

## Research Article

# Oscillation of Fourth-Order Nonlinear Semi-Canonical Neutral Difference Equations via Canonical Transformations

P. Ganesan <sup>1</sup>, G. Palani <sup>1</sup>, John R. Graef <sup>2</sup> and E. Thandapani <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Dr. Ambedkar Govt. Arts College, Chennai 600039, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Tennessee at Chattanooga, Chattanooga 37403, TN, USA

<sup>3</sup>Ramanujan Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics, University of Madras, Chennai 600 005, India

Correspondence should be addressed to John R. Graef; john-graef@utc.edu

Received 28 July 2023; Revised 17 November 2023; Accepted 9 December 2023; Published 29 January 2024

Academic Editor: Agacik Zafer

Copyright © 2024 P. Ganesan et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The authors present a new technique for transforming fourth-order semi-canonical nonlinear neutral difference equations into canonical form. This greatly simplifies the examination of the oscillation of solutions. Some new oscillation criteria are established by comparison with first-order delay difference equations. Examples are provided to illustrate the significance and novelty of the main results. The results are new even for the case of nonneutral difference equations.

## 1. Introduction

In recent years, there has been an increasing interest in studying the oscillatory properties of difference equations; see for example, the monographs [1–3]. This interest is motivated by the importance of difference equations in modeling real-world problems and in the numerical solution of differential equations. In particular, the oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of solutions of fourth-order delay and neutral type difference equations have received great attention in the last few years; see, for example, the papers [3–23].

In view of the above facts, in this paper, we consider the fourth-order nonlinear neutral delay difference equation:

$$D_4 z(n) + q(n)x^\alpha(n - \tau) = 0, n \geq n_0 > 0, \quad (1)$$

where  $D_0 z(n) = z(n)$ ,  $D_i z(n) = a_i(n)\Delta(D_{i-1}z(n))$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ,  $D_4 z(n) = \Delta(D_3 z(n))$ , and  $z(n) = x(n) + p(n)x(n - \sigma)$ . Throughout the paper, we assume that:

(H<sub>1</sub>)  $\{a_j(n)\}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$ , are positive sequences of real numbers;

(H<sub>2</sub>)  $\{p(n)\}$  and  $\{q(n)\}$  are nonnegative real sequences with  $0 \leq p(n) < 1$ ;

(H<sub>3</sub>)  $\alpha$  is a ratio of odd positive integers and  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are positive integers.

By a solution of (1), we mean a real sequence  $\{x(n)\}$  satisfying Equation (1) for all  $n \geq n_0$ . We consider only such solutions that are nontrivial for all large  $n$ . A solution of (1) is called *nonoscillatory* if it is eventually positive or eventually negative; otherwise it is called *oscillatory*. If all solutions are oscillatory, then the equation itself called oscillatory.

In reviewing the literature, it is seen that the most known results are for Equation (1) when it is in *canonical form*, that is,

$$\sum_{s=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_j(s)} = \infty \text{ for } j = 1, 2, 3. \quad (2)$$

In order to clarify and facilitate our discussion, we introduce the following terminology and classification of Equation (1). We define:

$$A_i(n) = \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_i(s)}, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, \quad (3)$$

and we will say that Equation (1) is in *canonical form* if:

$$A_i(n_0) = \infty, i = 1, 2, 3, \quad (4)$$

and it is in *noncanonical form* if:

$$A_i(n_0) < \infty, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \tag{5}$$

In addition, we will say that Equation (1) is in *semi-canonical form* if either:

$$A_1(n_0) = A_2(n_0) = \infty \text{ and } A_3(n_0) < \infty, \tag{6}$$

or

$$A_1(n_0) = \infty, A_2(n_0) < \infty, \text{ and } A_3(n_0) = \infty, \tag{7}$$

or

$$A_1(n_0) < \infty \text{ and } A_2(n_0) = A_3(n_0) = \infty. \tag{8}$$

Finally, we will say that Equation (1) is in *semi-noncanonical form* if either:

$$A_1(n_0) = \infty, A_2(n_0) < \infty, \text{ and } A_3(n_0) < \infty, \tag{9}$$

or

$$A_1(n_0) < \infty, A_2(n_0) = \infty, \text{ and } A_3(n_0) < \infty, \tag{10}$$

or

$$A_1(n_0) < \infty, A_2(n_0) < \infty, \text{ and } A_3(n_0) = \infty. \tag{11}$$

*Note.* When we refer to Equation (1) being in canonical, noncanonical, semi-canonical, or semi-noncanonical form, we will use this same terminology to describe the operator  $D_4z(n)$  in the equation as well.

