Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research

Volume 21, Issue 2, Page 40-50, 2023; Article no.AJAAR.97455
ISSN: 2456-8864

Performance Evaluation of Nutrient Dense Potato Genotypes at High Hills of Karnali Province, Nepal

Raj Kumar Giri [a*], Kalika Prasad Upadhyay [b], Yubraj Bhusal [c], Rajiv Dhakal [d], Giri Dhari Subedi [e], Basant Chalise [f] and Bishwash Poudel [g]

[a] Horticulture Research Station, Rajikot, Jumla, Nepal.
[b] Directorate of Agriculture Research (Gandaki Province), Lumle, Kaski, Nepal.
[c] National Agricultural Technology Information Centre, Khumaltar, Lalitpur, Nepal.
[d] Local Initiatives for Biodiversity, Research and Development, Pokhara, Nepal.
[e] National Potato Research Program, Khumaltar, Lalitpur, Nepal.
[f] Directorate of Agriculture Research (Lumbini Province), Khajura, Banke, Nepal.
[g] National Outreach Research Centre, Khumaltar, Lalitpur, Nepal.

Authors' contributions

This trial was carried out in close collaboration among the all authors. All the authors reviewed the first draft paper, commented, suggested and approved the final paper for submission.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJAAR/2023/v21i2415

Open Peer Review History:
This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/97455

Original Research Article

Received: 07/01/2023
Accepted: 11/03/2023
Published: 15/03/2023

ABSTRACT

The trial was carried out to identify suitable potato genotypes for high hills of Karnali province of Nepal. The genotypes were received from International Potato Centre (CIP), Lima, Peru through National Potato Research Program (NPRP) and evaluated for two consecutive years 2017 and 2018 at Horticulture Research Station (HRS), Rajikot, Jumla, Nepal (2396 masl). Nine nutrient dense potato genotypes with two checks i.e. Desiree and Jumli Local in on-station trial were tested

**Corresponding author: Email: rk1_giri@yahoo.com;*

in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. Fertilizer was used at the rate of 100:100:60 kg NPK/ha and 20 t/ha compost. Well sprouted tubers of seed size (25-50 g) were planted at a spacing of 60cm x 25cm. The effects of different genotypes were recorded for both vegetative as well as yield parameters. There is a significant difference among tested genotypes for vegetative (emergence percentage at 30 days after planting & 45 days after planting, uniformity, ground coverage, plant height, number of main stems) as well as yield parameters (total number of tubers and tuber yield per ha). The highest tuber yield (46.93 t/ha) was recorded from genotype T 304351.109 followed by genotype T 304368.46 (41.46 t/ha) and genotype T 302498.7 (32.69 t/ha) among the nutrient dense potato genotypes. Similarly, late blight scoring was minimum (score 1) in all these three genotypes. The results of both years showed that potato genotypes T 304351.109, T 304368.46 and T 302498.7 are promising for cultivation in high hills of Karnali province to combat the malnutrition as well as ensure nutritional security.

Keywords: Genotypes; on-station trial; parameters; yield.

1. INTRODUCTION

Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) is one of the most important crops in Nepal. It is utilized as a major vegetable in Terai and mid-hills and used as a vegetable and staple food in high hills. In the year 2021/22 area under potato in Nepal was reported 198,788 ha and total production 33,25,231 ton with an average productivity of 16.73 t/ha which were higher than the data recorded in previous fiscal year (area: 1,88,098 ha, production: 31,31,830 tons, productivity: 16.65 t/ha [1]. It occupies the fifth position in area coverage, second in total production and first in productivity among the food crops grown in Nepal [2]. Out of the total area under potato, around 20% is in the high hills and mountains, 41.5% in the mid-hills and 38.5% in Terai [3]. Potato plays an important role in food security and livelihood due to its high cash, food and nutritive value [4]. It is grown in entire ecological region of Nepal ranging from terai to mountainous regions including Karnali zone [5,6]. Seed potato productivity is declining in high hills and mountains of Nepal which is considered as key constraint to potato production [7]. The several factors reducing productivity could be due to loss of valuable local genotypes; lack of improved cultivation practices; weed infestation causing potato crop loss, inadequate supply of quality seed; occurrence of pest and disease especially late blight causing damage up to USD 13.5 billion per annum in developing countries [5] and low soil and nutrient management practices. Majority of farmers still use local genotypes that indicate very low seed replacement rates. The existing varieties are low yielding and highly prone to incidence of disease especially late blight and viruses as well as insect/pest.

