



An Audit of Antibiotic Prophylaxis for Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy

Arbaab Khan ^{a++*}, Imran Shaikh ^{b#} and Vasim Shaikh ^{c#}

^a Department of General Surgery, Wockhardt Hospital, India.

^b DNB General Surgery, DNB GI Surgery, India.

^c DNB General Surgery, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/111490>

Original Research Article

Received: 26/10/2023

Accepted: 29/12/2023

Published: 03/01/2024

ABSTRACT

Background: Laparoscopic cholecystectomy is a commonly performed surgical procedure for the treatment of symptomatic gallstones. Antibiotic prophylaxis is recommended to prevent surgical site infection (SSI) and other related complications and has been known to lower the incidence of postoperative infection in surgeries. The American Society of Health-System Pharmacists (ASHP) guideline summarizes current data on the appropriate use of antibiotic for surgical prophylaxis.

Objective: The objective of this study is to assess and audit the use of antibiotics for laparoscopic cholecystectomy surgeries in a tertiary care centre according to the recommendation of ASHP guidelines.

Methods: A retrospective audit was conducted and medical records of patients who underwent laparoscopic cholecystectomy between August 2022 to February 2023 in the surgical wards of a private hospital in Mira road, were studied. Antibiotic indication and choice, dose, dosing interval, route of administration, and timing of first administration and duration of prophylaxis were compared with the ASHP guideline recommendations.

⁺⁺ MD, Post-Doctoral Researcher;

[#] MBBS;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: arbaabaltaf@yahoo.com;

Results: A total of 100 patients with the age of >18 years were retrospectively studied. About 87% of procedures had full compliance with all guideline recommendations. The variables with least compliance were “Appropriate Dose” (87% compliance rate) and “Appropriate initiation time of prophylaxis” (97% compliance rate). The variables with most compliance were Appropriate Agent used (100%), Appropriate Duration of Prophylaxis (100%), Appropriate administration route (100%), and Appropriate decision regarding use and non-use of antibiotic prophylaxis (100%).

Conclusion: This audit highlights the need for improved adherence to guidelines regarding antibiotic prophylaxis in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. The study revealed that most of the prescribed antibiotics for surgical prophylaxis in the hospital are in accordance with standard treatment guideline. The density of antimicrobial use in the hospital for preoperative antimicrobial prophylaxis is as indicated/optimal.

Keywords: Antibiotic prophylaxis; laparoscopic cholecystectomy; guideline adherence; surgery.

1. INTRODUCTION

“The adoption of antimicrobial prophylaxis in surgical procedures is a pivotal strategy to curtail the incidence of surgical site infections (SSI)” [1]. “SSIs contribute significantly to prolonged hospital stays, heightened morbidity, mortality rates, and consequent strain on healthcare resources” [2]. The judicious use of antibiotics has proven effective in lowering SSI occurrence [3]. Despite the existence of global and national guidelines for surgical prophylaxis, recent studies exploring current prophylactic practices reveal persistent issues such as antimicrobial overuse, inappropriate use of broad-spectrum antibacterial agents, and discrepancies in timing and duration [4-10]. This study employs the guidelines established by the American Society of Health-System Pharmacists (ASHP) [11] to evaluate the appropriateness of antibiotic prophylaxis for cholecystectomy procedures within the expansive tertiary care setting of Wockhardt Hospital, Mira Road.

2. METHODS

A retrospective audit spanning August 2022 to February 2023 was conducted in a private hospital in Mira Road, housing 350 beds. Files of all patients aged ≥ 18 years admitted for elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy were scrutinized. Data collected included patient demographics (age, sex), comorbidities, ASA Score, wound class, and details of antibiotic therapy (agents, doses, intervals, route, number of doses, initiation times, and duration). Compliance with ASHP guidelines was assessed across all aspects of antibiotic prophylaxis. The American College of Surgeons' classification of surgical wound types (clean, clean-contaminated, contaminated, dirty-infected) [11] was utilized, with inclusion criteria involving patients with

clean-contaminated and contaminated wounds. Exclusion criteria included patients with dirty wounds and those receiving antibiotics for infections.

Following data collection, a thorough evaluation of the data was conducted. The primary criterion examined was the preoperative antibiotic prophylaxis indication. If deemed inappropriate, other criteria were similarly considered inadequate. Each record's parameters were assessed against criteria such as indication for prophylaxis, choice of antibiotics, timing of first preoperative dose, duration of prophylaxis, dose of prophylactic antibiotic, and route of administration. Patients developing wound infections during admission had only pre-infection onset antibiotics considered, distinguishing prophylactic from treatment courses.

3. RESULTS

The study encompassed 100 elective procedures, with 97% classified as clean-contaminated and 3% as contaminated.

Table 1 shows the proportion of procedures in which the antibiotic therapy prescribed agreed with the ASHP guideline recommendation for indications and regimens of antibiotic prophylaxis.

The most frequently employed antibiotics were Cefuroxime (in 82 procedures, 82%), Piperacillin-Tazobactam (in 13 surgeries), Ceftriaxone-Sulbactam (in 3), Amoxicillin-Clavulanic acid (in 2), and Metronidazole (in 2, as a combination). Antibiotics were correctly prescribed in 100% of procedures. Intravenous administration was consistent with guidelines in all procedures. While the dosage was appropriate in 87% of

procedures, the duration of prophylaxis adhered to guidelines in 100% of cases.