In the papers [4, 8, 10, 15, 16, 21–23], the authors studied Equation (1) in case (6) or (7) holds, that is Equation (1) is in *semi-canonical form*. In these cases, the positive solutions of the equation satisfy one of four possible cases, and each of these have to be eliminated to obtain the oscillation of all

solutions. Therefore, our aim in this paper is to first transform Equation (1) in cases (6) or (7) into canonical form. This greatly simplifies the examination of the equation since, in this case, the positive solutions are one of only two types. We then apply techniques known for canonical equations to obtain oscillation criteria for Equation (1). Examples illustrating the significance and novelty of our main results are provided. Note that, our results established here are new for nonneutral difference equations as well.

## 2. Main Results

In this section, we study the oscillatory behavior of Equation (1) in case (6) or (7) holds.

*2.1. The Case Where (6) Holds.* We begin by defining:

$$b_1(n) = a_1(n), \quad b_2(n) = \frac{a_2(n)}{A_3(n)}, \tag{12}$$

$$b_3(n) = a_3(n)A_3(n)A_3(n+1), \quad Q(n) = A_3(n+1)q(n). \tag{13}$$

**Theorem 1.** *Assume that*

$$\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{A_3(n)}{a_2(n)} = \infty. \tag{14}$$

*Then, the semi-canonical operator  $D_4z(n)$  can be written in canonical form as follows:*

$$D_4z(n) = \frac{1}{A_3(n+1)} \Delta(b_3(n)\Delta(b_2(n)\Delta(b_1(n)\Delta z(n)))). \tag{15}$$

*Proof.* A direct calculation shows that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{A_3(n+1)} \Delta(b_3(n)\Delta(b_2(n)\Delta(b_1(n)\Delta z(n)))) \\ &= \frac{1}{A_3(n+1)} \Delta\left(b_3(n)\Delta\left(\frac{a_2(n)}{A_3(n)}\Delta(b_1(n)\Delta z(n))\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{A_3(n+1)} \Delta\left(\frac{b_3(n)a_3(n)A_3(n)\Delta(a_2(n)\Delta(b_1(n)\Delta z(n))) + b_3(n)a_2(n)\Delta(b_1(n)\Delta z(n))}{A_3(n)A_3(n+1)a_3(n)}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{A_3(n+1)} \Delta(A_3(n)a_3(n)\Delta(a_2(n)\Delta(b_1(n)\Delta z(n))) + a_2(n)\Delta(b_1(n)\Delta z(n))) \\ &= \Delta(a_3(n)\Delta(a_2(n)\Delta(b_1(n)\Delta z(n)))) - \Delta(a_2(n)\Delta(b_1(n)\Delta z(n)))/A_3(n+1) \\ & \quad + \Delta(a_2(n)\Delta(b_1(n)\Delta z(n)))/A_3(n+1) \\ &= D_4z(n). \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b_3(n)} &= \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_3(n)A_3(n)A_3(n+1)} = \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \Delta \left( \frac{1}{A_3(n)} \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{A_3(n)} - \frac{1}{A_3(n_0)} = \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b_2(n)} = \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{A_3(n)}{a_2(n)} = \infty, \tag{18}$$

by (14). Also,

$$\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b_1(n)} = \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_1(n)} = \infty, \tag{19}$$

by (6). Hence, the right hand side of (15) is in canonical form. This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

From the above theorem, we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.** *Let (14) hold. Then  $x(t)$  is a solution of Equation (1) if and only if it is also a solution of the canonical equation:*

$$\overline{D}_4 z(n) + Q(n)x^\alpha(n - \tau) = 0, \tag{20}$$

where  $\overline{D}_0 z(n) = z(n)$ ,  $\overline{D}_i z(n) = b_i(n)\Delta(\overline{D}_{i-1}z(n))$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , and  $\overline{D}_4 z(n) = \Delta(\overline{D}_3 z(n))$ .

The next lemma gives us the classification of positive nonoscillatory solutions of Equation (20).

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\{x(n)\}$  be an eventually positive solution of (20). Then the corresponding sequence  $\{z(n)\}$  is also eventually positive, and exactly one of the following statements holds:*

- (I)  $\overline{D}_1 z(n) > 0$ ,  $\overline{D}_2 z(n) < 0$ ,  $\overline{D}_3 z(n) > 0$ ,  $\overline{D}_4 z(n) \leq 0$ ;
- (II)  $\overline{D}_1 z(n) > 0$ ,  $\overline{D}_2 z(n) > 0$ ,  $\overline{D}_3 z(n) > 0$ ,  $\overline{D}_4 z(n) \leq 0$ ,

for sufficiently large  $n$ .

*Proof.* The proof is similar to that of [11, Lemma 3] and so we omit the details.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\{x(n)\}$  be an eventually positive solution of  $(E_1)$ . Then:*

$$x(n) \geq (1 - p(n))z(n - \sigma), \tag{21}$$

for all  $n \geq n_1 \geq n_0$ .