Till 2023 only eleven varieties have been released along with the improved production technology which is insufficient for different agro-climatic conditions of Nepal. This clarifies that there is still need for the development of new potato varieties. There is always a demand of high yielding varieties which are resistant of diseases and insect pests and even perform in the drought and dry condition too [8]. Apart to the high yielding varieties, area specific varieties and quality planting material is the other most important part for the successful cultivation of the crop. There are other more promising high yielding pipeline varieties which can be promoted for growing in the large area which have shown better yield as compared to the farmer's local ones. It is necessary to strengthen formal seed system to enhance access of quality potato seeds and needs a regular training and exposure visits to improve the adaptation of improved potato varieties in Nepal [9]. Due to the unavailability of the planting material and lack of effective distribution mechanisms of the developed technologies, they are still within the research station and a small command area of the station [10]. Apart from the released varieties there are other most promising genotypes where there is a need for continuation of the research activities under guidance of NPRP, Khumaltar. Therefore, this study was conducted at HRS, Rajikot, Jumla with an objective to identify the high yielding genotype for the high hills condition of Karnali Province of Nepal.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

On-station trial was conducted at Horticulture Research Station (HRS), Rajikot, Jumla. The experimental area is situated at 29°16’50”N to 29°12’20”N and 82°12’20”E to 82°12’40”E with the altitude of 2398 meters above mean sea level. Its climate is a temperate. March-April is the main planting season of potato in Jumla. Soil

Table 1. Potato Genotypes and their characteristics used in on-station varietal trial at horticulture research station, Rajikot, Jumla during 2017 and 2018

SN	Genotypes	Original name	Source	Skin color	Shape	Eye depth	Maturity	Iron content (mg/100gm)	Remarks
1	T 302498.7	Techni-tuber series 302498.7	CIP	White	Round	Shallow	Late (>120 days)	3.78	Pipeline
2	T 303381.3	Techni-tuber series 303381.3	CIP	Red	Round	Deep	Late (>120 days)	5.16	Pipeline
3	T 304347.6	Techni-tuber series 304347.6	CIP	Red	Round	Deep	Late (>120 days)	3.71	Pipeline
4	T 304351.109	Techni-tuber series 304351.109	CIP	Red	Oblong	Deep	Late (>120 days)	9.22	Pipeline
5	T 304366.46	Techni-tuber series 304366.46	CIP	Light red	Round	Shallow	Late (>120 days)	7.34	Pipeline
6	T 304368.46	Techni-tuber series 304368.46	CIP	White	Oblong	Deep	Late (>120 days)	4.53	Pipeline
7	T 391058.175	Techni-tuber series 391058.175	CIP	White	Round	Medium	Late (>120 days)	4.70	Pipeline
8	T 393371.58	Techni-tuber series 393371.58	CIP	White	Round	Shallow	Late (>120 days)	8.28	Pipeline
9	T 304405.47	Techni-tuber series 304405.47	CIP	White	Round	Deep	Late (>120 days)	5.24	Pipeline
10	Jumli local	Jumli Local	Nepal	White	Long	Shallow	Late (>120 days)	Not tested	Local
11	Desiree	Urgenta x Depesche	CIP	Red	Long	Medium	Early (<100 days)	Not tested	Released

NPRP, [2], Gautam S et al. [13]

is sandy loam in nature. Maximum & minimum average temperature of growing season in 2017 was 20^0C to 27^0C and 3^0C to 17^0C. Total rainfall during this year was 591mm [11]. Similarly, during 2018 maximum & minimum average temperature were 21^0C to 25^0C and 4^0C to 16^0C and total rainfall was 692mm [12]. The trial was conducted for two consecutive years 2017 and 2018.

Nine different nutrient dense (3.71 to 9.22 mg iron content per 100 gm weight) potato genotypes (T 302498.7, T 303381.3, T 304347.6, T 304351.109, T 304366.46, T 304368.46, T 391058.175, T 393371.58 and T 304405.47) were introduced from International Potato Centre (CIP), Lima, Peru through National Potato Research Program (NPRP) to Horticultural Research Station (HRS), Rajikot, Jumla and tested as on-station trial at the station during 2017 and 2018. Desiree and Jumli local were used as check for on-station trial. The detail of the experiment material is given below in Table 1. The experimental plot size was 5.4 m^2 (3m x 1.8m). The plots were fertilized with 100:100:60 kg NPK/ha and 20 t/ha compost.

Well sprouted tubers of 25-50 g were planted with 60cm x 25cm spacing. The experiment was designed as RCBD with three replications. Planting and harvesting were done on the 3rd week of March and 3rd week of September respectively. All the management practices were followed as per the NPRP recommendation. Observation on ground coverage was recorded as percentage covered by plant canopy in each plot at six weeks after planting. Late blight scoring was done in 1-9 scale where 1 was considered as no infection of disease (resistant) and 9 was given when the disease was observed up to stems i.e. highly susceptible. Similarly plant uniformity was observed in 1-5 scale, where 5 was given to almost uniform plats. The number of tubers and total yield was recorded from experimental plot and converted as per hectare. The data for growth, yield and yield parameters were recorded and analyzed by using Genstat (15th edition).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Vegetative Parameters

