

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

Volume 35, Issue 6, Page 189-196, 2023; Article no.IJPSS.95402 ISSN: 2320-7035

# Influence of Zinc, Iron and Manganese Applications on Soil Properties, Protein Content and Sedimentation Value of Wheat

Sekhar Kumar<sup>a</sup>, Rohtas Kumar<sup>a</sup>, Sawan Kumar<sup>a</sup>, Satender Kumar<sup>a</sup> and Jyoti Sharma<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Soil Science, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar 125004, India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2023/v35i62854

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/95402

**Original Research Article** 

Received: 02/01/2023 Accepted: 05/03/2023 Published: 22/03/2023

## ABSTRACT

Micronutrient insufficiency in plants is becoming increasingly common, particularly in cereals crops around the world. These deficits result in a loss of yield as well as deterioration in the nutritional quality of the crops. The experiment was carried out in screen house for Rabi season of 2017-18, Soil Science Department, CCS HAU, Hisar to measure the impact of methods and dosages of zinc (Zn), iron (Fe) and manganese (Mn) application on post-harvest soil characteristics and wheat quality. The findings of this research illustrated that DTPA-extractable Zn and Mn increased significantly with the addition of Zn and Mn, respectively as compared to control. With the application of micronutrients, the sedimentation value and protein content of wheat both significantly increased in comparison to the control. Maximum increase in sedimentation value (54.0) was found when  $0.5 \% ZnSO_4 + 2.5 \%$  urea was applied. Whereas, maximum increase in protein content (12.2%) was observed when  $0.5 \% FeSO_4 + 3 \%$  urea was applied. Overall, quality

Int. J. Plant Soil Sci., vol. 35, no. 6, pp. 189-196, 2023

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: rkmsoil@gmail.com;

of wheat improved with the application of micronutrients but there was no significant effect of these applications on soil parameters. There was no significant variation in soil pH, soil organic carbon (SOC), electrical conductivity (EC), available nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), potassium (K) and DTPA-extractable Fe when micronutrients were applied as foliar or basal doses.

Keywords: Fe; micronutrients; Mn; wheat and Zn.

# 1. INTRODUTION

Wheat is a staple diet for individuals all over the globe. It is India's most vital food grain second only to rice and is grown mostly in the country's northern and northwestern territories. Wheat is raised on 29.72 million hectares in India with a normal vield of 98.61 million tones and a productivity of 33.18 q ha<sup>-1</sup>. Wheat is grown on over 2.53 million hectares in Harvana, with an average productivity of 46.24 q ha<sup>-1</sup> and a yield of 11.68 million tones (Anonymous, 2018). The Green Revolution assisted in increasing food production, resulting in a significant reduction in hunger, calories, and protein malnutrition. Micronutrient inadequacies, particularly Zn, Fe, and Mn are triggered by intensive cultivation of high-yielding cultivars with extensive applications of high-analysis N, P, and K supplements [1]. Micronutrient deficits in the rice-wheat cropping system are becoming more prevalent worldwide. Shukla et al. [2] analyzed soil samples to determine the status of micronutrient deficiencies in Harvana and revealed that 15.3%, 21.6%, and 6.1% of Harvana soils were deficient in Zn, Fe, and Mn, respectively. They also stated that Zn inadequacy has diminished by 10.5 percent as a result of prolonged use of zinc sulphate. However, due to very little or no application of micronutrients, Fe and Mn deficit grew significantly. These minerals present in small quantities are taken up by crop resulted into deficiency, limited crop yield and deteriorating soil health. Micronutrient content has decreased over the last decade result of persistent micronutrient as а mining and the usage of micronutrient-free fertilizers [3].

The amount of these nutrients depends on a variety of factors including the soil's ability to deliver these nutrients, the rate of absorption of nutrients to functional areas and the mobility of nutrients within the plants. The chemical form of metal, soil pH, organic matter, type of clay and its content, redox conditions, and root exudates chelators produced in the rhizosphere which facilitate uptake of immobile or relatively less

dynamic metals affect the mobility and availability of micronutrients to plants in soil.