*Proof.* From the definition of  $z(n)$ , we have:

$$x(n) = z(n) - p(n)x(n - \sigma) \geq z(n) - p(n)z(n - \sigma). \tag{22}$$

In view of Lemma 1, we see that  $z(n)$  satisfies:

$$\overline{D}_1 z(n) = b_1(n)\Delta z(n) > 0, \tag{23}$$

for both cases (I) and (II). This implies that  $z(n)$  is increasing and using this in (22) yields:

$$x(n) \geq (1 - p(n))z(n - \sigma). \tag{24}$$

This proves the lemma.  $\square$

We next obtain another oscillation result.

**Theorem 2.** *Let (14) hold. If*

$$\sum_{n=n_1}^{\infty} Q(n)(1 - p(n - \tau))^\alpha = \infty, \tag{25}$$

then Equation (1) is oscillatory.

*Proof.* Let  $\{x(n)\}$  be an eventually positive solution of (E). Then by Corollary 1, we see that  $\{x(n)\}$  is also an eventually positive solution of  $(E_1)$ . Then by Lemma 1, the sequence  $\{z(n)\}$  satisfies either Case (I) or Case (II) for all  $n \geq n_1 \geq n_0$ .

Now using (21) in  $(E_1)$ , we obtain:

$$\overline{D}_4 z(n) + Q(n)(1 - p(n - \tau))^\alpha z^\alpha(n - \tau - \sigma) \leq 0, \quad n \geq n_1. \tag{26}$$

In both cases  $z(n)$  is increasing, so there exists a constant  $M > 0$  and an integer  $n_2 \geq n_1$  such that  $z(n - \tau - \sigma) \geq M$  for  $n \geq n_2$ . Using this in Equation (26), we have

$$-\overline{D}_4 z(n) \geq M^\alpha Q(n)(1 - p(n - \tau))^\alpha. \tag{27}$$

Summing Equation (27), from  $n_2$  to  $n$  gives:

$$M^\alpha \sum_{s=n_2}^n Q(s)(1 - p(s - \tau))^\alpha \leq \overline{D}_3 z(n_2) - \overline{D}_3 z(n + 1) \leq \overline{D}_3 z(n_2), \tag{28}$$

since in both cases  $\overline{D}_3 z(n) > 0$ . This contradiction completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

*Remark 1.* Theorem 2 is independent of the values of  $\alpha$  and the delay argument  $\tau$ . Hence, it is applicable to linear, sub-linear, or superlinear equations as well as to ordinary, delay, or advanced type difference equations.

Before we present our next results, we introduce the notation:

$$Q_1(n) = \left( \frac{1}{b_2(n)} \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b_3(s)} \sum_{u=s}^{\infty} Q(u)(1-p(u-\tau))^\alpha \right) \left( \sum_{s=n_*}^{n-\tau-\sigma-1} \frac{1}{b_1(s)} \right)^\alpha, \tag{29}$$

and

$$Q_2(n) = Q(n)(1-p(n-\tau))^\alpha \left( \sum_{s=n_*}^{n-\sigma-\tau-1} \frac{1}{b_1(s)} \sum_{u=s_*}^{s-1} \frac{1}{b_2(u)} \sum_{s_1=n_*}^{u-1} \frac{1}{b_3(s_1)} \right)^\alpha, \tag{30}$$

where  $n_* \geq n_0$  is a sufficiently large integer.

**Theorem 3.** *Let (14) hold and assume that both of the first-order delay difference equations:*

$$\Delta w(n) + Q_1(n)w^\alpha(n-\tau-\sigma) = 0, \tag{31}$$

and

$$\Delta w(n) + Q_2(n)w^\alpha(n-\tau-\sigma) = 0, \tag{32}$$

are oscillatory. Then Equation (1) is oscillatory.

*Proof.* Let  $x(n)$  be an eventually positive solution of (E), say  $n \geq n_1 \geq n_0$ . Then by Corollary 1, we see that  $\{x(n)\}$  is a positive solution of Equation (20), and by Lemma 1, the function  $z(n)$  is positive and belongs to either Class (I) or Class (II). Moreover, using Equation (21) in (20), we obtain:

$$\bar{D}_4 z(n) + Q(n)(1-p(n-\tau))^\alpha z^\alpha(n-\tau-\sigma) \leq 0, \tag{33}$$

for all  $n \geq n_2 \geq n_1 + \tau + \sigma$ .