Average of two years results revealed that the tested genotypes were significantly different for emergence per cent at 30 and 45 days after planting (DAP) (Table 2). In 30 DAP, maximum emergence (72.78 %) was observed in genotype T 304351.109 followed by Desiree (66.67 %) whereas the lowest emergence (23.89 %) was recorded in genotype T 302498.7. At 45 DAP, genotypes T 304368.46 (98.89 %), Desiree (98.33%), T 304351.109 (97.22%) and T 302498.7 (97.22%) showed similar but high percent of emergence; whereas the lowest emergence (81.11%) was observed in T 304347.6.Emergence is mainly related to sprouting behavior of potato tubers. Emergence significantly differed between varieties [14]. In potato tubers, sprouting is influenced by external factors such as temperature and moisture and internal factors like physiological maturity and dormancy [15,16]. In the present study, the variation in emergence might be due to both factors.

The plant height was found significant among tested genotypes. The plant height was found the highest (79.67 cm) in genotype T 303381.3 and T 304405.47 (79.5 cm) whereas it was recorded the lowest (40.2 cm) in check variety Desiree followed by Jumli Local (50.7 cm). The highest number of main stems per plant (5.13) were observed in genotype T 304351.109 followed by T 391058.175 (4.55) whereas the lowest (2.91) was recorded in Jumli Local and Desiree (3.5) (Table 3). Previous report [17] showed the difference in plant height of potato genotypes linked with genetic makeup and environmental factors. In the present study, it was also related to the both factors, as the experimental site is dry upland with limited irrigation and nutrients were supplied through organic fertilizers as well the genotypes might have difference in response to solar radiation. Similar results were also reported by researchers [18,19,16]. Morphological traits such as plant height are highly dependent on varieties due to their genetic variations [20]. Similar variation in varieties for plant height and other growth parameters was observed [21].

Percentage of ground coverage of different potato genotypes found significantly differed among each other. Maximum ground coverage (59.17%) was recorded in T 304368.46 whereas the lowest (36.67%) was recorded in T 304405.47 followed. Similarly, plant uniformity was recorded the highest (5) in genotypes T 303381.3, T 304351.109, and T 304368.46 whereas the lowest (2.33) in Jumli Local (Table 4). Ground coverage is also related to the diameter of canopy. Canopy diameter significantly varied among potato varieties in a previous study [22], which also agreed to the present study. Soil Improvement during cropping increased the vigor of the potato plant [23].

Table 2. Effect of different potato genotypes on emergence (%) at 30 and 45 days after planting in on-station varietal trial at horticulture research station, Rajikot, Jumla during 2017 and 2018

SN	Genotypes	Emergence % at 30 DAP			Emergence % at 45DAP		
		2017	2018	Average	2017	2018	Average
1	T 302498.7	5.56 d	42.22 bc	23.89 e	95.56	98.89 a	97.22 a
2	T 303381.3	51.11 abc	51.11 b	51.11 bcd	96.67	76.67 bc	86.67 bc
3	T 304347.6	74.44 a	25.56 c	50 bcd	97.78	64.44 c	81.11 c
4	T 304351.109	58.89 abc	86.67 a	72.78 a	95.56	98.89 a	97.22 a
5	T 304366.46	53.33 abc	58.89 b	56.11 abc	95.56	92.22 ab	92.89 ab
6	T 304368.46	64.44 abc	66.67 ab	65.56 abc	100	97.78 a	98.89 a
7	T 391058.175	43.33 bc	22.22 c	32.78 de	96.67	83.33 ab	90 abc
8	T 393371.58	37.78 c	52.22 b	45 cd	95.56	94.44 ab	95 ab
9	T 304405.47	62.22 abc	52.22 b	57.22 abc	94.44	85.56 ab	90 abc
10	Jumli local	54.44 abc	63.33 ab	58.89 abc	93.33	95.56 ab	94.44 ab
11	Desiree	70 ab	63.33 ab	66.67 ab	97.78	98.89 a	98.33 a
	Mean	52.3	53.1	52.7	96.26	89.7	92.98
	F test	**	**	**	NS	**	*
	CV (%)	29.5	26.1	20.3	3.6	11.2	5.8
	LSD (0.05)	26.25	23.61	18.24		17.12	9.16

*Note: NS=Non Significant *= Significant at P=.05 **=Significant at P=.01 LSD=Least Significant Difference CV= Coefficient of Variation*

Table 3. Effect of different potato genotypes on plant height (cm) and number of main stems/plant in on-station varietal trial at horticulture research station, Rajikot, Jumla during 2017 and 2018