Table 1. The proportion of procedures in which the antibiotic therapy prescribed as per ASHP guideline for indications and regimens of antibiotic prophylaxis

Variables	Compliance Percentage
Appropriate agent used	100
Appropriate dose	87
Appropriate administration route	100
Appropriate initiation time of prophylaxis	97
Appropriate duration of prophylaxis	100
Appropriate decision making regarding use or nonuse of antibiotic	100
Compliance with all recommendations	87

Antibiotic prophylaxis commenced within 60 minutes before incision in 97 out of 100 cases, with 3 patients starting prophylaxis over 2 hours before incision. Surgery duration averaged around 2 hours in all cases, and no additional antibiotic doses were administered during surgery. All 100 cases exhibited no mortality, with all patients discharged after receiving appropriate prophylaxis in line with guidelines.

4. DISCUSSION

The ASHP recommends cephalosporin prophylaxis as the primary choice for most procedures (clean and clean-contaminated) [11], and our study confirms 100% compliance with this recommendation.

“Common errors in antibiotic selection, such as the use of more than one drug without indication for multidrug prophylaxis and the use of antibiotics not recommended for prophylaxis (e.g., third-generation cephalosporins), were not prevalent in our facility”. [14] The consequences of antibiotic misuse, including bacterial resistance and increased healthcare costs, were not observed in our study.

“Extended use of prophylactic antimicrobials has been associated with bacterial resistance, superinfection risk, and drug toxicity” [12]. Our study indicates that prophylaxis initiation timing and duration were largely compliant with international guidelines, underscoring the importance of balancing the benefits of antimicrobial prophylaxis against the risks of adverse reactions, bacterial resistance, and direct costs [13].

“To enhance antimicrobial practices in hospitals, institutionalization efforts are recommended, either by adopting international guidelines or

developing local hospital guidelines. A board of trustees, incorporating specialists, anesthesiologists, microbiologists, pharmacists, and infection control departments, should steer institutionalization efforts. Guidelines should be tailored to the hospital's bacterial epidemiology, supported by the best literature evidence and surgeon preferences. Standardized protocols should be disseminated to achieve consensus among surgeons before implementation. Previous studies have demonstrated that guidelines can significantly improve the quality of antibiotic use”. [14]

5. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, our study affirms that the majority of prescribed antibiotics for surgical prophylaxis align with standard treatment guidelines. However, there is a need for introducing local prescribing guidelines and comprehensive educational interventions to elevate the quality of preoperative antibiotic use.

CONSENT

As per international standards or university standards, patient(s) written consent has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

ETHICAL APPROVAL

As per international standards or university standards written ethical approval has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Korol E, Johnston K, Waser N, Sifakis F, Jafri HS, Lo M, et al. A systematic review of risk factors associated with surgical site infections among surgical patients. *PLoS One*. 2013;8:e83743.
2. Coomer NM, Kandilov AM. Impact of hospital-acquired conditions on financial liabilities for medicare patients. *Am J Infect Control*. 2016;44:1326–34.
3. Anderson DJ, Podgorny K, Berríos-Torres SI, Bratzler DW, Dellinger EP, Greene L, et al. Strategies to prevent surgical site infections in acute care hospitals: 2014

- update. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol.* 2014;35:605–27.
4. Al-Momany NH, Al-Bakri AG, Makahleh ZM, Wazaify MM. Adherence to international antimicrobial prophylaxis guidelines in cardiac surgery: A Jordanian study demonstrates the need for quality improvement. *J Manag Care Pharm.* 2009;15:262–71.
 5. Askarian M, Reza Moravveji A, Assadian O. Prescription of prophylactic antibiotics for neurosurgical procedures in teaching hospitals in Iran. *Am J Infect Control.* 2007;35:260–2.
 6. Elbur AI, Yousif MA, Elsayed AS, Abdel-Rahman ME. An audit of prophylactic surgical antibiotic use in a Sudanese Teaching Hospital. *Int J Clin Pharm.* 2013;35:149–53.
 7. Goede WJ, Lovely JK, Thompson RL, Cima RR. Assessment of prophylactic antibiotic use in patients with surgical site infections. *Hosp Pharm.* 2013;48:560–7.
 8. Hohmann C, Eickhoff C, Radziwill R, Schulz M. Adherence to guidelines for antibiotic prophylaxis in surgery patients in German hospitals: A multicentre evaluation involving pharmacy interns. *Infection.* 2012;40:131–7.
 9. Durando P, Bassetti M, Orengo G, Crimi P, Battistini A, Bellina D, et al. Adherence to international and national recommendations for the prevention of surgical site infections in Italy: Results from an observational prospective study in elective surgery. *Am J Infect Control.* 2012;40:969–72.
 10. Ng RS, Chong CP. Surgeons' adherence to guidelines for surgical antimicrobial prophylaxis – A review. *Australas Med J.* 2012;5:534–40.
 11. Bratzler DW, Dellinger EP, Olsen KM, Perl TM, Auwaerter PG, Bolon MK, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for antimicrobial prophylaxis in surgery. *Am J Health Syst Pharm.* 2013;70:195–283.
 12. Schonberger RB, Barash PG, Lagasse RS. The surgical care improvement project antibiotic guidelines: Should we expect more than good intentions? *Anesth Analg.* 2015;121:397–403.
 13. Wick EC, Hobson DB, Bennett JL, Demski R, Maragakis L, Gearhart SL, et al. Implementation of a surgical comprehensive unit-based safety program to reduce surgical site infections. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2012;215:193–200.
 14. Mousavi S, Zamani E, Bahrami F. An audit of perioperative antimicrobial prophylaxis: Compliance with the international guidelines. *Journal of research in pharmacy practice.* 2017;6(2):126.

© 2024 Khan et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/111490>