First assume that  $z(n)$  is in Class (I). Notice that  $\bar{D}_1 z(n)$  is decreasing, and we have:

$$z(n) \geq \sum_{s=n_2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{b_1(s)} b_1(s) \Delta z(s) \geq \bar{D}_1 z(n) \sum_{s=n_2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{b_1(s)}. \tag{34}$$

Summing Equation (33), from  $n$  to  $\infty$ , we obtain:

$$\bar{D}_3 z(n) \geq \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} Q(s)(1-p(s-\tau))^\alpha z^\alpha(s-\tau-\sigma). \tag{35}$$

Since  $z(n-\tau-\sigma)$  is increasing, this implies:

$$\Delta(\bar{D}_2 z(n)) \geq \frac{z^\alpha(n-\tau-\sigma)}{b_3(n)} \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} Q(s)(1-p(s-\tau))^\alpha. \tag{36}$$

Summing Equation (36), we find that:

$$-\Delta(\bar{D}_1 z(n)) \geq \frac{z^\alpha(n-\tau-\sigma)}{b_2(n)} \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b_3(s)} \sum_{u=s}^{\infty} Q(u)(1-p(u-\tau))^\alpha. \tag{37}$$

Using Equation (34) in (37) gives:

$$-\Delta(\bar{D}_1 z(n)) \geq (\bar{D}_1 z(n-\tau-\sigma))^\alpha Q_1(n). \tag{38}$$

Hence, the sequence  $w(n) = \bar{D}_1 z(n)$  is a positive solution of the delay difference inequality:

$$\Delta w(n) + Q_1(n)w^\alpha(n-\tau-\sigma) \leq 0. \tag{39}$$

By Grace and Graef [7, Lemma 3], we see that the associated delay difference Equation (31) also has a positive solution, which is a contradiction.

Next, assume that  $z(n)$  belongs to Class (II). Since  $\bar{D}_3 z(n)$  is decreasing, we have:

$$\bar{D}_2 z(n) \geq \sum_{s=n_2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{b_3(s)} \bar{D}_3 z(s) \geq \bar{D}_3 z(n) \sum_{s=n_2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{b_3(s)}. \tag{40}$$

Summing the above inequality, we obtain:

$$\Delta z(n) \geq \bar{D}_3 z(n) \frac{1}{b_1(n)} \sum_{s=n_2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{b_2(s)} \sum_{u=n_2}^{s-1} \frac{1}{b_3(u)}, \tag{41}$$

and summing again, we see that  $w(n) = \bar{D}_3 z(n)$  satisfies:

$$z(n) \geq w(n) \sum_{s=n_2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{b_1(s)} \sum_{u=n_2}^{s-1} \frac{1}{b_2(u)} \sum_{s_1=n_2}^{u-1} \frac{1}{b_3(s_1)}. \tag{42}$$

Using the last estimate in Equation (33) shows that  $w(n)$  is a positive solution of the difference inequality:

$$\Delta w(n) + Q_2(n)w^\alpha(n-\tau-\sigma) \leq 0, \tag{43}$$

which implies that the corresponding difference Equation (32) also has a positive solution. In view of Grace and Graef [7, Lemma 3], this is again a contradiction and proves the theorem.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *Assume that, Equation (14) holds. If*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=n-\tau-\sigma}^{n-1} H(s) \geq \left( \frac{\tau+\sigma}{\tau+\sigma+1} \right)^{\tau+\sigma+1}, \text{ for } \alpha = 1, \tag{44}$$

or

$$\sum_{n=n_*}^{\infty} H(n) = \infty, \text{ for } 0 < \alpha < 1, \tag{45}$$

where

$$H(n) = \min\{Q_1(n), Q_2(n)\}, \tag{46}$$

then Equation (1) is oscillatory.

*Proof.* It is clear (e.g., [3, Theorem 7.6.1] and [13, Theorem 1], respectively) that conditions (44) and (45) ensure the oscillation of (31) and (32) in the cases  $\alpha = 1$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , respectively. This proves the corollary.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** Assume that, Equation (14) holds. If  $\alpha > 1$  and there exists a constant  $\lambda > 1/(\tau + \sigma) \ln \alpha$  such that:

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} [H(s) \exp(-e^{\lambda n})] > 0, \tag{47}$$

where  $H(n)$  is defined as in Corollary 2, then Equation (1) is oscillatory.

The conclusion of this corollary follows from Theorem 3 and study by Tang [13, Theorem 2].

2.2. The Case Where (7) Holds. We set

$$d_1(n) = \frac{a_1(n)}{A_2(n)}, \quad d_2(n) = a_2(n)A_2(n)A_2(n+1), \quad \text{and} \\ d_3(n) = \frac{a_3(n)}{A_2(n+1)}, \tag{48}$$

for all  $n \geq n_* \geq n_0$ .

The following result is analogous to Theorem 1.