SN	Genotypes	Plant height (cm)			No. of main stem		
		2017	2018	Average	2017	2018	Average
1	T 302498.7	54.8 b	75.6 ab	65.2 b	3.67 cde	4.33	4 bc
2	T 303381.3	66.07 a	93.27 ab	79.67 a	3.47 de	3.67	3.57 cd
3	T 304347.6	49.8 bc	77.33 ab	63.57 b	4.13 bc	4.2	4.17 bc
4	T 304351.109	56.2 b	95.67 a	75.93 a	5.2 a	5.07	5.13 a
5	T 304366.46	51.93 bc	76 ab	63.97 b	3.4 e	3.67	3.53 cd
6	T 304368.46	50.6 bc	76.2 ab	63.4 b	3.2 ef	4.13	3.67 c
7	T 391058.175	51.2 bc	77.67 ab	64.43 b	4.4 b	4.7	4.55 ab
8	T 393371.58	49.87 bc	72.4 bc	61.13 b	3.53 de	4.13	3.83 c
9	T 304405.47	65.6 a	93.4 ab	79.5 a	3.93 bcd	4	3.96 bc
10	Jumli local	46.93 c	54.47 cd	50.7 c	2.9 f	2.93	2.91 d
11	Desiree	40.2 d	40.2 d	40.2 d	3.53 de	3.47	3.5 cd
	Mean	53.02	75.7	64.3	3.76	4.03	3.89
	F test	**	**	**	**	NS	**
	CV (%)	7.4	15	9.4	7.3	18.6	9.3
	LSD (0.05)	6.69	19.39	10.28	0.47		0.61

*Note: NS=Non Significant *= Significant at P=.05 **=Significant at P=.01 LSD=Least Significant Difference CV= Coefficient of Variation*

3.2 Insect Pest Damage and Late Blight Scoring

Damage caused by insect (Blister beetle, Leaf minor) was minimum in all the genotypes. Insect damage percentage was maximum (3.83%) in genotype Jumli local followed by Desiree (3.5%), and T 304366.46 (3%) whereas minimum in genotype T 304405.47 (2%). Potato tuber moth, red ants, green peach aphid, white grubs and leaf miner fly are top 5 pests of potato in Nepal [24] but the case is different in Jumla. Quality seeds, resistant cultivars, appropriate cultural practices, biological and chemical control are the major strategies for managing potato pests. Similarly, occurrence of late blight was less in all tested genotypes than in the check cultivar Jumli Local (Table 5). Variation in resistance of potato cultivars against late blight disease was also observed by some researchers [25]. In a previous experiment, potato genotypes CIP 392657.8, CIP 384321.15, CIP 392637.10, CIP 393280.57, CIP 393077.159 and LBr40 were consistently found to be resistant to late blight over years and different agro-climatic conditions [26] indicating variation in genotypes against disease resistance.

Table 4. Effect of different potato genotypes on ground coverage (%) and plant uniformity (1-5 scale) in on- station varietal trial at horticulture research station, Rajikot, Jumla during 2017 and 2018

SN	Genotypes	Ground coverage (%) at six weeks of planting			Uniformity (1-5 scale)		
		2017	2018	Average	2017	2018	Average
1	T 302498.7	58.33 abc	55 a	56.67 ab	4.67 abc	4.67 abc	4.67 ab
2	T 303381.3	46.67 cd	48.33 ab	47.5 abc	5 a	5 a	5 a
3	T 304347.6	50 abcd	45 ab	47.5 abc	4 e	4 e	4 c
4	T 304351.109	60 ab	56.67 a	58.33 ab	5 ab	5 ab	5 a
5	T 304366.46	48.33 bcd	48.33 ab	48.33 abc	4.33 acde	4.33 acde	4.33 bc
6	T 304368.46	61.67 a	56.67 a	59.17 a	5 ab	5 ab	5 a
7	T 391058.175	43.33 d	48.33 ab	45.83 bc	4 e	4 e	4 c
8	T 393371.58	40 d	43.33 ab	41.67 c	4 ce	4 ce	4 c
9	T 304405.47	38.33 d	35 b	36.67 c	4.67 abcd	4.67 abcd	4.67 ab
10	Jumli local	40 d	38.33 b	39.17 c	2.33 f	2.33 f	2.33 d
11	Desiree	38.33 d	38.33 b	38.33 c	4 cde	4 cde	4 c
	Mean	47.7	46.7	47.2	4.27	4.27	4.27
	F test	**	*	**	**	**	**
	CV (%)	13.6	17.3	14.4	8.2	8.2	7.5
	LSD (0.05)	11.06	13.76	11.6	0.6	0.6	0.54

*Note: NS=Non Significant *= Significant at P=.05 **=Significant at P=.01 LSD=Least Significant Difference CV= Coefficient of Variation*

Table 5. Effect of different potato genotypes on insect damage (%) and late blight infection (scale 1-9) in on-station varietal trial at horticulture research station, Rajikot, Jumla during 2017 and 2018

