



# Impact of Landslides on Physicochemical and Biological Properties of Western Ghats Soils

Asif Waratadar <sup>a\*</sup>, P. Jones Nirmalnath <sup>a</sup>, Vithal Navi <sup>a</sup>,  
M. S. Shirahatti <sup>b</sup> and Vittal B. Kuligod <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Agricultural Microbiology, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad-580005, Karnataka, India.

<sup>b</sup> Regional Agricultural Research Station Vijayapura, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad-580005, Karnataka, India.

<sup>c</sup> Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad-580005, Karnataka, India.

## Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i242650

## Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/95201>

**Original Research Article**

**Received: 19/10/2022**

**Accepted: 28/12/2022**

**Published: 28/12/2022**

## ABSTRACT

Landslides cause major loss of natural forest ecosystem and it is negatively impact on forest land by depleting soil biological, physical and chemical properties to reduce crop productivity. The present study was conducted to assess the impact of landslides on soil quality of central Western Ghats regions of Karnataka. Soil samples were collected from Adlur, Kavalalli, Dongri, Artibail and Mastikatta to study the variation of soil biological physical and chemical properties in eroded and pristine soils. The results of the present investigation has revealed that the soil physicochemical properties such as water stable aggregates (60%), organic carbon (1.23%), organic matter (2.12%) and available N, P and K (323.41, 18.23 and 328.71 kg/ha respectively) were found to be higher in

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: asif.awaratadar@gmail.com;

pristine ecosystem compared to eroded soils. Furthermore, soil biological properties like microbial biomass carbon, glomalin content and mycorrhizal spores and soil enzyme activity were found to be greater extent in the pristine ecosystem compared to eroded soils. The study highlights the impact of landslides on soil physicochemical and biological properties such that suitable remedies can be undertaken in order to prevent soil loss such that soil biological properties can be maintained which is pre-requisite for plant growth and development.

*Keywords: Western Ghats; landslides; physicochemical; biological properties.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The central Western Ghats are well known for their abundant and unique diversity of micro flora and fauna. Recently due to the Climatic changes the central Western Ghats soils are affected from the landslides which directly and indirectly affect the growth and productivity of forests [1,2] which alter soil properties primarily by exposing parent material (C horizon) by removing the upper fertile organic layer which is carried slope lands. Variations in soil characteristics may include degradation of its structure, loss of nutrients and fertility, loss of organic matter, compaction, erosion of soil particles and distracted effete on soil microbial properties [3].

Physicochemical characteristics of forest soils vary spatially and temporally due to variations in elevation, topography, climate, physical weathering processes, vegetation cover, microbial activities, and several other biotic and abiotic variables [4,5]. Organic matter, being one of the most important constituents of soil, supplies energy and cell building constituents for most microorganisms and is a critical factor that enhances soil fertility. Soil quality relates the ability of soils to function effectively as a component of a healthy system (Schoenholtz et al., 2005).

However, the impact of decreasing the soil biological, physical and chemical properties which has been altering the soil properties and it has not been carried out so far (Saravanan et al., 2013). Keeping the above points in view the present study was aimed to characterize the variation of the soil physicochemical and biological properties due to landslides compare to pristine soils of central Western Ghats of Karnataka region.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Study Area

The study area is a central part of Western Ghats of Karnataka, India, spreads across six places namely Adlur, Kavalalli, Dongri, Artibail,

Mastikatta and Gangavali river. It is located between geographical coordinates 14°68'5950" to 14°89'3557" Latitude 74°46'2812" and 74°65'3665" Longitude.

### 2.2 Soil Sampling

The soil samples were taken after removing the top litter layer (2 cm) and digging out an appropriate amount of soil. The soil samples were collected with three replication from six localities and packed in polythene bags and stored at 4-8°C to sustain the viability of microorganisms.

### 2.3 Mycorrhizal Parameters

The chlamydospores in rhizosphere of host plant were determined by wet sieving and decantation method as outlined by Gerdemann and Nicholson [6]. Spores counts were taken under a stereo zoom microscope. Mycorrhizal root colonization was determined as per the procedure proposed by Phillips and Hayman [7]. The percentage of roots colonized by mycorrhizae was calculated by the formula.

### 2.4 Glomalin Extractions and Quantifications from Different Species of AM Fungi

Extraction of glomalin from AM fungal mycelium was performed using the procedure of Wright and Upadhyaya [8]. Protein was determined by the Bradford dye-binding assay with bovine serum albumin as the standard [9].

### 2.5 Microbial Biomass Carbon

Microbial biomass carbon was estimated chloroform fumigation method given by [10].

### 2.6 Soil Enzymes Activity

#### 2.6.1 Estimation of dehydrogenase activity

Dehydrogenase activity in the soil samples were determined by following the procedure as described by Casida et al. [11].

