

Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews

Volume 11, Issue 1, Page 6-23, 2023; Article no.JMSRR.95659

# An Analysis of Impact of Furfurylation Treatments on the Physical and Mechanical Properties of *Pterygota macrocarpa* Wood

# Owoyemi Jacob Mayowa<sup>a</sup>, Falade Oluwatosin Esther<sup>a</sup>, Iyiola Ebenezer Adeyemi<sup>b\*</sup> and D. Oladapo Oluwatobi<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Forestry and Wood Technology, Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria. <sup>b</sup> School of Forestry, University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand.

# Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

#### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/95659

Original Research Article

Received: 27/10/2022 Accepted: 29/12/2022 Published: 05/01/2023

# ABSTRACT

Wood is an important structural material requiring treatments to improve its properties and resistance to biodeterioration agents; one of such is wood modification. Furfurylation is one of the chemical modifications of wood. It is based on wood impregnation with furfuryl alcohol and other agents (catalyst), followed by polymerization at an elevated temperature. The objective of this study was to assess the effect of furfuylation treatments on the physical and mechanical properties of *Pterygota macrocarpa*. Wood samples were collected from *Pterygota macrocarpa* tree longitudinally and transversely on which modification was carried out with furfuryl alcohol and catalyst (Maleic anhydride and Tartaric acid) at different proportions using a pressure impregnating equipment at a pressure of 4 bars and later cured at 150°C for 3 hours. Material preparations and testing were done according to the American Society for Testing Materials (ASTM). The data

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Email: ebenezeriyiola@gmail.com;

J. Mater. Sci. Res. Rev., vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 6-23, 2023

Mayowa et al.; J. Mater. Sci. Res. Rev., vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 6-23, 2023; Article no.JMSRR.95659

obtained were analysed using Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS). Descriptive statistics of the properties measured were generated. The data were subjected to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) to determine the significant difference between various treatment and their interactions at 0.05 level of significance. Results of density for untreated P. macrocarpa wood ranged from 464.55 kg/m<sup>3</sup> to 625.92 kg/m<sup>3</sup> while modified P. macrocarpa wood has weight percent gain (WPG) and density increment (DI) due to furfurylation which ranged from 8.65 to 15.34% and 1.49 to 4.94% respectively. Effect of bulking coefficient (BC) on P. macrocarpa wood varied among the treatments which ranged from 5.70 to 15.26%. It was observed that the wood's dimensional stability (Anti-swelling efficiency) increased with furfurylation. Furthermore, the practical implications are the increase in the mechanical properties which varied among treatments. Modulus of Elasticity ranged from 2098.27 to 3372.64 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, Modulus of Rupture ranged from 44.82 to 64.53 N/mm<sup>2</sup> with 4% level of tartaric acid recorded the highest values while compression parallel to grain ranged from 25.83 to 38.89 N/mm<sup>2</sup> with 4% level of maleic anhydride having the highest value. For effective performance, 4% level of the catalysts can be used in the furfurylation process. The contributions of the research finding revealed that the inclusion of tartaric acid in the furfurylation process could serve as an alternative to maleic anhydride which is widely used. It is therefore recommended that further investigation should be carried out on the properties of furfurylated softwood and hardwood species.

Keywords: Modification; impregnation; polymerization; maleic anhydride; tartaric acid.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Wood is one of the earth's most versatile and probably most familiar natural raw materials. Every day, millions of people around the world derived their livelihood from working with wood [1]. The supply of wood for construction purposes has dwindled due to over-exploitation. The resultant effect is the availability of less mature and non-durable species in the wood market [2]. Nigeria has a long history of limiting the use of timber for construction largely to sawn timber which made the demand for a few species surge to the point of over-exploitation with a decline in the quality of forest harvest [3]. Hence, the need for wood treatment with the use of preservatives modification to overcome undesirable or properties of wood thereby, prolonging its service life.

Pterygota macrocarpa (locally known as Oporoporo) is of the family Sterculiaceae. The wood is medium-weight, with a density of 480 -660 kg/m<sup>3</sup> at 12% moisture [4,5]. The wood is currently available and in use for veneer, plywood, interior panelling, interior joinery, moulding, furniture, block board, fibre board, particle board and light carpentry. It is also used for staircases, light flooring, matchboxes, boxes and crates. However, the wood is less durable, being susceptible to attack by borers, termites, fungi and it is prone to blue stains [6].

Modification is applied to overcome undesirable properties of the wood material that are mainly

related to moisture sensitiveness. low dimensional stability, hardness and wear resistance, low resistance to bio-deterioration against fungi, termites, marine borers, and low resistance to UV irradiation [7]. Due to its potential for commercial applications, wood modification with furfuryl alcohol (FA) is now attracting enhanced attention from researchers and industries. The selection of suitable catalysts to control the polymerization of furfuryl alcohol during storage and curing is the most crucial component of the technology [8]. The use of maleic anhydride as a catalyst has been widely used in the furfurylation process. Other chemicals should be investigated as a catalyst in the furfurylation process.

# 2. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES AND QUESTIONS

The general research objective is aimed to improve the properties of *Pterygota macrocarpa* wood through furfurylation treatment.

# 2.1 Research Objectives

- a) To determine the physical and mechanical properties of the untreated and furfurylated *Pterygota macrocarpa* and
- b) To investigate the effect of two different catalysts and varied percentage catalysts on the furfurylation process.

#### 3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 3.1 Wood Sampling

Test samples were taken from *Pterygota macrocarpa* tree longitudinally (top, middle and base) and transversely (outer, sapwood area, and inner, heartwood area), at 25, 50 and 75% of the total height of the log. Material preparations and testing were done according to the American Society for Testing Materials (ASTM).