SN	Genotypes	Insect damage (%)			Late blight reading (1-9 scale)		
		2017	2018	Average	2017	2018	Average
1	T 302498.7	2.67 b	2.67 a	2.67 bc	1 d	1 d	1 e
2	T 303381.3	2.67 b	2.33 a	2.5 bc	1 d	1 d	1 e
3	T 304347.6	2.67 b	2.67 a	2.67 bc	1 d	1 d	1 e
4	T 304351.109	2.33 b	3 a	2.67 bc	1 d	1 d	1 e
5	T 304366.46	2.67 b	3.33 a	3 abc	2.67 c	2.33 c	2.5 c
6	T 304368.46	2.33 b	2.33 a	2.33 c	1 d	1 d	1 e
7	T 391058.175	2 b	2.33 a	2.17 c	1 d	1 d	1 e
8	T 393371.58	2 b	2.67 a	2.33 c	1 d	2 c	1.5 d
9	T 304405.47	2 b	2 a	2 c	1 d	1 d	1 e
10	Jumli local	4.33 a	2.67 a	3.83 a	4 a	4.33 a	4.17 a
11	Desiree	4.33 a	3.33 a	3.5 ab	3 b	3 b	3 b
	Mean	2.73	2.67	2.7	1.6	1.69	1.65
	F test	**	NS	*	**	**	**
	CV (%)	24.1	31.1	20.8	10.8	14.9	10.9
	LSD (0.05)	1.12		0.96	0.29	0.43	0.31

*Note: NS=Non Significant *= Significant at P=.05 **=Significant at P=.01 LSD=Least Significant Difference CV= Coefficient of Variation*

3.3 Yield and Yield Attributing Parameters

Most of the tested potato genotypes were late in maturity than the early maturing check variety Desiree. Varieties could affect the 50% emergence, flowering and maturity and it provide basis for selection of late or early maturing varieties [27].The variation in length of growing period among varieties might be due to the difference in genetic makeup [28] as flowering and maturity both are heritable traits [29,30]. In our study, maturity primarily relied on genotypic performance. Significant difference was also recorded in tuber number per hectare. High number (686235) of tubers per hectare was recorded in Jumli local, T 304368.46 (598642) and T 304351.109 (518457), while it was less in Desiree (334630) and T 391058.175 (365802). This difference was related to the number of tubers per plant in the experimental plot. The difference in number of tubers per plant depends on genetic makeup of plant, canopy development and environmental conditions [16]. Results of the present study were also in line with the fact and were also supported by the previous researchers [31,17]. Genetic and environmental factors also affect stolon and tuberization processes [30]. In our results, both factors might have equal influence in tuber formation, tuber development and maturity processes. The same factors may influence in tuber yield. In our experiment, tuber yield was the highest in T 304351.109 (46.93 t/ha) and T 304368.46 (41.61 t/ha) whereas the lowest yield (12.59 t/ha) was recorded in Jumli local (Table 6). Tuber size, weight and other characteristics basically rely on genetic inheritability, which is also supported [16]. Previous report [32] showed that the difference in tuber weight and other traits of different cultivars. The difference in tuber yield in the present study was also related to the difference in emergence and ground coverage. Large size great weight of tubers may be obtained from fast emergence of plants and their improved growth [33]. Significantly higher tuber yield (46.93 t/ha, 41.46 t/ha and 32.69 t/ha) were obtained from T 304351.109, T 304368.46 and T 302498.7 respectively at research block of Horticulture Research Station, Rajikot, Jumla, Nepal. Productivity of these genotypes ranged from 32.69 t/ha to 46.93 t/ha in two consecutive years.

This indicates that these genotypes have genetic potentiality to perform better under Jumla condition of Nepal. Yield and growth parameters may also vary with the intra-row spacing and time of earthing [34]. Application of potassium fertilizer increased the tuber yield [35]. Varietal and environmental variations and their interactions had influence on tuber yield and other attributes [36]. In the present study, the yield of the genotypes also varied in the seasons of two years indicating the influence of variation in weather parameters across the years. Yield differences in potato genotypes over years were also reported by some researchers [37].

Table 6. Effect of different potato genotypes on number of tuber/ha and tuber yield (t/ha) in On-Station Varietal Trial at Horticulture Research Station, Rajikot, Jumla during 2017 and 2018

SN	Genotypes	Tuber number per ha			Tuber yield (t/ha)		
		2017	2018	Average	2017	2018	Average
1	T 302498.7	419259 cd	401235 bc	410247 d	31.99 bc	33.4 c	32.69 b
2	T 303381.3	430370 cd	406173 bc	418272 cd	31.03 bc	32.26 c	31.64 b
3	T 304347.6	407407 cd	333333 c	370370 d	36.44 ab	25.67 cd	31.05 bc
4	T 304351.109	499259 cd	537654 ab	518457 bc	42.74 a	51.11 a	46.93 a
5	T 304366.46	480000 bcd	391358 bc	435679 cd	29.75 bc	28.69 cd	29.22 bc
6	T 304368.46	589630 ab	587654 a	598642 b	40.3 a	42.93 b	41.61 a
7	T 391058.175	382222 cd	399383 c	365802 d	29.15 bc	26.08 cd	27.61 bc
8	T 393371.58	454815 cd	404938 bc	429877 cd	30.96 bc	26.35 cd	28.56 bc
9	T 304405.47	393333 cd	274691 c	334012 d	31.15 bc	25.86 cd	28.5 bc
10	Jumli local	697778 a	674691 a	686235 a	12.77 d	12.42 e	12.59 d
11	Desiree	360000 d	309259 c	334630 d	27.72 c	22.49 d	25.11 c
	Mean	464916	424579	444747	31.27	29.75	30.51
	F test	**	**	**	**	**	**
	CV (%)	13.8	19.3	12.4	13	15.7	11
	LSD (0.05)	108968	139772	93919	6.93	7.94	5.7

