Allahabad

Treatments		Days to taken new sho	ot emergence
	May –June	September –October	January – February
P <sub>0</sub> :F <sub>1</sub>	48.74	46.89	49.86
P <sub>0</sub> : F <sub>2</sub>	47.59	45.73	48.81
P <sub>0</sub> : F <sub>3</sub>	47.11	44.75	48.00
P <sub>0</sub> : F <sub>4</sub>	46.05	43.11	47.30
P1: F1	44.47	41.95	45.47
P <sub>1</sub> : F <sub>2</sub>	41.40	39.56	42.42
P <sub>1</sub> : F <sub>3</sub>	40.24	38.47	42.09
P <sub>1</sub> : F <sub>4</sub>	38.51	35.96	39.25
P <sub>2</sub> : F <sub>1</sub>	36.44	34.33	37.58
P <sub>2</sub> : F <sub>2</sub>	33.45	30.25	34.04
P <sub>2</sub> : F <sub>3</sub>	34.64	32.50	35.68
P <sub>2</sub> : F <sub>4</sub>	35.19	32.97	36.33
P <sub>3</sub> : F <sub>1</sub>	32.66	30.06	33.74
P <sub>3</sub> : F <sub>2</sub>	32.02	29.87	33.20
P <sub>3</sub> : F <sub>3</sub>	30.82	29.55	32.65
P <sub>3</sub> : F <sub>4</sub>	29.25	28.60	30.27
F-Test	S	S	S
S.Ed (+)	0.906	0.811	0.755
C.D. at 0.5%	1.860	1.665	1.550

# Table 7. Effect of pruning and nutrient levels on Days to taken new shoot emergence cm of Guava (*Psidium guajava*) cv. Allahabad safeda under<br/> meadow orcharding Allahabad

Whereas the maximum days to taken new shoot emergence (48.74, 46.89 and 49.86) was found with  $T_1:P_0$ without pruning x F₁ 260:320:260g/plant during pruning time May -June. Septemer - October and January -February of experiment. It was also observed maximum number of sprouted shoots in the G1 (Sardar) as compared to other genotypes. It might be due to the independent growth rate and habit of variety because Sardar plant having sprouting habit compare to more other genotypes. The results of present aspect are found in line with those of Singh et al. (2012), Thakre et al. [12] and Lakpathi and Rajkumar et al. [13] observed maximum number of sprouted shoots in pruned plants compared to un-pruned plants in quava.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

From this experiment, it is concluded that  $T_{16}$ :  $P_{3:}F_4$  (P3: 50cm x  $F_4$ : 340:400:340 g/plant) was best dose for optimum vegetative growth parameter *viz.*, plant height (cm), number of branches per plant, number of leaves per plant, plant spread (N-S & E-W), stem diameter (mm), stem length (cm) and days to taken new shoot emergence of guava cv. Allahabad Safeda.

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Throughton JH, Morrby J, Currie BG. Investigation of carbon transport in plants. Journal Experiment Botany1974;25:684-694.
- Singh G, Singh AK, Rajan S. Influence of pruning date on fruit yield of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) Under subtropics. Journal of Applied Horticulture. 2001;3(1): 37-40.
- Mahesh RK, Jholgiker P, Mamatha NP, Ravi P, Shivanand MR, Kallappa SN. Effect of time and level of pruning on growth and yield of guava cv. Sardar under high density planting. Research in Environment and Life Sciences. 2016;9(7): 849-853.

 Bhagawati R, Bhagawati K, Choudhary VK, Rajkhowa DJ, Sharma R. Effect of pruning intensities on the performance of fruit plants under mid-hill condition of Eastern Himalayas: Case study on guava. Int. Let. Nat. Sci. 2015;46:46-51.

 Thakre M, Lal S, Uniyal S, Goswami AK, Prakash P. Pruning for crop regulation in high-density guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) plantation. Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research. 2016;14(2):0905.

- Lakpathi G, Rajkumar M, Chandrasekhar R. Effect of pruning intensities and fruit load on growth, yield and quality of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Allahabad Safeda under high density planting. Int J Curr Res.2013;5:4083-090.
- Rattan RK, Goswami NN. Mineral nutrition of plants. In: Goswami et al., (Eds), Fundamentals of Soil Science. Indian Society of Soil Science. 2009;349-385.
- Choudhary SM, Musmade AM, Kulkarni SS. Impact of pruning time on shoot sprouting and yield attributes of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) Genotypes. Biological Forum – An International Journal. 2022;14 (1):965-971.
- Singh BP, Gorakh Singh, Singh AK. Changes in postharvest quality of guava affected by preharvest application of crop regulators. Singapore J. Pri. Ind. 1996; 24:1-9.
- Shigeura GT, Bullock RM. Management of guava: Cycling fruit set for continuous production. Proc. Trop. Ref. Am Soc. Hort. Sci. 1976;24:166.
- 11. Shatat FA. Pruning guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) effect of pruning date on yield and quality. Dirasat (Series B, Pure and applied Sci.). 1993;19:153-59.
- Singh, Gorakh, S. Rajan, D. Pandey, Singh AK. Effect of soil moisture stress on water relation by plant and cropping behaviour in guava (*Psidium guajava* L.). Indian J. Agric. Sci. 1997;67:303-06.
- Thasleema Nasreen, Jatinder Singh. Effect of branch level and leaf pruning in vegetative growth, yield and quality of guava: A review. The Pharma Innovation Journal SP. 2022;11(1): 471-475.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/114901