**Theorem 4.** Assume that

$$\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{A_2(n+1)}{a_3(n)} = \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{A_2(n)}{a_1(n)} = \infty. \tag{49}$$

Then the semi-canonical operator  $D_4z(n)$  has the canonical representation:

$$D_4z(n) = \Delta \left( \frac{a_3(n)}{A_2(n+1)} \Delta \left( a_2(n)A_2(n)A_2(n+1) \Delta \left( \frac{a_1(n)}{A_2(n)} \Delta z(n) \right) \right) \right). \tag{50}$$

*Proof.* Taking the difference:

$$\Delta(d_3(n)\Delta(d_2(n)\Delta(d_1(n)\Delta z(n)))) \\ = \Delta \left( d_3(n) \Delta \left( \frac{d_2(n)a_2(n)A_2(n)\Delta(a_1(n)\Delta z(n)) + d_2(n)a_1(n)\Delta z(n)}{A_2(n)A_2(n+1)a_2(n)} \right) \right) \\ = \Delta(d_3(n)(A_2(n+1)\Delta(a_2(n)\Delta(a_1(n)\Delta z(n))) - \Delta(a_1(n)\Delta z(n))) + \Delta(a_1(n)\Delta z(n))) \\ = \Delta(a_3(n)\Delta(a_2(n)\Delta(a_1(n)\Delta z(n)))) = D_4z(n). \tag{51}$$

Clearly,

$$\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{a_2(n)A_2(n)A_2(n+1)} = \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \Delta \left( \frac{1}{A_2(n)} \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{A_2(n)} - \frac{1}{A_2(n_0)} = \infty, \tag{52}$$

so together with Equation (49), this shows that the operator is in canonical form. This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

From Theorem 4, we see that under condition (49), Equation (1) can be written in the equivalent canonical form as follows:

$$\Delta(d_3(n)\Delta(d_2(n)\Delta(d_1(n)\Delta z(n)))) + q(n)x^\alpha(n - \tau) = 0. \tag{53}$$

That is, we have the following result that is analogous to Corollary 1.

**Corollary 4.** Assume that condition (49) holds. Then  $x(n)$  is a solution of Equation (1) if and only if it is a solution of (53).

Using Equation (21) in (53) gives:

$$L_4z(n) + q(n)(1 - p(n - \tau))^\alpha z^\alpha(n - \tau - \sigma) \leq 0, \tag{54}$$

where  $L_0z(n) = z(n)$ ,  $L_i z(n) = d_i(n)\Delta(L_{i-1}z(n))$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , and  $L_4z(n) = \Delta(L_3z(n))$ .

Similar to what we did previously, we will now apply Theorem 2, Theorem 3, Corollary 2, and Corollary 3 to Equation (54) to obtain the following oscillation results.

To accomplish this, we need the additional notation:

$$Q_3(n) = \left( \frac{1}{d_2(n)} \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{d_3(s)} \sum_{u=s}^{\infty} q(u)(1-p(u-\tau))^\alpha \right) \left( \sum_{s=n_*}^{n-\tau-\sigma-1} \frac{1}{d_1(s)} \right)^\alpha, \tag{55}$$

and

$$Q_4(n) = q(n)(1-p(n-\tau))^\alpha \left( \sum_{s=n_*}^{n-\sigma-\tau-1} \frac{1}{d_1(s)} \sum_{u=s_*}^{s-1} \frac{1}{d_2(u)} \sum_{s_1=n_*}^{u-1} \frac{1}{d_1(s_1)} \right)^\alpha, \tag{56}$$

where  $n_* \geq n_0$  is a sufficiently large integer.

**Theorem 5.** *Let Equation (49) hold. If*

$$\sum_{n=n_1}^{\infty} q(n)(1-p(n-\tau))^\alpha = \infty, \tag{57}$$

*then Equation (1) is oscillatory.*

**Theorem 6.** *Let Equation (49) hold. Assume that, both of the first-order delay difference equations:*

$$\Delta w(n) + Q_3(n)w^\alpha(n-\sigma-\tau) = 0, \tag{58}$$

*and*

$$\Delta w(n) + Q_4(n)w^\alpha(n-\sigma-\tau) = 0, \tag{59}$$

*are oscillatory. Then Equation (1) is oscillatory.*

**Corollary 5.** *Assume that, Equation (49) holds. If*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=n-\tau-\sigma}^{n-1} H_1(s) \geq \left( \frac{\tau+\sigma}{\tau+\sigma+1} \right)^{\tau+\sigma+1} \text{ for } \alpha = 1, \tag{60}$$

*or*

$$\sum_{n=n_*}^{\infty} H_1(n) = \infty \text{ for } 0 < \alpha < 1, \tag{61}$$

*where*

$$H_1(n) = \min\{Q_3(n), Q_4(n)\}, \tag{62}$$

*then Equation (1) is oscillatory.*

**Corollary 6.** *Assume that, Equation (49) holds. If  $\alpha > 1$  and there exists a constant  $\lambda > \frac{1}{\tau+\sigma} \ln \alpha$  such that:*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} [H_1(n) \exp(-e^{\lambda n})] > 0, \tag{63}$$

*where  $H_1(n)$  is as in Corollary 5, then Equation (1) is oscillatory.*

### 3. Examples

In this section, we present examples to illustrate our main results.