# 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

# 2.1 Experiment Location

A pot experiment was carried out in screen house of Soil Science department, CCS HAU, Hisar to evaluate the impact of Zn, Fe, and Mn application on soil attributes and wheat quality parameters during the Rabi season 2017-18. The soil used in the experiment had a neutral reaction, was non-saline and had low organic carbon content. The soil contains 80.54, 7.20 and 174.06 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of N, P and K respectively. The DTPA-extractable Zn, Fe and Mn were found 0.49, 2.08 and 2.40 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The soil had a sandy texture. With three replications and sixteen distinct combinations of Zn, Fe and Mn applications, the experiment was designed using a completely randomized design (CRD). Different treatments of Zn, Fe and Mn were analyzed in different pots.

## 2.2 Experiment Details

A completely randomized (CRD) design was used to examine the experimental data. Wheat variety WH 1105 was planted on November 29, 2017 and harvested on April 17, 2018. Ten seeds were placed in each pot. After three weeks the pots were thinned with just four plants permitted to grow. Irrigation was applied to the crop on a regular basis depending on its needs. Micronutrients were delivered to the pots as a basal dose (in treatments  $T_2$  and  $T_{13}$  to  $T_{16}$ ) and as a basal dose with foliar spray  $(T_4)$  at several stages of the crop, such as CRI, tillering and milking ( $T_3$  and  $T_5$  to  $T_{12}$ ). ZnSO<sub>4</sub>, FeSO<sub>4</sub> and MnSO<sub>4</sub> were used to apply zinc, iron and manganese, respectively. Soil samples were collected and analyzed for different parameters given in table below. Sedimentation value of wheat was determined by using sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS method) medium for a standard time of settling. Wheat protein content was determined from nitrogen content by it 6.25.

I.e., protein content = nitrogen content x 6.25

Treatment	Nutrients level							
	Zn	Fe	Mn					
T <sub>1</sub>	Control	Control	Control					
T <sub>2</sub> *	2.5 mg Zn kg <sup>-1</sup> through ZnSO₄	5 mg Fe kg⁻¹ through FeSO₄	5 mg Mn kg⁻¹ through MnSO₄					
T <sub>3</sub> **	0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> solution	0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> solution	0.5% MnSO <sub>4</sub> solution					
T <sub>4</sub>	2.5 mg Zn kg⁻¹ + 0.5% ZnSO₄	5 mg Fe kg⁻¹ + 0.5% FeSO₄	5 mg Mn kg⁻¹ + 0.5% MnSO₄					
T <sub>5</sub> **	0.5% ZnSO4 + 0.2% Urea	0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> + 0.2% Urea	0.5% MnSO4 + 0.2% Urea					
T <sub>6</sub> **	0.5% ZnSO₄ + 0.5% Urea	0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> + 0.5% Urea	0.5% MnSO₄ + 0.5% Urea					
T <sub>7</sub> **	0.5% ZnSO₄ + 1% Urea	0.5% FeSO4 + 1% Urea	0.5% MnSO₄ + 1% Urea					
T <sub>8</sub> **	0.5% ZnSO₄ + 1.5% Urea	0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> + 1.5% Urea	0.5% MnSO <sub>4</sub> + 1.5% Urea					
T <sub>9</sub> **	0.5% ZnSO₄ + 2% Urea	0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> + 2% Urea	0.5% MnSO₄ + 2% Urea					
T <sub>10</sub> **	0.5% ZnSO4 + 2.5% Urea	0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> + 2.5% Urea	0.5% MnSO4 + 2.5% Urea					
<b>T</b> 11**	0.5% ZnSO₄ + 3% Urea	0.5% FeSO4 + 3 % Urea	0.5% MnSO <sub>4</sub> + 3 % Urea					
T <sub>12</sub> **	0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + 0.1% citric acid	0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> + 0.5% Citric	0.5% MnSO <sub>4</sub> + 0.5% Citric					
		acid	acid					
<b>T</b> 13*	2.5 mg Zn kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5 mg Fe kg <sup>-1</sup>							
T <sub>14</sub> *	2.5 mg Zn kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5 mg Fe kg <sup>-1</sup> + 2.5mg Zn kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5mg Fe kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5	30 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup>						
T <sub>15</sub> *	2.5mg Zn kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5mg Fe kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5	img Mn kg َ						
T <sub>16</sub> *	2.5mg Zn kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5mg Fe kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5	5mg Mn kg <sup>-1</sup> + 30 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup>						
	*Basal application, **fo	liar spray at CRI, tillering and mil	king stage					