#### 3.2 Moisture Content and Density

Sample sizes of 20 x 20 x 60 mm *Pterygota* wood were oven dried at  $103 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C according to ASTM D4052-16 [9] until a constant weight was attained. The initial moisture content and density were determined using Equations 1 and 2.

$$Moisture \ content(\%) \ = \ \frac{Wg - Wo}{Wo} X \ 100 \tag{1}$$

where:

Wg = Weight of green samples (gm), Wo = Weight of dried samples (gm)

 $Density(kg/m^3) = \frac{mass of ovendried sample}{volume}$ (2)

# 3.3 Wood Treatment

Furfurylation was performed according to Wanju [8]. The wood modifiers were prepared by mixing furfuryl alcohol (FA) and distilled water in a ratio 1:1; two different catalysts, Maleic anhydride (MA) and Tartaric acid (TA) were used in the furfurylation process. For each catalyst, 2% and 4% of the catalyst were separately added to the already prepared furfuryl alcohol as shown in Table 1.

The furfurylation process comprises two stages: The impregnation stage and the curing stage.

#### 3.3.1 Impregnation stage

The treatment was done using a full cell process. Impregnation of the wood samples with furfury alcohol was carried out using a chemical impregnating equipment. Firstly, the wood samples were loaded in the impregnating chamber (Plate 1). The vacuum was created for about 5 minutes, i.e., air was removed from the chamber loaded with the wood samples, then chemical was pumped into the chamber and a reduced pressure of 4 bars was applied for 45 minutes. The impregnation stage was done according to Wanju [8].



Plate 1. Chemical Impregnating Equipment used

#### 3.3.2 Curing stage

The impregnated wood samples wrapped with aluminium foils were placed in the oven at  $150^{\circ}$ C for 3 hours (Plate 2). Polymerization occur at this stage, after which, the treated wood samples were unwrapped. The treated wood samples were further dried at  $103 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C until a constant oven-dried weight was achieved. The curing stage was done according to Wanju [8].

The following tests were carried out after wood treatment.

Table 1. Treatments analyzed in the furfurylation process of Pterygota macrocarpa

	Maleic anh	ydride (Ma)	Tartaric acid (Ta)		
	Treatment 1	Treatment 2	Treatment 3	Treatment 4	
Furfuryl Alcohol (Fa) And Distilled Water (1:1)	98%	96%	98%	96%	
Catalyst	2%	4%	2%	4%	

Mayowa et al.; J. Mater. Sci. Res. Rev., vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 6-23, 2023; Article no.JMSRR.95659



Plate 2. Treated wood wrapped with aluminium

Table 2. Physical parameters evaluated after the wood treatments

Physical parameters	Source
Weight percent gain (WPG)	Hill [10]
Bulking efficiency (BE)	Bartkowiak [11]
Density increment (DI)	Bruno [12]
Volumetric swelling (S)	Youming [13]
Dimensional stability	Youming [13]

### 3.4 Weight Percent Gain (WPG)

This was to the chemical bond formed with the wood cell wall polymer, calculated according to Hill [10], using Equation 3.

$$WPG(\%) = \frac{Wm - Wo}{Wo} X100 \tag{3}$$

Where:

Wm = Weight of oven-dried sample after furfurylation (g).

Wo = Weight of oven-dried sample before furfurylation (g).

# 3.5 Bulking Efficiency (BE)

The bulking efficiency (BE) of the samples was calculated according to Bartkowiak [11], using Equation 4.

$$BE(\%) = \frac{Vm - Vo}{Vo} X100$$
 (4)

Where: Vo = Volume of wood samples before furfurylation  $(mm^3)$ 

Vm = Volume of wood samples after furfurylation (mm<sup>3</sup>).

### 3.6 Density Increment (DI)

The density increment of *Pterygota macrocarpa* wood was calculated according to Bruno [12], using Equation 5.

$$DI(\%) = \frac{Dm - Do}{Do} X100$$
 (5)

Where

DI = Increment in density;

Do= Density of wood samples before furfurylation;

Dm=Density of wood samples after furfurylation.

### 3.7 Volumetric Swelling (S)

Treated and untreated samples were conditioned at about 65% relative humidity and  $25^{\circ}$ C. The samples are immersed in distilled water for a period of 24 hours. The volumetric swelling was calculated according to Youming [13] using Equation 6.

$$S(\%) = \frac{V_3 - V_2}{V_2} x_{100} \tag{6}$$

Where V2 = Volume of wood samples after furfurylation (mm<sup>3</sup>)/volume of oven-dried untreated samples

V3 = Volume of samples after immersion in distilled water ( $mm^3$ ).

### 3.8 Dimensional Stability

The dimensional stability of the treated and untreated *Pterygota macrocarpa* wood samples were evaluated in terms of anti-swelling efficiency (ASE). The anti-swelling efficiency (ASE) was calculated using Equation 7 according to Youming [13].

$$ASE(\%) = \frac{Su-Sm}{Su}X100$$
(7)

Where Su = Swelling coefficient of untreated sample and Sm = Swelling coefficient of treated sample.

#### **3.9 Mechanical Properties**

The mechanical properties were done according to ASTM D143-09 [14].

#### 3.9.1 Modulus of elasticity (MOE)

This was calculated using Equation 8.

$$MOE = \left(\frac{PL^3}{4 \times \Delta \times b \times d^3}\right) \tag{8}$$

Where P = Maximum load (KN), L = Span of the test specimen (mm),  $\Delta$  = Increment in deflection (mm) corresponding to maximum load (P), b = breadth of the test specimen (mm), and d = depth or thickness of the test specimen (mm).