*Example 1.* Consider the semi-canonical fourth-order neutral delay difference equation as follows:

$$\Delta \left( n(n+1)\Delta \left( \frac{1}{n}\Delta^2 z(n) \right) \right) + \frac{q_0}{n(n+2)}x(n-1) = 0, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{64}$$

where  $q_0 > 0$  and  $z(n) = x(n) + \frac{1}{2}x(n-1)$ . Here, we have  $a_1(n) = 1$ ,  $a_2(n) = \frac{1}{n}$ ,  $a_3(n) = n(n+1)$ ,  $p(n) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\sigma = 1$ ,  $\tau = 1$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ , and  $q(n) = q_0/(n(n+2))$ . We easily see that  $(H_1)$ – $(H_3)$  and Equation (6) hold, and  $A_3(n) = \frac{1}{n}$ ,  $b_1(n) = 1$ ,  $b_2(n) = 1$ ,  $b_3(n) = 1$ , and  $Q(n) = q_0/(n(n+1)(n+2))$ . The transformed equation then becomes (see (20))

$$\Delta^4 z(n) + \frac{q_0}{n(n+1)(n+2)}x(n-1) = 0, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{65}$$

which is clearly in canonical form. Further computations show that:

$$Q_1(n) \approx \frac{q_0(n-2)}{4n}, \quad Q_2(n) \approx \frac{q_0(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)}{n(n+1)(n+2)}, \tag{66}$$

and

$$H(n) \approx \frac{q_0}{12} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{2}{n+1} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{3}{n+2} \right). \tag{67}$$

Condition (14) clearly holds and condition (44) becomes

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=n-2}^{n-1} \frac{q_0}{12} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{s} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{2}{s+1} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{3}{s+2} \right) = \frac{q_0}{12} > \left( \frac{2}{3} \right)^3, \tag{68}$$

that is, condition (44) holds if  $q_0 > \frac{32}{9}$ . Therefore, by Corollary 2, the Equation (64) is oscillatory.

*Example 2.* Consider the fourth-order semi-canonical neutral delay difference equation:

$$\Delta\left(\frac{1}{n}\Delta\left(n(n+1)\Delta\left(\frac{1}{n}\Delta z(n)\right)\right)\right) + \frac{q_0}{n(n+1)(n+2)}x(n-1) = 0, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{69}$$

where  $q_0 > 0$  and  $z(n) = x(n) + \frac{1}{2}x(n-1)$ . We have  $a_1(n) = \frac{1}{n}$ ,  $a_2(n) = n(n+1)$ ,  $a_3(n) = \frac{1}{n}$ ,  $p(n) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\sigma = 1$ ,  $\tau = 1$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ , and  $q(n) = q_0/(n(n+1)(n+2))$ . It is easy to see that  $(H_1)$ – $(H_3)$  and Equation (7) hold, and  $A_2(n) = \frac{1}{n}$ , and  $d_1(n) = d_2(n) = d_3(n) = 1$ . The transformed equation becomes (see (53))

$$\Delta^4 z(n) + \frac{q_0}{n(n+1)(n+2)}x(n-1) = 0, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{70}$$

which is in canonical form. We see that Equation (49) holds, and further computations show that:

$$Q_3(n) \approx \frac{q_0(n-2)}{4n}, \quad Q_4(n) \approx \frac{q_0(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)}{n(n+1)(n+2)}, \tag{71}$$

and

$$H_1(n) \approx \frac{q_0}{12} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{2}{n+1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{3}{n+2}\right). \tag{72}$$

Condition (60) becomes:

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{s=n-2}^{n-1} \frac{q_0}{12} \left(1 - \frac{1}{s}\right) \left(1 - \frac{2}{s+1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{3}{s+2}\right) = \frac{q_0}{12} > \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^3, \tag{73}$$

which holds if  $q_0 > \frac{32}{9}$ . Therefore, by Corollary 5, Equation (69) is oscillatory.

*Example 3.* Consider the nonlinear semi-canonical neutral equation:

$$\Delta(n(n+1)\Delta^3 z(n)) + q_0 x^3(n-1) = 0, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{74}$$

where  $z(n) = x(n) + \frac{1}{2}x(n-1)$  and  $q_0 > 0$ . In this case we have  $a_1(n) = 1$ ,  $a_2(n) = 1$ ,  $a_3(n) = n(n+1)$ ,  $p(n) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\sigma = 1$ ,  $\tau = 1$ ,  $\alpha = 3$ , and  $q(n) = q_0$ . We easily see that  $(H_1)$ – $(H_3)$  and Equation (6) hold,  $A_3(n) = \frac{1}{n}$ ,  $b_1(n) = 1$ ,  $b_2(n) = n$ ,  $b_3(n) = 1$ , and  $Q(n) = \frac{q_0}{n+1}$ . Equation (74) is transformed into the canonical equation

$$\Delta^2(n\Delta^2 z(n)) + \frac{q_0}{n+1}x^3(n-1) = 0. \tag{75}$$

Condition (25) becomes

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q_0}{8(n+1)} = \infty, \tag{76}$$

so it is satisfied as is condition (14). Therefore, by Theorem 2, the Equation (74) is oscillatory.