Table 1. Treatment detail

Sr. No.	Soil parameters	Method
1.	EC and pH	Soil-water suspension (1:2)
2.	Soil texture	International Pipette Method
3.	soil organic carbon	Walkley and Black method
4.	Available nitrogen	Subbiah and Asija method
5.	Available phosphorus	Olsen <i>et al.</i> 1954
6.	Available potassium	1N NH₄Oac extractable
7.	Micronutrient (Zn, Fe and Mn)	DTPA-extractable by (AAS)

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Effect of Zn, Fe and Mn Application on Soil Properties

Research data analysis showed that DTPAextractable Zn increased significantly in  $T_2$ ,  $T_4$ and  $T_{11}$  -T\_{16} except  $T_{12}$  over control. The DTPA-extractable Zn content was relatively higher in the treatments (T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>13</sub>, T<sub>14</sub>, T<sub>15</sub> and T<sub>16</sub>) where zinc was applied as basal dose as compared to those where it was applied as either alone (T<sub>3</sub>) or in combination with urea/citric acid  $(T_5 \text{ to } T_{12})$  as a foliar spray. Along with it, DTPAextractable Mn significantly increased under treatments T<sub>15</sub> and T<sub>16</sub> compared to control and it was also noticed that treatment T<sub>4</sub> was on par with control. The content of DTPA-extractable Mn was relatively higher in the treatments (T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>13</sub> to T<sub>16</sub>) where manganese was applied as basal dose as compared to those where it was applied as either alone (T<sub>3</sub>) or in combination with urea/citric acid ( $T_5$  to  $T_{12}$ ) as a foliar spray. Dube et al. [4] and Kulandaivel et al. [5] both observed that increasing Zn levels enhanced the available Zn content of soil if experimental soil was low in available Zn. Foliar applications of Zn, Fe and Mn does not have any effect on soil micronutrient content. Similar findings were noticed by Jha et al. [6] and Naga et al. [7].

The application of Zn, Fe and Mn either alone or in combination with each other however, have no significant effect on soil pH, EC, OC, available N, P, K and DTPA-extractable Fe. This might be because adding micronutrients doesn't significantly change the chemical characteristics of soil. Both Sankaranaravanan et al. [8] and Jat et al. [9] reported similar findings. The available P content decreased, but not significantly. This non-significant decrease in the amount of available phosphorus caused by the addition of micronutrients may be the result of an antagonistic interaction between P and Zn with Fe. Similar results were reported by Jat et al. [9], Naga et al. [7], Keram et al. [10], Jha et al. [6] and Kulandaivel et al. [5].

Treatment	рΗ	EC	OC	Ν	Р	K	Zn	Fe	Mn
		(dS m <sup>-1</sup> )	(%)		(kg ha <sup>-</sup>	<sup>1</sup> )	(	mg kg⁻́	1)
T <sub>1</sub> – Control	7.2	0.19	0.06	79.84	7.08	173.91	0.43	1.90	2.24
$T_2^*$ - 2.5 mg Zn kg <sup>-1</sup>	7.3	0.18	0.07	78.62	7.00	173.57	0.55	1.88	2.22
T <sub>3</sub> **- 0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> solution	7.3	0.20	0.08	80.66	7.04	173.82	0.47	1.86	2.28
$T_4 - T_2^* + T_3^{**}$	7.1	0.19	0.07	78.45	7.01	172.85	0.57	1.92	2.23
T₅** - 0.5% ZnSO₄+ 0.2% Urea	7.2	0.20	0.07	80.94	7.00	173.16	0.42	1.93	2.21
T <sub>6</sub> ** - 0.5% ZnSO₄+ 0.5% Urea	7.3	0.21	0.07	80.85	7.04	173.18	0.45	1.95	2.31
T <sub>7</sub> **- 0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + 1% Urea	7.1	0.20	0.06	80.24	7.00	173.64	0.47	1.98	2.34
T <sub>8</sub> ** -0.5% ZnSO₄+ 1.5% Urea	7.3	0.20	0.06	80.28	7.02	173.10	0.46	1.96	2.26
T <sub>9</sub> ** -0.5% ZnSO₄+ 2% Urea	7.2	0.20	0.06	80.25	6.95	172.88	0.46	1.86	2.23
T <sub>10</sub> **- 0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + 2.5% Urea	7.3	0.19	0.07	80.08	6.97	172.60	0.43	1.84	2.37
T <sub>11</sub> **-0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + 3% Urea	7.2	0.19	0.06	79.20	6.97	172.30	0.48	1.94	2.21
T <sub>12</sub> **- 0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + 0.1% citric acid	7.1	0.21	0.07	80.05	6.99	173.76	0.41	1.83	2.25
$T_{13}^{*}$ - 2.5 mg Zn kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5 mg Fe kg <sup>-1</sup>	7.2	0.20	0.06	79.90	6.80	172.78	0.56	2.04	2.33
$T_{14}^{*}$ - 2.5 mg Zn kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5 mg Fe kg <sup>-1</sup> +	7.2	0.20	0.06	80.96	6.94	173.56	0.54	2.01	2.30
30 mg									
N kg <sup>-7</sup>									
$T_{15}^{*-}$ 2.5 mg Zn kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5 mg Fe kg <sup>-1</sup> +	7.3	0.21	0.07	79.62	6.80	173.25	0.52	2.04	2.39
5mg									
Mn kg <sup>-1</sup>									
$T_{16}^{*}$ - 2.5 mg Zn kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5 mg Fe kg <sup>-1</sup> +	7.1	0.19	0.06	80.91	6.68	173.12	0.55	2.00	2.38
5mg									
$Mn kg^{-1} + 30 mg N kg^{-1}$									
CD (p = 0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.05	NS	NS