#### 3.9.2 Modulus of rupture

Modulus of rupture (MOR was calculated using Equation 9.

$$MOR = \left(\frac{_{3PL}}{_{2XbXd^2}}\right) \tag{9}$$

Where P = Maximum load (KN), L = Span of the test specimen (mm), b = breadth of the test specimen (mm), and d = depth or thickness of the test specimen (mm).

#### 3.9.3 Compression parallel to grain

The Maximum Compressive Strength (MCS) was determined in accordance with

ASTM 143 standard (2009) using Equation 10.

$$MCS = \frac{P}{bd} \qquad (N/mm^2) \tag{10}$$

Where MCS = the Maximum Compressive Strength in N/mm<sup>2</sup>, P = the load in Newton, b = the width in mm and d = the depth in mm.

The data obtained were analysed using Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS). Descriptive statistics of the properties measured will be generated. The data were subjected to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) to determine the significant difference between various treatment and their interactions at 0.05 level of significance.

#### 4. RESEARCH ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

### 4.1 Percentage Moisture Content of *Pterygota macrocarpa*

The mean values of percentage moisture content of *Pterygota macrocarpa* ranged from 12.75% to 58.69% (Fig. 1). The base has the lowest moisture content with 12.75% and 42.27% for the inner and outer portions respectively. The middle has percentage moisture content of 14.79% for the inner portion and 45.08% for the outer portion while the top position of the tree has the highest moisture content with 36.67 and 58.69% for the inner and outer portions respectively.

#### 4.2 The Density of *Pterygota macrocarpa*

The mean values of density for *Pterygota* macrocarpa in the longitudinal and transverse direction ranged from  $464.55\pm56.27$  kg/m<sup>3</sup> to  $625.92\pm74.45$  kg/m<sup>3</sup> with wood samples from the base (inner portion) having the highest density value of  $625.92\pm74.45$  kg/m<sup>3</sup> while the top (outer portion) has the lowest density value with  $464.55\pm56.27$  kg/m<sup>3</sup> (Fig. 2).

# 4.3 Weight Percentage Gain (WPG) of *Pterygota macrocarpa* Due to Furfurylation

The mean values of weight percent gain as a result of furfurylation is presented in Fig. 3 which shows that the mean values for WPG due to furfurylation ranged from 8.65 to 15.34%. The highest mean value of WPG with 15.34% was achieved with treatment 4 (FA with 4% of TA) at the inner portion of the base of the tree while the

lowest mean value of WPG due to furfurylation with 8.65% was obtained with treatment 1 (FA

with 2% of MA) (FA with 2% of MA) at the inner portion of the top of the tree.



Fig. 1. Moisture content of Pterygota macrocarpa in the longitudinal and radial directions



Fig. 2. Density of Pterygota macrocarpa wood longitudinally and radially

Table 3. Analy	sis of variance	of WPG, DI, I	BE, MOE,	MOR and	compression
----------------	-----------------	---------------	----------	---------	-------------

Sources of variation	df	F-values					
		WPG	DI	BE	MOE	MOR	Compression
Treatment	3	6.331*	1.851 <sup>ns</sup>	1.719 <sup>ns</sup>	9.545*	8.543*	4.740*
Longitudinal direction	2	1.971 <sup>ns</sup>	2.170 <sup>ns</sup>	6.555*	5.054*	1.983 <sup>ns</sup>	3.630*
Radial direction	1	0.191 <sup>ns</sup>	0.725 <sup>ns</sup>	2.079 <sup>ns</sup>	0.858 <sup>ns</sup>	0.991 <sup>ns</sup>	3.316 <sup>ns</sup>
Treatment * Longitudinal	6	2.842*	4.454*	3.526*	0.093 <sup>ns</sup>	0.152 <sup>ns</sup>	0.186 <sup>ns</sup>
direction							
Treatment * Radial direction	3	1.801 <sup>ns</sup>	6.394*	1.943 <sup>ns</sup>	0.014 <sup>ns</sup>	0.019 <sup>ns</sup>	0.110 <sup>ns</sup>
Longitudinal direction *	2	2.555 <sup>ns</sup>	0.055 <sup>ns</sup>	1.630 <sup>ns</sup>	0.015 <sup>ns</sup>	0.098 <sup>ns</sup>	0.112 <sup>ns</sup>
Radial position							
Treatment * Longitudinal	6	1.779 <sup>ns</sup>	0.971 <sup>ns</sup>	1.167 <sup>ns</sup>	0.036 <sup>ns</sup>	0.005 <sup>ns</sup>	0.077 <sup>ns</sup>
direction * Radial direction							
Error	120						
Total	143						
*Significant (P = 0.05) <sup>ns</sup> not significant (p> 0.05)							



Fig. 3. Mean values for weight percent gain of Pterygota macrocarpa due to furfurylation



Fig. 4. Mean table for density increment of Pterygota macrocarpa due to furfurylation

The Analysis of Variance (Table 3) for the weight percent gain due to furfurylation showed that there was significant difference at P = 0.05 in the treatments and the interaction between the treatment and the longitudinal direction.

# 4.4 Density Increment (DI) of *Pterygota macrocarpa* Due to Furfurylation

The mean values for the density increment of *Pterygota macrocarpa* ranged from 1.49 to 4.94%. Treatment 4 (FA with 4% of TA) has the

highest density increment with 4.94% at the outer portion of the top position of the tree while Treatment 2 (FA with 4% of MA) has the lowest density increment with 1.49% at the outer portion of the top position of the tree. These were presented in Fig. 4.