*Note: NS=Non Significant *= Significant at P=.05 **=Significant at P=.01 LSD=Least Significant Difference CV= Coefficient of Variation*

Genotypes were also different in their shape and color. Genotypes T 302498.7, T 303381.3, T 304347.6, T 304366.46, T 304368.46, T 391058.175, Jumli Local and Desiree were round in shape; while T 304351.109 and T 304368.46 were oblong. Tuber traits like tuber shape, eye depth, skin and flesh color are crucial aspects for consumers and may also impact processing quality [38]. Tuber shape is control by various factors [39,38]. Genotypes T 302498.7, T 304368.46, T 391058.175, T 393371.58, T 304405.47 and Jumli Local were white, T 304366.46 was light red and remaining genotypes were red in tuber color. The skin color of potatoes is controlled by numbers of genetic factors [40]. Mainly carotenoids and anthocyanins pigments are responsible for color of potato [41]. In a study [42], potato color was also affected by reducing sugar content and dry matter content. However, in our study, it was mainly due to the genotypic effect. In Nepalese condition, the consumers' choice for colors also varies across the locations. For instance, white tubers are preferred in Jumla and some other districts while red tubers are preferred in Kathmandu and other major cities (personal experience). Simlarly, round tubers are preferred in remote villages while long and oblong tubers are preferred in Pokhara and other cities (personal experience). The significant variation in vegetative as well as yield parameters has been reported by different researchers [43,44,45]. Significant differences for almost all the vegetative as well as yield parameters show the wider genetic diversity as well as variability and potentiality among the tested potato genotypes [46,47].

4. CONCLUSION

The results of the experiment during 2017 and 2018 at the station showed that potato genotypes T 304351.109, T 304368.46 and T 302498.7 performed better. Productivity of these genotypes ranged from 32.69 t/ha to 46.93 t/ha during two years whereas the national productivity of potato is 16.73 t/ha. Therefore, these potato genotypes are suitable for food security point to the farmers in Jumla and high hills of Karnali Province of Nepal for commercial cultivation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors highly acknowledge the National Potato Research Program (NPRP), Khumaltar and Local Initiatives for Biodiversity, Research and Development (LIBIRD), Pokhara for providing the on-station potato trial set through the project entitled “Bio-diverse and Nutritious Potato Improvement across Peru, Nepal and Bhutan funded by International Potato Centre (CIP) and guidance. The authors are also thankful to all the staffs of Horticulture Research Station, Rajikot, Jumla for their cooperation during experimentation.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. ABPSD. Statistical information on Nepalese Agriculture 2021/22. Government of Nepal. Ministry of Agricultural Development. Agri-Business Promotion and Statistics Division, Statistics Section, Singha Durbar, Kathmandu, Nepal; 2022.
2. NPRP. Annual Report 2021/22. National Potato Research Program, Khumaltar, Lalitpur, Nepal; 2022.
3. ABPSD. Statistical information on Nepalese Agriculture 2015/16. Government of Nepal. Ministry of Agricultural Development. Agri-Business Promotion and Statistics Division, Statistics Section, Singha Durbar, Kathmandu, Nepal; 2016.
4. Gautam IP, Sharma MD, Khatri BB, Thapa RB, Shrestha K, Chaudhary D. Effect of Nitrogen and Potassium on Yield, Storability and Post-Harvest Processing of Potato for Chips. Nepal Agriculture Research Journal. 2011;11:40-51.
5. Sharma BP, Manandhar HK, Forbes GA, Shrestha SM, Thapa RB. Efficacy of Fungicides against *Phytophthora infestans* in Potato under Laboratory and Field Conditions. Nepal Agriculture Research Journal. 2011;11:28-39.
6. Atreya PN, Shrivastava A, Shakya SM, Shrestha SM. Effect of seedling tuber size and levels of potash on growth and yield of clonal progeny of true potato seed under chitwan condition. Nepalese Horticulture. 2012;8/9:69-78.
7. Subedi GD. Participatory technology development for sustainable potato production and food security improvement in the karnali region. Proceedings of the Fifth National Seminar on Horticulture, June 9-10, 2008. NARC, HRD, Khumaltar, Lalitpur, Nepal. 2008;168-176.