Table 2. Effect of mode and doses of Zn application on soil properties and nutrient content

\*Basal application, \*\*foliar spray at CRI, tillering and milking stage

#### Table 3. Effect of mode and doses of Fe application on soil properties and nutrient content

Treatments	рН	EC (dS m <sup>-1</sup> )	OC	Ν	Ρ	К	Zn	Fe	Mn
		(us m)	(%)	(kg ha	<sup>-1</sup> )		(mg k	(g <sup>-1</sup> )	
T <sub>1</sub> – Control	7.1	0.18	0.06	80.02	7.18	173.40	0.46	1.98	2.27
$T_2^*$ - 5 mg Fe kg <sup>-1</sup>	7.1	0.20	0.08	80.12	6.80	173.27	0.47	1.88	2.26
$T_3^{**}$ - 0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> solution	7.1	0.20	0.07	78.46	6.89	172.42	0.45	1.90	2.30
$T_4 - T_2^* + T_3^{**}$	7.2	0.19	0.07	79.90	7.10	173.53	0.46	1.86	2.34
T₅** - 0.5% FeSO₄+ 0.2% Urea	7.2	0.19	0.06	80.15	6.86	172.92	0.45	1.84	2.31
T <sub>6</sub> ** - 0.5% FeSO₄+ 0.5% Urea	7.1	0.21	0.08	80.42	6.96	172.32	0.43	1.85	2.26
T <sub>7</sub> ** - 0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> + 1% Urea	7.1	0.21	0.07	80.16	7.94	172.58	0.48	1.92	2.29
T <sub>8</sub> ** - 0.5% FeSO₄+ 1.5% Urea	7.2	0.19	0.07	80.11	6.99	173.20	0.44	1.95	2.35
T <sub>9</sub> ** - 0.5% FeSO₄+ 2% Urea	7.1	0.19	0.06	82.70	6.93	173.92	0.47	1.94	2.37
T <sub>10</sub> ** - 0.5% FeSO₄+ 2.5% Urea	7.1	0.19	0.06	81.85	7.06	172.98	0.45	1.97	2.31
T <sub>11</sub> ** - 0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> + 3 % Urea	7.3	0.20	0.07	81.95	7.04	172.29	0.46	1.93	2.35
T <sub>12</sub> ** - 0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> + 0.5% Citric acid	7.1	0.19	0.07	79.25	6.98	173.21	0.46	1.99	2.32
$T_{13}^*$ - 2.5 mg Zn kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5 mg Fe kg <sup>-1</sup>	7.2	0.20	0.07	79.16	7.00	172.34	0.48	2.03	2.28
$T_{14}$ *- 2.5 mg Zn kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5 mg Fe kg <sup>-1</sup> +	7.2	0.20	0.06	80.76	7.81	173.60	0.47	2.01	2.31
30 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup>									
T <sub>15</sub> * - 2.5mg Zn kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5mg Fe kg <sup>-1</sup> +	7.1	0.21	0.07	79.56	6.83	173.94	0.46	2.01	2.35
5mg Mn kg <sup>-1</sup>									
T <sub>16</sub> <sup>*</sup> - 2.5mg Zn kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5mg Fe kg <sup>-1</sup> +	7.3	0.20	0.07	80.93	6.85	172.75	0.49	2.00	2.37
$5 \text{mg} \text{Mn} \text{kg}^{-1} + 30 \text{mg} \text{N} \text{kg}^{-1}$									
CD(p = 0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