The Analysis of Variance for the density increment due to furfurylation presented in Table 3 revealed that there was no significance difference at P = 0.05 in the treatment, longitudinal direction, radial direction, interaction between the longitudinal and radial position as

well as the interaction between the treatment, the longitudinal and radial position.

# 4.5 Bulking Efficiency (BE) of Furfurylated *Pterygota macrocarpa*

The mean values for bulking coefficient of furfurylated wood presented in Fig. 5 revealed that the effect of furfurylation on bulking coefficient on *Pterygota macrocarpa* wood varied among the treatments which ranged from 5.70% to 15.26%. Treatment 1 (FA with 2% of MA) at the top inner has the lowest bulking coefficient with 5.70% while treatment 4 (FA with 4% of TA) at the Middle inner has the highest bulking coefficient with 11.62%.

The Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for the Bulking Efficiency presented in Table 3 revealed that there was significant difference at P = 0.05 in the longitudinal direction, and the interaction between the treatment and longitudinal direction.

# 4.6 Volumetric Swelling (VS) of Treated and Untreated *Pterygota macrocarpa*

The mean values for volumetric swelling of untreated and treated *Pterygota macrocarpa* wood are illustrated in Fig. 6. It was observed that volumetric swelling for *Pterygota macrocarpa* wood varied with treatment, wood position, and time.

At the Top, for 24, 48 and 72 hours, treatment 2 (FA with 4% of MA) has the highest average

volumetric swelling with 20.79%. At the middle, for 24, 48 and 72 hours, treatment 1 (FA with 2% of MA) has the height volumetric swelling with 12.92%, and at the Base, treatment 2 (FA with 4% of MA) has the highest average volumetric swelling with 9.74%.

The Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for volumetric swelling presented in Table 4 revealed that at P = 0.05, there was significant difference in the longitudinal direction, radial direction, soaking time, the interaction between treatment and longitudinal direction, interaction between the longitudinal direction and radial position as well as the interaction between the treatment, longitudinal direction and radial position.

# 4.7 Anti-Swelling Efficiency (ASE) of Treated and Untreated *Pterygota macrocarpa*

The mean values for anti-swelling efficiency for fufurylated Pterygota macrocarpa wood illustrated in Fig. 7 showed that the mean values for ASE varied among the treatments, the stem positions and time. For Top Outer, Treatment 4 (FA with 4% of TA) has the highest average ASE values for 24, 48 and 72 hours with 87.18%, for the Middle, Treatment 2 (FA with 4% of MA) has the highest average ASE values for 24, 48 and 72 hours with 78.40% while at the base, Treatment 3 (FA with 2% TA) has the highest average ASE values for 24, 48 and 72 hours with 87.18%.



Fig. 5. Mean table for bulking coefficient of furfurylated Pterygota macrocarpa wood



Mayowa et al.; J. Mater. Sci. Res. Rev., vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 6-23, 2023; Article no.JMSRR.95659

Fig. 6. Mean values for volumetric swelling of treated and untreated Pterygota macrocarpa wood at 24, 48, and 72 hours



Mayowa et al.; J. Mater. Sci. Res. Rev., vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 6-23, 2023; Article no.JMSRR.95659

Fig. 7. Mean values for anti-swelling efficiency for furfurylated Pterygota macrocarpa wood

Sources of variation	df	F-values	
		VS	ASE
Treatment	4	1.116 <sup>ns</sup>	13.4208*
Longitudinal direction	2	9.980*	2.897 <sup>ns</sup>
Radial direction	1	96.974*	1.235 <sup>ns</sup>
Soaking Time	2	79.208*	6.852*
Treatment * Longitudinal direction	8	2.517*	2.219*
Treatment * Radial direction	4	1.913 <sup>ns</sup>	2.074 <sup>ns</sup>
Treatment * Soaking Time	8	0.179 <sup>ns</sup>	0.440 <sup>ns</sup>
Longitudinal direction * Radial direction	2	34.238*	1.898 <sup>ns</sup>
Longitudinal direction * Soaking Time	4	0.234 <sup>ns</sup>	0.189 <sup>ns</sup>
Radial direction * Soaking Time	2	0.669 <sup>ns</sup>	0.031 <sup>ns</sup>
Treatment * Longitudinal direction * Radial direction	8	2.694*	0.871 <sup>ns</sup>
Treatment * Longitudinal direction * Soaking Time	16	0.076 <sup>ns</sup>	0.066 <sup>ns</sup>
Treatment * Radial direction * Soaking Time	8	0.066 <sup>ns</sup>	0.391 <sup>ns</sup>
Longitudinal direction * Radial direction * Soaking Time	4	0.041 <sup>ns</sup>	0.092 <sup>ns</sup>
Treatment * Longitudinal direction * Radial direction * Soaking Time	16	0.182 <sup>ns</sup>	0.116 <sup>ns</sup>
Error	450		
Total	539		

Table 4. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for Mean values of volumetric swelling and antiswelling efficiency of treated and untreated *Pterygota macrocarpa* wood







The Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for antiswelling efficiency presented in Table 4 revealed that there was significant difference at P = 0.05in the treatments, soaking time and the interaction between the treatment and longitudinal direction.

# 4.8 Modulus of Elasticity (MOE) of Treated and Untreated *Pterygota macrocarpa*

The mean values for the modulus of elasticity (MOE) ranged from 2098.27 to 3372.64  $\rm N/mm^2$ 

(Fig. 8). The control of untreated samples has the lowest MOE at all the stem height position. At the base position of the tree, treatment 4 (FA with 4% of TA) has the highest MOE with 3372.64 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, while treatment 1 has the lowest MOE with 2558.34 N/mm<sup>2</sup>. At the middle position of the tree, treatment 4 (FA with 4% of TA) has the highest MOE with 3104.65 N/mm<sup>2</sup> also, treatment 4 (FA with 4% of TA) has the highest MOE with 3005.56 N/mm<sup>2</sup>. Finally, at the top position of the tree, treatment 4 (FA with 4% of TA) has the highest MOE with 2933.11 N/mm<sup>2</sup> and 2822.03 N/mm<sup>2</sup> for the inner and outer portion respectively.

The Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) presented in Table 2 showed that there was significant difference at P = 0.05 in the treatment and the longitudinal direction. It further revealed that there was no significant difference in the radial position, the interaction between the treatment and longitudinal direction, the interaction between treatment and radial direction, the interaction between the longitudinal and radial direction as well as the interaction between the treatment, longitudinal and radial direction.

# 4.9 Modulus of Rupture (MOR) of Untreated and Treated *Pterygota macrocarpa*

The mean values of Modulus of Rupture (MOR) ranged from 44.82 to 64.53 N/mm<sup>2</sup>. The untreated wood samples (control) from the all the stem height positions have lower MOR compared to the treated samples. At the top, middle and base position, Treatment 4 (FA with 4% of TA) has the highest MOR with 60.48 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, 62.51 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, and 64.53 N/mm<sup>2</sup> respectively (Fig. 9).

The Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) for the Modulus of Rupture as presented in Table 2 revealed that there was significant difference at P = 0.05 in the treatment while there was no significant difference in the longitudinal direction and radial direction.

# 4.10 Compression Parallel to Grain of Treated and Untreated *Pterygota macrocarpa*

The mean values for compression parallel to grain for the treated and untreated *Pterygota macrocarpa* ranged from 25.83 to 38.89 N/mm<sup>2</sup>. The untreated samples have the lowest compressive value at all the stem height positions. For the base position, the inner portion with treatment 2 (FA with 4% of MA) has the highest compressive strength with 38.89 and treatment 4 (FA with 4% of TA) has the highest compression value with 36.32 N/mm<sup>2</sup> at the outer portion.

Furthermore, at the middle position of the tree, the inner portion of the wood with treatment 2 (FA with 4% of MA) has the highest compressive strength with 37.50 N/mm<sup>2</sup> while at the outer portion, treatment 4 (FA with 4% of TA) has the highest compression value with 36.31 N/mm<sup>2</sup>. Lastly, at the top position of the tree, treatment 4 (FA with 4% of TA) has the highest value at the inner and outer portion with 36.21 N/mm<sup>2</sup> and 33.54 N/mm<sup>2</sup> respectively (Fig. 10).

The Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the compression parallel to grain revealed that there was significant difference at P = 0.05 in the treatment and the longitudinal position. It also showed that there was no significant difference in the radial direction. These were presented in Table 2.



Fig. 9. Modulus of Rupture (MOR) for the treated and untreated Pterygota macrocarpa





Fig. 10. Compression parallel to grain for the treated and untreated *Pterygota macrocarpa* wood

# 5. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

# 5.1 Moisture Content Variations in *Pterygota macrocarpa* Wood

Wood is hygroscopic in nature, that is, it has the affinity to absorbs water and release moisture until it reaches equilibrium with its surrounding environment. Water gets into wood in three ways: as a fluid through the cell lumens through capillary tension, as vapour through the cell lumens, and as molecular diffusion through the cell walls. In normal use, the moisture content of wood varies between 8% and 25% by weight, depending on the relative humidity of the air [15].

An excess of moisture in wood can cause problems in application such as preventing adhesives from making a secure bond, promoting the growth of mold in wood and shrinkage as the excess moisture leaves the wood [16]. The moisture content of *Pterygota macrocarpa* wood varied from top to the base and from the outer portion to the inner portion. At the longitudinal direction of the tree, the top has the highest percentage moisture while the middle has the medium average moisture content, and the base has the lowest average percentage moisture content.

Radially, the outer portion has the highest moisture content when compared with the moisture content at the inner position. The result of this study agrees with the work of Nageeb [17], which state that there are variations in percentage moisture content of wood samples.

# 5.2 Variation in Density of *Pterygota macrocarpa* Wood

Wood density is considered as an important criterion of quality because of its high correlation to other physical properties, mechanical strength and performance in use [18]. Wood density is determined by multiple growth and physiological factors compounded into fairly easily measured wood characteristic. Variation in wood density in individual trees is often as great as that between different trees [19].

There are variations in the density of *Pterygota* macrocarpa wood from the base to the top, and from inner to outer. The density variation is high at the base, medium at the middle and low at the top, while the density variation is low at the outer portion and high at the inner portion of the wood. In summary, Pterygota macrocarpa wood has average density value of 547.32 kg/m<sup>3</sup> which fall within the wood density classification of medium density according to density classification of Owoyemi [20]. The density values from this study corroborates with the research of Peter [21], and Owovemi [22], where the values of wood density obtained for Pterygota macrocarpa falls within medium density classification. Wood within medium to high density classification is mostly used for lumber, plywood, furniture and finger jointed products [21].

### 5.3 Effect of Furfurylation on Weight Percent Gain (WPG) of *Pterygota macrocarpa* Wood

WPG was to determine if a chemical load or chemical bond has been formed with the wood cell wall polymer [10]. WPG was achieved due to the fact that furfurylation bulked the wood as a result of the grafting of the furfuryl alcohol polymers with the lignin polymers [13]. WPG varied with treatments and stem height positions indicates that irrespective of the which percentage of catalyst used and the location of the Pterygota macrocarpa wood, furfurylation increase the WPG of the wood. However, furfurylation with the inclusion of tartaric acid has higher WPG when compared to maleic anhydride. The result from this study implies that tartaric acid can be used as maleic anhydride which is currently widely acceptable.