*Example 4.* Consider the equation:

$$\Delta^2(n(n+1)\Delta^2 z(n)) + \frac{q_0}{n+1}x^{\frac{1}{3}}(n-1) = 0, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{77}$$

where  $z(n) = x(n) + \frac{1}{2}x(n-1)$  and  $q_0 > 0$ . Here,  $a_1(n) = 1$ ,  $a_2(n) = n(n+1)$ ,  $a_3(n) = 1$ ,  $p(n) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\sigma = 1$ ,  $\tau = 1$ ,  $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$ ,  $q(n) = q_0/(n+1)$ ,  $A_2(n) = \frac{1}{n}$ ,  $d_1(n) = n$ ,  $d_2(n) = 1$ , and  $d_3(n) = n$ . Conditions  $(H_1)$ – $(H_3)$  and Equation (7) hold. The transformed equation is as follows:

$$\Delta(n\Delta^2(n\Delta z(n))) + \frac{q_0}{n+1}x^{\frac{1}{3}}(n-1) = 0, \tag{78}$$

which is in canonical form. Condition (49) holds and Equation (61) takes the form:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{3}}(n+1)} = \infty, \tag{79}$$

which is clearly satisfied. Therefore, by Corollary 5, Equation (77) is oscillatory.

In our final two examples, we are able to actually exhibit an oscillatory solution.

*Example 5.* Consider the neutral equation:

$$\Delta\left(n(n+1)\Delta\left(\frac{1}{n}\Delta^2 z(n)\right)\right) + \frac{8(n^2 + 4n + 3)}{n-1}x(n-1) = 0, \quad n \geq 2. \tag{80}$$

Here, we have  $a_1(n) = 1$ ,  $a_2(n) = \frac{1}{n}$ ,  $a_3(n) = n(n+1)$ ,  $p(n) = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\tau = \sigma = 1$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ , and  $q(n) = 8(n^2 + 4n + 3)/(n-1)$ . Conditions  $(H_1)$ – $(H_4)$  hold. Also,  $A_3(n) = \frac{1}{n}$ ,  $b_1(n) = b_2(n) = b_3(n) = 1$ , and  $Q(n) = 4(n+3)/(n-1)$ . The transformed equation is as follows:

$$\Delta^4 z(n) + \frac{4(n+3)}{n-1}x(n-1) = 0, \quad n \geq 2, \tag{81}$$

which we see is in canonical form. Condition (14) clearly holds and condition (25) becomes:



$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{4(n+3)}{n-1} = \infty. \quad (82)$$

By Theorem 2, Equation (80) is oscillatory, and the sequence  $x(n) = \{n(-1)^n\}$  is one such oscillatory solution.

*Example 6.* Consider the nonneutral equation

$$\Delta \left( (n+1) \Delta \left( \frac{1}{n} \Delta^2 x(n) \right) \right) + \frac{16(n+1)(n+2)}{n-1} x(n-1) = 0, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (83)$$

where we have  $a_1(n) = 1$ ,  $a_2(n) = \frac{1}{n}$ ,  $a_3(n) = n(n+1)$ ,  $p(n) \equiv 0$ ,  $\tau = 1$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ , and  $q(n) = 16(n+1)(n+2)/(n-1)$ . Conditions  $(H_1)$ – $(H_4)$  hold. Also,  $A_3(n) = \frac{1}{n}$ ,  $b_1(n) = 1$ ,  $b_2(n) = 1$ ,  $b_3(n) = 1$ , and  $Q(n) = 16(n+2)/(n-1)$ , so the transformed equation is as follows:

$$\Delta^4 z(n) + \frac{16(n+2)}{n-1} x(n-1) = 0, \quad n \geq 2, \quad (84)$$

which we see is in canonical form. Condition (14) clearly holds. Condition (25) becomes:

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{16(n+2)}{n-1} = \infty. \quad (85)$$

By Theorem 2, Equation (83) is oscillatory, and the sequence  $z(n) = x(n) = \{n(-1)^n\}$  is an oscillatory solution.