### 2.6.2 Estimation of phosphatase activity

Phosphatase activity of soil samples were determined by following the procedure of Evazi and Tabatabai [12].

### 2.6.3 Estimation of urease activity

Urease activity of soil samples was determined by following the procedure of Tabatabai and Bremner [13].

### 2.6.4 Soil physico-chemical parameter

The soil physico-chemical parameters analysis was done by following procedure Water stable aggregate analysis [14] Soil P<sup>H</sup> [15] Electrical conductivity [15] Organic carbon (%) [15].

## 2.7 Chemical Analysis of Soil Sample

### 2.7.1 Estimation of nitrogen

The total nitrogen content in the soil was estimated following the microkjeldahl method as outlined by Jackson [16].

### 2.7.2 Estimation of phosphorus

Phosphorus was estimated by vanadomolybdate phosphoric yellow colour method [16].

### 2.7.3 Estimation of potassium

Potassium in the aliquot was estimated with the help of flame photometer after appropriate dilution [17].

### 2.7.4 Statistical analysis and data interpretation

The data collected at different locations were subjected to statistical analysis. Based on mean values obtained, analysis and interpretation of data were studied using one way ANOVA technique as described by Gomez and Gomez [18].

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The comparative analysis of soils at two different ecosystems (pristine and eroded soils) under the influence of landslides was conducted based on soil physicochemical and biochemical properties in this study. The effect of global warming can be reduced by mitigating the issues in the

maintenance of soil organic matter content [19]. Landslides results in serious soil related problems such as original vegetation destruction and rapid reduction of soil quality [20]. Based on survey the suitable sites for soil sampling representing the pristine ecosystem were Dongri, Mastikatta and Gangavali river site and eroded regions selected were Adlur, Kavalalli, Dongri and Artibail. The soil pH was found to be acidic less than 7 in all sampling sites of both the ecosystems, the range of pH observed in soils of pristine ecosystem was 5.2 to 6.1, and the range of pH observed in eroded soils/ecosystem was 5.1 to 6.8. The pH of the soils on the landslide determines the intensity of nutrient uptake by the young seedlings (Lasota et al., 2020) and the neutral pH and slightly acidic pH combination will always benefits the plants with adequate amount of nutrient supply [21]. Our study found no significant difference with respect to pH change denoting the capacity of soils still efficient enough to supply required nutrient to plants (Bai et al., 2013). The range of electrical conductivity in pristine ecosystem soils was found to be 68.5-125.6  $\mu\text{S}/\text{m}$  and in the range of 86.6-186.4  $\mu\text{S}/\text{m}$  in case of eroded soils indicating more EC in eroded soils reported by [21]. The percentage of water soluble aggregates different among both the ecosystems but not significantly, the percentage will vary at the greater depths in soil and if the landslides eliminate the soil at deeper depths in case of WSA [22]. The percentage organic carbon content will be affected due to the action of landslides as it disturbs the carbon pool management index [23] as our results showed that increased organic carbon percentage and organic matter percentage in case of pristine ecosystems (1.23 and 2.12%) and less in eroded soils (1.17 and 2.01%) which is the main factor to be consider and effective measures for soil erosion is required for maintaining organic carbon percentage in soil. Our results showed the decrease in amount of nitrogen (N) and phosphorous (P) significantly but potassium (K) content was found to be in par with both the ecosystems. The effect of soil degradation due to landslides results in depletion of phosphorous content considering the sheet and rill erosion 4-19kg per hectare [24]. The studies based on nitrogen and potassium content and its deterioration due to landslides correlated to coal mining aspects which is the artificial manmade landslide action that loosen the soil and major nutrients such as available nitrogen (ammonical form), phosphorous and potassium were found to be significantly lower than undisturbed soils (pristine ecosystems in our study) [25]. Soil

microbial biomass, which can be either a source or sink of available nutrients, plays a critical role in nutrient transformation in terrestrial ecosystems [26]. The range of MBC ( $\mu\text{g}$ ) per gram of soil in pristine ecosystem (394-640)  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  of soil which is higher compared to the soils of degraded ecosystems (232-439)  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  of soil. Based on fumigation extraction method, the analysis showed decreased range of MBC in degraded soils compared to undisturbed soils (Yang et al., 2010). The mycorrhizal spores and glomalin content play an important role in restoration of degraded soils by improving the soil organic matter, reducing the soil fertility loss, microbial activity and reducing the effect of drought and saline stress [27]. Our results showed the increased amount of mycorrhizal spores and glomalin content in case of pristine ecosystems (471 spores (per 50g of soil) and 3.11 mg/g) compared to eroded ecosystems (451 spores (per 50g of soil) and 1.89 mg/g) respectively. The various mechanisms used by mycorrhizae and glomalin in the recovery process of degraded areas confer to provide more importance in monitoring of AMF and glomalin in the soil to identify the stage of soil degradation/recovery [28]. The soil urease and alkaline phosphatase activities in agricultural (slightly degraded) and garden soil (slightly disturbed or cultivated soils) showed the significant decrease of soil urease and phosphatase enzymes [29]. Our results showed minor decreased variations in degraded soils compared to pristine ecosystem soils.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