The result of WPG corroborated with the work of Prabu [23], where it was stated that furfurylation catalysed with tartaric acid performed excellently on WPG of beech wood. It is noteworthy that the highest percentage of catalyst (4%) has a higher WPG for most of the position of the wood (longitudinally and radially). For an effective performance, there should be inclusion of higher concentration of catalyst during furfurylation process.

In summary, modification of wood through furfurylation has considerable WPG on *Pterygota macrocarpa* wood. The result of WPG correlates with the works of Bartkowiak [13], Wanju [8], and Monika [24] where they affirmed that furfurylation led to WPG of wood as a result of cell wall bulking.

# 5.4 Effect of Furfurylation on Density Increment (DI) of *Pterygota macrocarpa* Wood

Increase in density was determined to know if modification of wood using furfuryl alcohol contributes to density increase of Pterygota macrocarpa wood. It was noted that there was no significant difference in all the treatments which indicates that irrespective of the concentration of catalyst and the type of catalyst used, furfurylation results in DI of Ptervgota macrocarpa wood. It was observed that DI is greater at the outer portion when compared to the inner portion. The observation may be due to the lower density of the wood at the outer portion which leads to considerable increment in its density after furfurylation.

DI is higher at the top and middle of the tree than the base, as a result of variations in the density of the wood longitudinally. The density at the top and middle is lower than the density at the base which leads to considerable increment at the top and the middle portion of the wood. Increment in density shows that there is some increase in cell wall volume leading to wood swelling [12]. The result of DI agrees with the work of Epmeier [25] and Bruno [12] which affirmed that furfurylation contributes to density increment of wood.

# 5.5 Effect of Furfurylation on Bulking Coefficient (BC) of *Pterygota macrocarpa* Wood

Furfurylation tends to permanently increase the volume of the wood i.e. furfurylation bulked the cell wall of the wood causing a permanent swelling which in turn reduce the swelling and shrinkage of wood. Furfurylation leads to the bulking of *Pterygota macrocarpa* wood which varied among the treatments and the positions in the wood. Furfurylation with the inclusion of tartaric acid has higher bulking coefficient at almost all the stem height positions.

It was observed that higher concentration of tartaric acid (treatment 4 with 4% tartaric acid) has higher bulking coefficient of *Pterygota macrocarpa* wood when compared to maleic anhydride which implies that furfurylation process with inclusion of higher level of catalyst has an increasing bulking coefficient. The result of BC was in line with the work of Youming [13] where it was reported that there was increase in bulking coefficient of furfurylated eucalyptus wood.

Furthermore, Bartkowiak [11] reported that furfurylation catalysed by maleic anhydride influenced the bulking coeffient pine wood. Polymerization between furfuryl alcohol molecules and wood polymers can cause wood to permanently swell (bulking), thereby increasing the dimensional stability of the wood [26].

### 5.6 Dimensional Stability of Furfurylated *Pterygota macrocarpa* Wood

Furfurylation contributes to the dimensional stability of *Pterygota macrocarpa* wood by the reduction in the sorption site thereby increasing the anti-swelling efficiency of the wood. The

volumetric swelling of furfurylated wood after 24 hours, 48 hours and 72 hours of immersion in water has higher percentage compared to the untreated samples for almost all the stem height positions which can be attributed to the fact that furfurylation has bulked the cell wall of the wood (causing the wood to permanently swell) which in turn increases the volume of the wood even before soaking in water.

The Anti-swelling efficiency (ASE) measures the resistant of furfurylated wood to water absorption. The mean values for the ASE revealed that furfurylation greatly influence the ASE of Pterygota macrocarpa wood with all the treatments (i.e., for maleic anhydride and tartaric acid). All samples showed increased ASE values for all the samples. It was observed that higher level of concentration (4%) of each catalyst (maleic anhydride and tartaric acid) has higher anti-swelling efficiency when compared to lower level of concentration (2% catalyst). Also, it was noted that treatment 4 (FA with 4% of TA) has higher ASE values for all the positions on longitudinal and radial directions, except for outer portion of the base after 48 hours and 72 hours.

The ASE was related to the increased polymer filling as indicated by the increment in Weight Percent Gain (WPG). A higher density increment (DI) value indicates higher cell wall bulking and increased cell lumens, which form a barrier and reduce water absorption, resulting in enhanced dimensional stability. The result of ASE corroborated with the works of Lande [27] and Yourming [13] where it was affirmed that modification of wood using furfuryl alcohol showed distinct increment in anti-swelling efficient of wood.

# 5.7 Modulus of Elasticity (MOE)

Modulus of elasticity (MOE) measures a wood's stiffness. Technically, it's a measure of the ratio of stress placed upon the wood compared to the strain (deformation) that wood exhibits along its length. The mean values for MOE revealed that furfurylation catalysed with maleic anhydride and tartaric acid improves the modulus of elasticity (MOE) irrespective of the stem height position of *Pterygota macrocarpa* wood. For both maleic anhydride and tartaric acid, higher level (2%) of catalyst has an increased modulus of elasticity when compared to low level of catalyst (2%).