\*Basal application, \*\*foliar spray at CRI, tillering and milking stage

#### 3.2 Sedimentation Value and Protein Content as Affected by Application of Zn, Fe and Mn

With combined or sole application of Zn, Fe and Mn significant increase in protein content and

sedimentation value was observed as compared to control. Protein content varied from 8 to 12.2% with different treatments. A minimum of protein content was observed when Mn was applied as 0.5%  $MnSO_4$  + 0.5% Citric acid and its maximum was observed when 0.5%  $FeSO_4$  + 3 % Urea were applied. Micronutrients play a significant role in increasing a plant's metabolic and physiological activity because they influence the activities of carbonic anhydrase and dehydrogenase, stabilize ribosomal fractions, produce cytochrome and may have contributed to a greater uptake of nitrogen by the plant and its distribution to various plant parts, including grains. Increased nitrogen content resulted in higher protein content since nitrogen is a crucial component of protein. These findings and those of Pingoliya et al. [11] are highly congruent. Similar observations were also been reported by Paramesh et al. [12], Malakouti [13], Wang et al. [14] and Bameri et al. [15], Zeidan et al. [16] Potarzycki and Grzebisz [17] and Zhang et al. [18].

Table 4. Effect of mode and doses of Mn application on soil properties and nutri	ient content
--	--------------

Treatments	рН	EC (dS m <sup>-1</sup> )	OC (%)	Ν	Ρ	К	Zn	Fe	Mn
		(40 111 )	(/0)		(kg ha	a <sup>-1</sup> )	(	mg ko	g <sup>−1</sup> )
T <sub>1</sub> – Control	7.3	0.19	0.07			173.56	0.45	1.93	2.26
T₂* - 5 mg Mn kg⁻¹	7.2	0.20	0.07	80.14	6.98	172.35	0.46	1.96	2.31
T <sub>3</sub> ** - 0.5% MnSO <sub>4</sub> solution	7.2	0.19	0.06	79.91	6.94	172.61	0.48	1.88	2.29
$T_4 - T_2^* + T_3^{**}$	7.2	0.20	0.07	78.30	7.03	172.25	0.43	1.90	2.37
T <sub>5</sub> ** - 0.5% MnSO <sub>4</sub> + 0.2% Urea	7.1	0.19	0.06	78.49	7.10	173.76	0.44	1.91	2.32
T <sub>6</sub> ** - 0.5% MnSO <sub>4</sub> + 0.5% Urea	7.1	0.19	0.07	79.47	6.86	173.60	0.47	1.95	2.33
T <sub>7</sub> ** - 0.5% MnSO₄+ 1% Urea	7.1	0.20	0.07	78.13	6.99	172.53	0.43	1.87	2.29
T <sub>8</sub> ** - 0.5% MnSO <sub>4</sub> + 1.5% Urea	7.1	0.19	0.07	79.39	6.93	173.34	0.45	1.92	2.34
T <sub>9</sub> ** - 0.5% MnSO₄ + 2% Urea	7.2	0.20	0.07	80.24	7.93	172.95	0.47	1.91	2.28
T <sub>10</sub> ** - 0.5% MnSO <sub>4</sub> + 2.5% Urea	7.1	0.20	0.06	80.59	7.08	172.46	0.44	1.96	2.36
T <sub>11</sub> ** - 0.5% MnSO <sub>4</sub> + 3 % Urea	7.1	0.21	0.06	79.61	6.92	172.71	0.46	1.85	2.33
T <sub>12</sub> ** - 0.5% MnSO <sub>4</sub> + 0.5% Citric acid	7.2	0.20	0.07	79.46	7.10	173.30	0.47	1.90	2.31
$T_{13}^*$ - 2.5 mg Zn kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5 mg Fe kg <sup>-1</sup>	7.1	0.20	0.07	80.22	6.77	172.23	0.49	2.03	2.30
$T_{14}^*$ - 2.5 mg Zn kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5 mg Fe kg <sup>-1</sup> +	7.1	0.21	0.06	80.45	6.71	173.26	0.48	2.01	2.35
30 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup>									
$T_{15}^*$ - 2.5mg Zn kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5mg Fe kg <sup>-1</sup> +	7.2	0.20	0.07	79.46	7.83	173.61	0.47	2.05	2.48
5mg Mn kg <sup>-1</sup>									
$T_{16}^*$ - 2.5mg Zn kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5mg Fe kg <sup>-1</sup> +	7.3	0.21	0.06	80.68	6.77	172.94	0.49	2.04	2.46
$5 \text{ mg} \text{ Mn} \text{ kg}^{-1} + 30 \text{ mg} \text{ N} \text{ kg}^{-1}$									
CD (p = 0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.11