8. Khatri BB, Sharma BP, Chaudhary D, Luitel BP, Ahamad S, Chapagain TR. On farm performance of three advanced potato cultivars in different agro-ecological zones of Nepal. Proceedings of the Ninth Outreach Research Group Workshop, Khumaltar, Kathmandu, Nepal. 2010; 30-34.
9. Gairhe S, Gauchan D, Timsina K. Adoption of improved potato varieties in Nepal. Journal of Nepal Agricultural Research Council. 2017;3: 38-44.
10. 10.Tufa AH, Gielen-Meuwissen, Miranda PM, Lommen WJM, Tsegaye A, Struik PC, Oude Lansink AGJM. Least-cost seed potato production in Ethiopia, Potato Research. European Potato Journal. 2015;58(3):1-24.
11. HRS. Annual Report 2016/17. Horticulture Research Station, Rajikot, Jumla, Nepal; 2017.
12. HRS. Annual Report 2017/18. Horticulture Research Station, Rajikot, Jumla, Nepal; 2018.
13. Gautam S, Khatri BB, Lefebvre M, Kadian M, Pandey S, Neupane JN. performance ranking of desored traits on micronutrient dense potato clones in participatory varietal selection. proceeding of ninth national horticulture workshop, May 31 to June 1, 2017. NARC, HRD, Khumaltar, Lalitpur, Nepal. 2017;220-227.
14. Amdie A, Afeta T, Bob T. Adaptability study of improved Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) varieties in highlands of Guji zone, Southern Oromia. Academic Research Journal of Agricultural Science and Research. 2017; 5(3), pp. 186-191. DOI: 10.14662/ARJASR2017.014
15. Abbasi NA, Ishfaq AH, Fazal B. Evaluation of exotic potato varieties in ecological conditions of Islamabad during autumn season. Int. J. Agric. Biol. 2004;6:479-482.
16. Khan A, Erum S, Riaz N, Ghafoor A, Khan FA. 2019. Evaluation of potato genotypes for yield, baked and organoleptic quality. Sarhad Journal of Agriculture. 2019;35(4):1215-1220.
17. Eaton TE, Kalam A, Humayun K, Siddiq AB. Evaluation of six modern varieties of potatoes for yield, plant growth parameters and resistance to insects and diseases. Agric. Sci. 2017;8:1315-1326. Available:https://doi.org/10.4236/as.2017.811095
18. Luthra SKJ, Gopal SK, Pandy SBP. Genetic parameters and characters associated in tuberosum potatoes. Potato J. 2005;32:234-239.
19. Schittenhelm S, Sourell H, Löpmeier FJ. Drought resistance of potato cultivars with contrasting canopy architecture. Eur. J. Agron. 2006;24:193-202. Available:https://doi.org/10.1016/j
20. Pradhan AM, Ar, BCN, Sarkar KK. Estimation of genetic parameters and association of traits related to yield in potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). Journal of Crop and Weed. 2011;7(2):229-23.
21. Shrestha K, Sah SK, Singh R, Devkota YN. Performance of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) varieties with and without straw-mulch at Shankharapur, Kathmandu, Nepal Journal of Agriculture and Natural Resources. 2020;3(2):193-204. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3126/janr.v3i2.32506
22. Banjade S, Shrestha SM, Pokharel N, Pandey D, Rana M. Evaluation of growth and yield attributes of commonly grown potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) varieties at Kavre, Nepal. International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications. 2019;9(11):134-139.
23. Larkin RP, Honeycutt CW, Griffin TS, Olanya OM, He Z. Potato growth and yield characteristics under different cropping system management strategies in Northeastern U.S. Agronomy. 2011; 11:165.
24. Giri YP, Dangi N, Aryal S, Sporleder M, Shrestha S, Budha CB, Kroschel J. Biology and management of potato insect pests in Nepal. Training guide for extension officers. International Potato Center (CIP) and Nepal Agricultural Research Council (NARC), Entomology Division; 2013.
25. Shrestha S, Manandhar HK, Shrestha SM, Karkee A. Response of local potato cultivars to late blight disease (*Phytophthora infestans* (mont.) De bary) under field and laboratory conditions at Pakhribas, Dhankuta, Nepal. Advances in Cytology & Pathology. 2019;4(1):10-13.
26. Sharma BP, Dhital SP, KC Hari Bahadur. Identification of potato varieties resistant to late blight under different agro-ecological conditions in Nepal. ActaHortic. 2009;834: 193-200.
27. Fantaw S, Ayalew A, Tadesse D, Agegnehu E. Evaluation of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) varieties for yield and yield components. Journal of Horticulture Forestry. 2019;11(3):48-53.