Furfurvlation with the inclusion of tartaric acid i.e., treatment 3 (FA with 2% TA) and treatment 4 (FA with 4% TA), has the highest value for MOE when compared with maleic anhydride. The process indicates that furfurylation result acid have with tartaric catalysed better the MOE performance in of Ptervgota macrocarpa wood. It was noted that MOE for the treated samples is higher at the base position compared to the top and middle. The result can be attributed to the concentration of lignin at the base of the wood and furfuryl alcohol polymers reacts with lignin polymers which indicated that furfurylation reaction is more concentrated towards the base of the wood thereby causing the wood fibre to become stiffer and adding more strength to the wood which gives a rise in the modulus of elasticity. The result of MOE is similar to the work of Prabu [23] where there was significant increment in MOE of beech wood after furfurylation catalysed with maleic anhydride, citric acid, and itaconic.

The result was confirmed by Lande [27] where it was stated that the permanent bulking and grafting of furfuryl alcohol polymer to the cell structure affect the stiffness and strength of the wood. However, the result is contrary to the research of Pfriem [28] where it was deduced that there was reduction in MOE in furfurylated beech wood catalysed by maleic anhydride.

# 5.8 Modulus of Rupture (MOR)

Modulus of rupture (MOR) is the stress in a material just before it yields in a flexure test. It is a measure of a specimen's strength before rupture. Furfurvlation increases the MOR of Pterygota macrocarpa wood with all the levels of catalyst and the type of catalyst when compared with the untreated wood samples. The observation is as a result of the grafting of furfuryl alcohol polymers with the lignin polymer which increases the stiffness/stress of the wood samples. Furfurylation with the inclusion of tartaric acid performed better than maleic anhydride, also, there was an increase in modulus of rupture (MOR) with a higher level of concentration (4%) when compared with lower level of concentration (2%). The mean values for MOR showed that high level of tartaric acid (i.e., treatment 4 (FA with 4% of TA) has a significant increment in MOR in all the positions in the Pterygota macrocarpa wood when compared to the other treatment.

Although there was increment in MOR with the other treatments (1, 2 and 3), but they are not significant as treatment 4 (FA with 4% of TA). The result of MOE correlates with the work of Waniu [8] where it was confirmed that inclusion of higher percentage of catalyst in the process increase Modulus furfurylation of Rupture (MOR) of Masson pine. Epmeier [25] [29], who also observed a and slight improvement of MOE and MOR for furfurylated Pinus sylvestris and Pinus pinaster relative to untreated wood.

# 5.9 Effect of Furfurylation on Compression Parallel to Grain of *Pterygota macrocarpa* Wood

Compressive strength is an important index for wood's mechanical properties. Furfurylation has effect on the compression parallel to grain of Pterygota macrocarpa wood. The mean value for compression shows that in relation to the untreated wood, all the treatments significantly increase the compression parallel to grain of Pterygota macrocarpa wood. For the two types of catalyst (maleic anhydride and tartaric acid), it observed that the higher level was of concentration for maleic anhydride and tartaric acid (4%) has the higher value when compared to the low level of concentration (2%). It was observed that treatment 4 (FA with 4% of TA) has the highest values of compression at almost all the positions of the tree.

It was observed that there is higher resistance to compression at the base of the wood when compared with top and middle. The observation is because of the reaction of furfuryl polymer with lignin polymer at the base because lignin is more concentrated at the base of the wood [30]. The aforementioned results in an increased stiffness of the wood samples caused by the polymer fillings of the furfuryl alcohol in the cell wall, which cause bulking (swelling) of the wood as well as the density increment.

The result of compression parallel to grain is similar with the work of Wanju [8] and Yao [30] where it was reported that furfurylation increases the compressive strength of Masson pine and Chinese fir wood respectively. The result also correlates with the work of Abdolzadeh [31] where it was reported that furfurylation contributes to the compressive strength of wood which leads to an improvement of the joint tension performance.

# 6. RECOMMENDATIONS

# 6.1 Recommendations for the Study

Furfurvlation process improved the mechanical properties of Pterygota macrocarpa wood. It was observed that tartaric acid with 4% concentration greatly contributes to the Modulus of Elasticity (MOE), Modulus of Rupture (MOR) and compression parallel to grain of Pterygota macrocarpa wood. Furthermore, furylation improved the physical properties of Pterygota macrocarpa wood by causing cell wall bulking. The two levels (2% and 4%) of catalyst can be used in the furfurylation process, however, for effective performance, higher level (%) of catalyst can be used. The inclusion of tartaric process acid furfurylation performed in excellently. This indicates that tartaric acid can likewise be used in the furfurylation process as maleic anhydride which is currently widely accepted. Furfurylated Pterygota macrocarpa wood can be suited for applications like decking because of its improved properties.

# 6.2 Recommendations for the Future Researchers

Further investigation into other methods to improve the reactivity of the furfurylation process should be carried out. Properties of various furfurlytated softwood and hardwood species should be investigated. This will provide more data and basis for comparison.

# **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# REFERENCES

- Ban Ki M. Importance of wood. Just Forests. Ringfort Workshop, Rathcobican, Rhode, Offaly, Ireland; 2012. Available:http://www.justforests.org/publicprocurement-matters/importance-of-wood Accessed on 19-09-2019.
- 2. Adhikari S, Ozarska B. Minimizing environmental impacts of timber products through the production process "from sawmill to final products. Environmental Systems Research. 2018;7(6):1-15. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1186/s40068-018-0109-x

- Ekundayo OO, Arum C, Owoyemi JM. Forest product industry and engineered wood products: The Nigerian experience. Journal of Applied Science and Environmental Management. 2021;25(1): 99-107.
- 4. Ayarkwa J. The influence of site and axial position in the tree on the density and strength properties of the wood of *Pterygota macrocarpa* K. Schum. Ghana Journal of Forestry. 1998;6:34-41.
- The Wood Database. Koto (*Pterygota* macrocarpa). Accessed on 27 December 2022. Available:https://www.wooddatabase.com/koto/
- Christian A, George A, Vivian E, Francis A, Kwesi B, Louis A. Antimicrobial and antiinflammatory activities of *Pterygota macrocarpa* and *Cola gigantean* (Sterculiaceae). An article in Evidencebased Complementary and Alternative Medicine (5073); 2012. DOI: 10.1155/2012/902394
- Dick S, Abdreja K, George M. Wood modification technologies – a review. Biogeosciences and Forestry. 2017;10: 895-908.
- Wanju L, Hankun W, Dan R, YunShui Y, Yan Y. Wood modification with furfuryl alcohol catalysed by a new composite acidic catalyst. Wood Science Technology. 2015;49:845-856.
- 9. American Society for Testing Materials (ASTM) D4052-16. Standard test method for density, relative density, and API gravity of liquids by digital density meter, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA; 2016.