\*Basal application, \*\*foliar spray at CRI, tillering and milking stage

 Table 5. Effect of mode and doses of Zn application on sedimentation value and protein content (%) in wheat

Treatment	Sedimentation value	Protein Content
T <sub>1</sub> – Control	37.2	8.7
$T_2^*$ - 2.5 mg Zn kg <sup>-1</sup>	41.7	8.9
$\overline{T_3}^{**-}$ 0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> solution	45.1	9.1
$T_4 - T_2^* + T_3^{**}$	42.5	9.2
T <sub>5</sub> ** - 0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + 0.2% Urea	40.0	9.7
T <sub>6</sub> ** - 0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + 0.5% Urea	47.8	10.0
T <sub>7</sub> **- 0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + 1% Urea	49.0	10.8
T <sub>8</sub> ** -0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + 1.5% Urea	43.3	11.1
T <sub>9</sub> ** -0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + 2% Urea	50.1	11.3
T <sub>10</sub> **- 0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + 2.5% Urea	54.0	11.8
T <sub>11</sub> **-0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + 3% Urea	52.7	12.1
T <sub>12</sub> **- 0.5% ZnSO <sub>4</sub> + 0.1% citric acid	50.2	8.1
$T_{13}^*$ - 2.5 mg Zn kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5 mg Fe kg <sup>-1</sup>	51.3	9.0
$T_{14}^{*}$ - 2.5 mg Zn kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5 mg Fe kg <sup>-1</sup> + 30 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup>	48.2	9.1
$T_{15}^{*}$ - 2.5 mg Zn kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5 mg Fe kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5 mg Mn kg <sup>-1</sup>	46.6	8.9
$T_{16}^{*}$ - 2.5 mg Zn kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5 mg Fe kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5 mg Mn kg <sup>-1</sup> + 30 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup>	49.1	9.3
CD (p = 0.05)	4.7	1.0

\*Basal application, \*\*foliar spray at CRI, tillering and milking stage

Treatments	Sedimentation	Protein
	value	Content
T <sub>1</sub> – Control	37.9	8.9
T <sub>2</sub> * - 5 mg Fe kg <sup>-1</sup> through FeSO <sub>4</sub>	40.5	8.9
T <sub>3</sub> **- 0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> solution	45.1	9.0
$T_4 - T_2^* + T_3^{**}$	42.6	9.1
T <sub>5</sub> ** - 0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> + 0.2% Urea	39.8	9.6
T <sub>6</sub> ** - 0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> + 0.5% Urea	46.3	9.9
T <sub>7</sub> ** - 0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> + 1% Urea	45.7	10.5
T <sub>8</sub> ** - 0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> + 1.5% Urea	49.1	10.7
T <sub>9</sub> ** - 0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> + 2% Urea	48.0	11.3
T <sub>10</sub> ** - 0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> + 2.5% Urea	53.0	11.7
T <sub>11</sub> ** - 0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> + 3 % Urea	52.3	12.2
T <sub>12</sub> ** - 0.5% FeSO <sub>4</sub> + 0.5% Citric acid	44.8	8.3
$T_{13}^*$ - 2.5 mg Zn kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5 mg Fe kg <sup>-1</sup>	51.1	9.1
T <sub>14</sub> *- 2.5 mg Zn kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5 mg Fe kg <sup>-1</sup> + 30 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup>	48.0	9.3
$T_{15}^*$ - 2.5mg Zn kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5mg Fe kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5mg Mn kg <sup>-1</sup>	50.4	9.0
$T_{16}^*$ - 2.5mg Zn kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5mg Fe kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5mg Mn kg <sup>-1</sup> + 30 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup>	47.9	9.3
CD (p = 0.05)	4.7	1.0

Table 6. Effect of mode and doses of Fe application on sedimentation value and protein content (%) in wheat