28. Girma T. Effect of variety and earthing up frequency on growth, yield and quality of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) at Bure, north western Ethiopia. M.Sc. thesis presented to school of graduates of Jimma University; 2012.
29. Asefa G, Mohammed W, Abebe T. Evalution of potato (*Solanum tuberos*um L.) variety for resistance to late blight at Sinana Southeastern Ethopia. International Journal of Agricultural Research Innovation and Technology.2016; 6(1):21-25.
30. Oli A, Asha Devi R. Varietal evaluation of different potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) varieties. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2022;11(9):909-918.
31. Subarta M, Upadhya MO. Potato production in western Bengal. Environ. Ecol. 1997;15:646–9.
32. Kumar D, Singh B, Kumar P. An overview of the factors affecting sugar content of potatoes. Ann. App. Biol. 2004;145:247-256.
Available:https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7348.2004.tb00380.x
33. Patel CK, Patel PT, Chaudhari SM. Effect of physiological age and seed size on seed production of potato in North Gujarat, India. Potato J. 2008;36:18-23.
34. Getachew T, Belew D, Tadesse ST. Yield and growth parameters of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) as influenced by intra row spacing and time of earthing up: in Boneya Degem district, central highlands of Ethiopia. International Journal of Agricultural Research. 2012;7(5): 255-265.
DOI: 10.3923/ijar.2012.255.265
35. Ali MME, Petropoulos SA, Selim DAFH, Elbagory M, Othman MM, Omara AE-D, Mohamed MH. Plant growth, yield and quality of potato crop in relation to potassium fertilization. Agronomy. 2021; 11(4):675.
Available:https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy 11040675
36. Tessema L, Mohammed W, Abebe TA. Evaluation of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) varieties for yield and some agronomic traits. Open Agriculture. 2020;5:63-74.
37. Kwaka L, Tusiime G, Wasukira A. Technical report: Evaluation of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) genotypes for adaptability in Mt. Elgon Region of Uganda. 2017;12.
38. Werij J. Genetic analyisis of potato tuber quality traits, Laboratory of plant breeding, Wageningen University, Wageningen. 2011;125.
39. Van Eck HJ. Genetics of morphological and tuber traits, in: D. Vreugdenhil, et al. (Eds.), potato biology and biotechnology, Elsevier Sci B.V. Amsterdam. 2007;91-115.
Available:https://doi. org/10.1016/B978-044451018-1/50048-8
40. Van Eck HJ, Jacobs J, Ton P, Stiekema J, Jacobsen WJ. Multiple alleles for tuber shape in diploid potato detected by qualitative and quantitative genetic analysis using RFLPs. Genet. 1994;137:303-309.
41. Lewis CE, Walker JRL, Lancaster JE, Sutton KH. Determination of anthocyanins, flavonoids and phenolic acids in potatoes. I: Coloured cultivars of Solanumtuberosum L. J. Sci. Food Agric.1998;77:45-57.
Available:https://doi.org/10.1002/ (SICI) 1097-0010(199805)77:13.3.CO; 2-J.
42. Pandey SK, Sing SVD, Kumar P, Manivel P. Sustaining potato chipping industry from western and central Uttar Pradesh: Adoption of suitable varieties. Potato J. 2004;31:119-127.
43. Khanal A, Rijal A, Timilsina S, Adhikari RC, Upadhyay KP, Pun TB. Selection of suitable potato genotypes in Western High Hills of Nepal. Proceeding of Ninth National Horticulture Workshop, May 31 to June 1, 2017. NARC, HRD, Khumaltar, Lalitpur, Nepal. 2017;203-208.
44. Pant S, Pariyar K, Chaudhary JN, Giri P, Sapkota P, Dev ML, Upadhyay KP, Pandey S. Performance evaluation of different potato genotypes under dailekh conditions. Proceeding of Ninth National Horticulture Workshop, May 31 to June 1, 2017.NARC,HRD, Khumaltar, Lalitpur, Nepal. 2017;209-213.
45. Upadhyay K P, Pandey S, Khatri BB, Luitel B, Tripathi N, Poudel K, Timilsina C, Piya S, Gautam S. Variation of yield and yield attributing parameters of promising clones of potato. proceeding of ninth national horticulture workshop, May 31 to June 1, 2017. NARC, HRD, Khumaltar, Lalitpur, Nepal. 2017;195-202.
46. Chapagain TR, Tiwari DN, Adhikaari RC, Khatri BB, Luitel B. Performance of potato clones in mid hill of western nepal. proceedings of national potato research workshop, March 31 to April 2, 2014. NARC, NPRP, Khumaltar, Lalitpur, Nepal. 2014;11-16.

47. Giri RK, Bhusal YR, Gautam S, Paneru PB, Subedi GD, Khadka K, Lama L, Khatri BB, Luitel BP, Regmi H, Khadka D, Khathyat DB, Budha C. Performance of micronutrient dense potato genotypes. The Journal of Agriculture and Environment. 2016;17: 118-123.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/97455