Available:www.astm.org.

- 10. Hill CAS. Wood modification: Chemical, thermal and pther Processes. Wiley Series in Renewable Resource, Wiley and Sons Eds Chichester Sussex UK. 2006;1:221-239.
- Bartkowiak M, Doczekalska, B, Strzelecki, S. Modification of wood with furfuryl alcohol catalysed by a mixture of acid anhydrides. Annals of Warsaw University of Life Sciences – SGGW. Forestry and Wood Technology. 2015;92:26-29.
- 12. Bruno E, Lina N, Helena P. Properties of furfurylated wood (*Pinus pinaster*). European Journal of Wood Products. 2011;69: 521-525.
- 13. Youming D, Yinluan Q, Kaili W, Shuangbao Z, Jianzhang L, Shifeng Z.

Assessment of the performance of furfurylated wood and acetylated wood: comparison among four fast-growing wood species. BioResources. 2016;11(2):3679-3690.

- American Society for Testing Materials (ASTM) D143-09. Standard methods for testing small clear specimens of timber. Annual Book of ASTM Standards, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, USA; 2009.
- Tom L. What does moisture content in wood mean? Delmhorst Instrument Co; 2018.
   Assessed on 26th December 2018.
   Available:https://www.delmhorst.com/blog/

what-does-moisture-content-in-wood-mean

- Denig J, Wengert EM, Simpson WT. Drying hardwood lumber. Gen. Tech. Rep. FPL–GTR–118. Madison, WI: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service. Forest Products Laboratory. 2000;4:131-138.
- Nageeb AA, Dev-Prasad AG. Variation in wood specific gravity, density and moisture content of *Dipterocarpus indicus* (Bedd). among different populations in western ghats of Karnataka, India. International Journal of Applied Agricultural Research. 2010;5:583–599.
- Sofia K, Jose L, Sofia L, Helena P. Withintree and between-tree variation of wood density components in cork oak trees in two sites in Portugal. International Journal of Forest Research. 2008;81(4):465-473.
- 19. Ramananantoandro T, Ramanakoto MF, Rajoelison GL, Randriamboavonjy JC, Rafidimanantsoa HP. Influence of tree species, tree diameter and soil types on wood density and its radial variation in a mid-altitude rainforest in Madagascar. Annals of Forest Science. 2016;73:1113-1124.
- Owoyemi JM, Olaniran OS. Natural resistance of ten selected Nigerian wood species to subterranean termites' attack. International Journal of Biological Sciences and Applications. 2014;1(2):35-39.
- Peter KD, Martin A, Kwasi FM, Sheldon QS. Comparison of density and selected microscopic characteristics of stem and branch wood of two commercial trees in ghana. Wood Science Technology. 2015;10:91-104.
- 22. Owoyemi J.M, Eniabiire OM, Emmanuel UO, Fuwape, JA. Efficacy of commonly used wood preservatives against

subterranean termites in Akungba-Akoko, Ondo State, Nigeria. Journal of Research in Forestry, Wildlife and Environment. 2020;2(1):111-121.

- Prabu SS, Aurelia I, Christine G, Stephane D, Emmanuel F, Eric M, Dodi N, Trisna P, Pillipe G. Tartaric acid catalyzed furfurylation of beech wood. Wood Science Technology. 2017;51:379-394.
- 24. Monika B, Beata D, Elzbieta K. Modification of alder wood with furfuryl alcohol. Annals Warsaw University of Life Sciences-SGGW. Forestry and Wood Technology. 2015;82:57-60.
- Epmeier H, Johansson M, Kliger R, Westin M. bending creep performance of modified timber. HolzRoh-Werkst. 2007;65:343–351.
- 26. Kocaefe D, Huang X, Kocaefe Y. Dimensional stabilization of wood. Curr Forestry Rep. 2015;1:151–161.
- 27. Lande S, Westin M, Schneider MH. Properties of furfurylated wood.

Scandinavia Journal of Forest Research. 2004;19:22-30.

- 28. Pfriem A, Dietrich T, Buchelt B. Furfuryl alcohol impregnation for improved plasticization and fixation during the densification of wood. Holzforschung. 2012;66:215–218.
- 29. Esteves B, Nunes L, Pereira H. Properties of furfurylated wood (*Pinus pinaster*). European Journal of Wood Products. 2011;69(4):521–525.
- Yao M, Yang Y, Song J, Yu Y, Jin Y. Melamine formaldehyde modified furfurylation to improve chinese fir's dimensional stability and mechanical properties. Bio Resources. 2017;12(2): 3057-3066.
- Abdolzadeh H, 31. Layeghi M, Ebrahimi G, Ghassemie M. Study of stress capacity improvement of I-type ioint by chemical modification of wood. Bio Resources. 2014:9(3):5302-5310.

© 2023 Mayowa et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/95659