\*Basal application, \*\*foliar spray at CRI, tillering and milking stage

Table 7. Effect of mode and doses of Mn application on sedimentation value and protein
content (%) in wheat

Treatments	Sedimentation	Protein
	value	Content
T <sub>1</sub> – Control	38.6	8.8
$T_2^*$ - 5 mg Mn kg <sup>-1</sup>	42.9	8.8
$T_3^{**} - 0.5\%$ MnSO <sub>4</sub> solution	45.4	8.9
$T_4 - T_2^* + T_3^{**}$	41.3	9.3
T <sub>5</sub> ** - 0.5% MnSO <sub>4</sub> + 0.2% Urea	40.8	9.8
T <sub>6</sub> ** - 0.5% MnSO <sub>4</sub> + 0.5% Urea	39.7	10.1
T <sub>7</sub> ** - 0.5% MnSO <sub>4</sub> + 1% Urea	45.1	10.6
T <sub>8</sub> ** - 0.5% MnSO <sub>4</sub> + 1.5% Urea	44.3	10.9
T <sub>9</sub> ** - 0.5% MnSO <sub>4</sub> + 2% Urea	47.2	11.4
T <sub>10</sub> ** - 0.5% MnSO <sub>4</sub> + 2.5% Urea	52.6	11.6
T <sub>11</sub> ** - 0.5% MnSO <sub>4</sub> + 3 % Urea	51.2	11.9
T <sub>12</sub> ** - 0.5% MnSO <sub>4</sub> + 0.5% Citric acid	50.3	8.0
$T_{13}^*$ - 2.5 mg Zn kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5 mg Fe kg <sup>-1</sup>	46.7	8.9
$T_{14}^*$ - 2.5 mg Zn kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5 mg Fe kg <sup>-1</sup> + 30 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup> $T_{15}^*$ - 2.5mg Zn kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5mg Fe kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5mg Mn kg <sup>-1</sup>	50.8	9.3
$T_{15}^*$ - 2.5mg Zn kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5mg Fe kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5mg Mn kg <sup>-1</sup>	51.3	8.8
$T_{16}^*$ - 2.5mg Zn kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5mg Fe kg <sup>-1</sup> + 5mg Mn kg <sup>-1</sup> + 30 mg N kg <sup>-1</sup>	49.0	9.2
CD (p = 0.05)	4.7	1.0

\*Basal application, \*\*foliar spray at CRI, tillering and milking stage

Sedimentation value varied from 37.2 to 54.0 with different treatments. The sedimentation value was lowest in control and was at its highest when 0.5%  $ZnSO_4 + 2.5\%$  Urea were applied. A similar set of findings was also published by Hansch and Mendel [19], Zhang et al. [20], Shewry et al. [21] and Warechowska et al. [22,23-26].

## 4. CONCLUSION

This study concluded that micronutrient applications had no significant effect on soil

properties like soil pH, EC, OC, available N, P and K. By applying Zn, Fe and Mn separately or in combination, grain protein content and sedimentation value both significantly increased when compared to control. The content of Zn and Mn in soil also rose significantly with their respective treatments as compared to the control.

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# REFERENCES

- Cakmak I. Plant nutrition research: Priorities to meet human needs for food in sustainable ways. Plant and Soil. 2002; 247(1):3-24.
- Shukla AK, Malik RS, Tiwari PK, Prakash C, Behera, SK, Yadav H, Narwal RP. Status of micronutrient deficiencies in soils of Haryana. Indian Journal of Fertilisers. 2015;11(5):16-27.
- 3. Jones DL, Cross P, Withers PJ, DeLuca TH, Robinson DA, Quilliam RS, Harris IM, Chadwick DR, Edwards-Jones G. Nutrient stripping: the global disparity between food security and soil nutrient stocks. Journal of Applied Ecology. 2013;50(4):851-862.
- 4. Dube BK, Sharma CP, Chatterjee C. Response of pigeonpea to applied zinc in Ustifluvent soils of western Uttar Pradesh. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science. 2001;49(3):471-475.
- Kulandaivel S, Mishra BN, Gangaiah B, Mishra PK. Effect of levels of zinc and iron and their chelation on yield and soil micronutrient status in hybrid rice (*Oryza* sativa)-wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping system. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2004;49(2):80-83.
- Jha AK, Ranjan R and Dalwari MR. Effect of cadmium on iron uptake by chickpea and wheat in clay and loamy sand soils of Gujarat. International Journal of Tropical Agriculture. 2008;26:397-401.
- Naga SR, Yadav BL, Sharma SR. Effect of different levels of RSC in irrigation waters, zinc and iron on soil properties and yield of wheat on loamy sand soil. Green Farming. 2013;4:330-333.
- 8. Sankaranarayanan Κ, Praharaj CS. Nalavini Ρ. Bandyopadhyay KK, Gopalakrishnan N. Effect of magnesium, zinc, iron and boron application on yield and quality of cotton (Gossypium hirsutum). Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2010;80(8):699.
- Jat RC, Sharma Y, Jakhar RK and Sharma RK. Effect of phosphorus, zinc and iron on Physico-chemical properties of soils and yield of wheat in loamy sand soils. Indian Journal of Crop Sciences. 2018;6(2):1377-1380.
- 10. Keram KS, Sharma BL, Sawarkar SD. Impact of Zn application on yield, quality, nutrients uptake and soil fertility in a medium deep black soil (vertisol). International Journal of Science,