## 4. Conclusion

In this paper, we introduced a technique to convert the semi-canonical Equation (1) with (6) or (7) holding into a canonical type equation. This simplified the investigation of the oscillatory properties of the solutions. Examples are presented to illustrate the importance of the main results. One significant improvement over the papers [4, 8, 10, 15, 16, 21–23] is that we only need to eliminate two types of nonoscillatory solutions to obtain our oscillation criteria. We leave the study of Equation (1) in the cases where (8), (9), (10), or (–11) holds to the future research efforts.

## Data Availability

No data sets were created during the conduction of this research.

## Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

## References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, M. Bohner, S. R. Grace, and D. O'Regan, *Discrete Oscillation Theory*, Hindawi, New York, 2005.
- [2] R. P. Agarwal, S. R. Grace, and D. O'Regan, *Oscillation Theory for Difference and Functional Differential Equations*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2000.
- [3] I. Györi and G. Ladas, *Oscillation Theory of Delay Differential Equations with Applications*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1991.
- [4] R. P. Agarwal, S. R. Grace, and J. V. Manojlovic, "On the oscillatory properties of certain fourth order nonlinear difference equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 322, no. 2, pp. 930–956, 2006.
- [5] Z. Došlá and J. Krejčová, "Oscillation of a class of the fourth-order nonlinear difference equations," *Advances in Difference Equations*, vol. 2012, Article ID 99, 2012.
- [6] Z. Došlá and J. Krejčová, "Asymptotic and oscillatory properties of the fourth-order nonlinear difference equations," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 249, pp. 164–173, 2014.
- [7] S. R. Grace and J. R. Graef, "Oscillatory behavior of higher order nonlinear difference equations," *Mathematical Modelling and Analysis*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 522–530, 2020.
- [8] R. Jankowski, E. Schmeidel, and J. Zonenberg, "Oscillatory properties of solutions of the fourth order difference equations with quasidifferences," *Opuscula Mathematica*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 789–797, 2014.
- [9] E. Schmeidel, "Asymptotic trichotomy for positive solutions of a class of fourth-order nonlinear neutral difference equations with quasidifferences," *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 63, no. 5–7, pp. e899–e907, 2005.
- [10] E. Schmeidel, M. Migda, and A. Musielak, "Oscillatory properties of fourth order nonlinear difference equations with quasidifferences," *Opuscula Mathematica*, vol. 26, pp. 371–379, 2006.
- [11] E. Schmeidel and J. Schmeidel, "Asymptotic behavior of solutions of a class of fourth order nonlinear neutral difference equations with quasidifferences," *Tatra Mountains Mathematical Publications*, vol. 38, pp. 243–254, 2007.
- [12] S. Selvarangam, S. A. Rupadevi, E. Thandapani, and S. Pinelas, "Oscillation criteria for even order neutral difference equations," *Opuscula Mathematica*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 91–108, 2019.
- [13] X. H. Tang, "Oscillation for nonlinear delay difference equations," *Tamkang Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 275–280, 2001.
- [14] E. Thandapani and I. M. Arockiasamy, "Oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of fourth order nonlinear neutral delay difference equations," *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 32, pp. 387–399, 2001.
- [15] E. Thandapani and I. M. Arockiasamy, "Fourth-order nonlinear oscillations of difference equations," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 42, pp. 357–368, 2001.
- [16] E. Thandapani and I. M. Arockiasamy, "Oscillation and nonoscillation theorems for fourth order neutral difference equations," *Communications on Pure and Applied Analysis*, vol. 8, pp. 279–291, 2004.
- [17] E. Thandapani and B. Selvaraj, "Oscillation of fourth order quasilinear difference equations," *Fasciculi Mathematici*, vol. 37, pp. 109–119, 2007.
- [18] E. Thandapani and M. Vijaya, "Oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of fourth order quasilinear difference equations," *Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations*, no. 64, pp. 1–15, 2009.



- [19] A. K. Tripathy, "On oscillatory nonlinear fourth order difference equations with delays," *Mathematica Bohemica*, vol. 143, pp. 25–40, 2018.
- [20] A. K. Tripathy, "New oscillation criteria for fourth order difference equations," *Advances in Dynamic Systems and Applications*, vol. 8, pp. 387–399, 2013.
- [21] A. Tripathy, "Oscillation of fourth order nonlinear neutral difference equations-II," *Mathematica Slovaca*, vol. 58, no. 5, pp. 581–604, 2008.
- [22] R. Vimala and R. Kodeeswaran, "Neutral delay difference equations of fourth-order: oscillation of solutions," *Journal of Pharmaceutical Negative Results*, vol. 13, pp. 3842–3852, 2022.
- [23] R. Vimala, R. Kodeeswaran, R. Cep, M. J. I. Krishnasamy, M. Awasthi, and G. Santhakumar, "Oscillation of nonlinear neutral delay difference equations of fourth order," *Mathematics*, vol. 11, no. 6, Article ID 1370, 2023.