Environment and Technology. 2012;1(5): 563-571.

- Pingoliya KK, Mathur AK, Dotaniya ML, Dotaniya CK. Impact of phosphorus and iron on protein and chlorophyll content in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Legume Research-An International Journal. 2015;38(4):558-560.
- 12. Paramesh V, Dhar S, Vyas AK, Dass A. Studies on impact of phospho-enriched compost, chemical fertilizer and method of zinc application on yield, uptake and quality of maize (*Zea mays*). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2014;59:613-618.
- Malakouti MJ. The effect of micronutrients in ensuring efficient use of macronutrients. Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry. 2008;32(3):215-220.
- Wang S, Li M, Tian X, Li J, Li H, Ni Y, Zhao J, Chen Y, Guo C, Zhao A. Foliar zinc, nitrogen, and phosphorus application effects on micronutrient concentrations in winter wheat. Agronomy Journal. 2015;107(1):61-70.
- Bameri M, Abdolshahi R, Mohammadi-Nejad G, Yousefi K, Tabatabaie SM. Effect of different microelement treatment on wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) growth and yield. International Research Journal of Applied and Basic Sciences. 2012; 3(1):219-223.
- Zeidan MS, Mohamed MF, Hamouda HA. Effect of foliar fertilization of Fe, Mn and Zn on wheat yield and quality in low sandy soils fertility. World Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2010;6(6):696-699.
- 17. Potarzycki J, Grzebisz W. Effect of zinc foliar application on grain yield of maize and its yielding components. Plant, Soil and Environment. 2009;55(12):519-527.
- Zhang J, Wang MY, Wu LH. Can foliar iron-containing solutions be a potential strategy to enrich iron concentration of rice grains (*Oryza sativa* L.). Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica Section B–Soil and Plant Science. 2009;59(5):389-394.
- Hansch R, Mendel RR. Physiological functions of mineral micronutrients (cu, Zn, Mn, Fe, Ni, Mo, B, cl). Current Opinion in Plant Biology. 2009;12(3):259-266.
- Zhang Y, Zhang Y, Liu N, Su D, Xue Q, Stewart BA, Wang Z. Effect of source-sink manipulation on accumulation of micronutrients and protein in wheat grains. Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science. 2012;175(4):622-629.

- Shewry PR, Hawkesford MJ, Piironen V, Lampi AM, Gebruers K, Boros D, Andersson AA, Aman P, Rakszegi M, Bedo Z, Ward JL. Natural variation in grain composition of wheat and related cereals. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 2013;61(35):8295-8303.
- 22. Warechowska M, Warechowski J, Markowska A. Interrelations between selected physical and technological properties of wheat grain. Technical Sciences/University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn. 2013;16(4):281-290.
- 23. Annual progress report of wheat and barley network. ICAR-Indian institute of

wheat and barley research, India. 2018;209.

- 24. Olsen SR, Cole CV, Watanabe FS, Dean LA. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. Circular U.S. Department of Agriculture 939; 1954.
- 25. Subbiah BV, Asija GL. A rapid procedure for the determination of available nitrogen in soils. Current Science. 1956;25:259-60.
- Walkley AJ, Black CA. Estimation of soil organic carbon by the chromic acid titration method. Soil Science. 1934;37: 29-38.

© 2023 Kumar et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/95402