The comparative analysis of soil samples collected from different regions such as pristine and degraded ecosystems based on physicochemical and biological parameters was the major objective of the study. The loss of uppermost layers of the soil upto certain depth that is considered as habitat for various microorganisms and enriched with organic matter and nutrients in the available form majorly affect the plant growth and development. The landslides results in eroded environment which deteriorates the cultivable ability of soils. Our study showed major differences in organic carbon and organic matter content between pristine and degraded ecosystems that may be the result of landslides that shifted the microbial population resulted in decreased microbial biomass carbon. The mycorrhizal population was also found to be decreased that directly proportional to the decrease in glomalin content.

The glomalin is a glycoprotein which facilitates soil aggregation which in-turn contributes to reduce soil loss. The study highlights the importance of mitigation of soil erosion and maintenance of soil microbial population for maintaining the natural ecosystem.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

1. Geertsema M, Highland L, Vaugeouis L. Environmental impact of landslides. In Landslides—Disaster Risk Reduction. Springer. 2009;589–607.
2. Cheng CH, Hsiao SC, Huang YS, Hung CY, Pai CW, Chen CP, Menyailo OV. Landslide-induced changes of soil physicochemical properties in Xitou, Central Taiwan. Geoderma. 2016;265: 187–195.
3. Goyal D, Joshi V, Gupta N, Cabral-Pinto MM. Soil quality assessment in a landslide chronosequence of Indian Himalayan Region. Land. 2022;11(10):1819.
4. Paudel S, Sah, J P. Physicochemical characteristics of soil in Sal (*Shorea robusta* Gaertn.) forest in Eastern Nepal. Himalayan Journal of Sciences. 2003;1(2): 107-110.
5. Sapkota RP, Stahl PD, Rijal K. Physicochemical characteristics of forest soils in Tarai and Siwalik regions of Nepal. Nepal Journal of Environmental Science. 2017;5:27-33.
6. Gerdemann JW, Nicolson TH. Spores of mycorrhizal Endogone species extracted from soil by wet sieving and decanting. Transactions of the British Mycological society. 1963;46(2):235-244.
7. Phillips JM, Hayman DS. Improved procedures for clearing and staining parasites and vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi for rapid assessment of infection. Transactions of the British Mycological society. 1970; 55:158-161.
8. Wright SF, Upadhyaya A. Extraction of an abundant and unusual protein from soil and comparison with hyphal protein of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Soil Science. 1996;161:575-585.
9. Bradford M M. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram

- quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Analytical biochemistry*. 1976;72(1-2):248-254.
10. Alef K, Nannipieri P.  $\beta$ -glucosidase activity. In: Alef, K., Nannipieri, P. (Eds.), *Methods in Applied Soil Microbiology and Biochemistry*. Academic Press. 1995; 350–351.
  11. Casida LE, Klein DA, Santoro T. Soil dehydrogenase activity. *Soil Science*. 1964;98:371-376.
  12. Evazi Z, Tabatabai MA. Phosphatase in soils. *Soil Biological. Biochemical*. 1979; 9:167-172.
  13. Tabatabai MA, Bremner JM. Assay of urease activity in soils. *Soil Biogicall. Biochemical*, 1972;4(4):479-487.
  14. Yoder RE. A direct method of aggregate analysis of soil and a study of the physical nature of soil erosion losses. *Journal of American Society of Agronomy*. 1936;28: 337-351.
  15. Sparks. *Methods of soil analysis part-3: Chemical methods*. Soil Science. Society of America, UAS; 1996.
  16. Jackson ML. *Soil chemical analysis*. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi; 1973.
  17. Tandon HLS. *Methods of analysis of soils, plants, water and fertilizers*. Fertilizer development and consultation organization, New Delhi, India. 1998; 73-78.
  18. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. *Statistical procedures for agricultural research*. John wiley and sons; 1984.
  19. Tang H, Xiao X, Tang W, Li C, Wang K, Li W, Cheng K, Pan X, Long-term effects of NPK fertilizers and organic manures on soil organic carbon and carbon management index under a double-cropping rice system in Southern China. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*. 2018;49(16):1976-1989.
  20. Walker LR, Zarin DJ, Fetcher N, Myster RW, Johnson AH. Ecosystem development and plant succession on landslides in the Caribbean. *Biotropica*. 1996;28:566–576.
  21. Blonska E, Małek S, Januszek K, Barszcz J, Wanic T. Changes in forest soil properties and spruce stands characteristics after dolomite, magnesite and serpentinite fertilization. *European Journal of Forest Research*. 2015;134(6): 981-990.
  22. Gudiyangada NT, Kienberger S, Meena SR, Hölbling D, Blaschke T. Comparison and validation of per-pixel and object-based approaches for landslide susceptibility mapping. *Geomatics, Natural Hazards and Risk*. 2020;11(1):572-600.
  23. Jiang X, Xu D, Rong J, Ai X, Ai S, Su X, Sheng M, Yang S, Zhang J, Ai Y. Landslide and aspect effects on artificial soil organic carbon fractions and the carbon pool management index on road-cut slopes in an alpine region. *Catena*. 2021;199:105094.
  24. Alewell C, Ringeval B, Ballabio C, Robinson D A, Panagos P, Borrelli P. Global phosphorus shortage will be aggravated by soil erosion. *Nature communications*. 2020;11(1):1-12.
  25. Yang D, Zhang Y, Chen X. Effect of coal mining on soil nitrogen distribution in semi-arid mining area of western China. *Journal of Environmental Engineering and Landscape Management*, 2019;27(3): 163-173.
  26. Singh JS, Raghubanshi AS, Singh RS. Microbial biomass acts as a source of plant nutrients in dry tropical forest and savanna. *Nature*. 1989;338:499–500.
  27. Liu H, Wang X, Liang C, Ai Z, Wu Y, Xu H, Xue S, Liu G. Glomalin-related soil protein affects soil aggregation and recovery of soil nutrient following natural revegetation on the Loess Plateau. *Geoderma*. 2020; 357:113921.
  28. Matos S, Silva CFD, Damian JM, Cerri CEP, Pereira MG, Zonta E. Beneficial services of Glomalin and Arbuscular Mycorrhizal fungi in degraded soils in Brazil. *Scientia Agricola*. 2021;79.
  29. Shao Y, Yang K, Jia R, Tian C, Zhu Y. Degradation of triclosan and carbamazepine in two agricultural and garden soils with different textures amended with composted sewage sludge. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2018;15(11): 2557.

## APPENDIX

### Appendix 1

Pristine ecosystem													
	Location	Latitude	Longitude	Altitude	P <sup>H</sup>	EC ( $\mu$ S/m)	WSA (%)	OC (%)	OM (%)	Available nitrogen (kg/ha)	Available phosphorus (kg/ha)	Available potassium (kg/ha)	Available Sulphur (kg/ha)
A	<b>Dongri</b>												
1.	Dongri	14 <sup>0</sup> 68'9045"	74 <sup>0</sup> 52'5544"	79.19	5.22	125.6	61	1.2	2.06	311.20	18.23	328.71	46.87
2.	Dongri	14 <sup>0</sup> 69'4414"	74 <sup>0</sup> 52'2611"	24.96	6.12	52.59	58	1.17	2.01	293.64	15.14	316.20	39.37
B	<b>Mastikatta</b>												
1.	Mastikatta	14 <sup>0</sup> 68'6280"	74 <sup>0</sup> 46'3084"	45.46	5.38	64.2	56	1.19	2.05	323.41	12.47	297.75	38.64
2.	Mastikatta	14 <sup>0</sup> 68'5950'	74 <sup>0</sup> 46'2812"	50.27	6.09	53.64	60	1.2	2.06	301.4	11.37	310.50	37.5
	<b>Gangavali river site</b>												
1	Gangavali river	14 <sup>0</sup> 71'1679"	74 <sup>0</sup> 52'2188"	62.41	5.80	72.4	58	1.08	1.86	261.64	14.02	280.34	39.64
2	Gangavali river	14 <sup>0</sup> 70'9928"	74 <sup>0</sup> 52'1074"	63.46	6.01	68.5	53	1.23	2.12	312.47	14.31	320.71	33.75

**Appendix 2**

Location	Latitude	Longitude	Altitude	Eroded ecosystem									
				P <sup>H</sup>	EC (µS/m)	WSA (%)	OC (%)	OM (%)	Available nitrogen (kg/ha)	Available phosphorus (kg/ha)	Available potassium (kg/ha)	Available Sulphur (kg/ha)	
<b>A Adlur</b>													
1.	Adlur	14 <sup>0</sup> 68'6659"	74 <sup>0</sup> 37'3951"	104.88	6.78	186.4	48	1.08	1.86	267.31	11.32	310.64	36.94
2.	Adlur	14 <sup>0</sup> 68'6486"	74 <sup>0</sup> 37'3896"	110.66	5.99	166.3	53	1.17	2.01	263.39	9.34	298.34	34.35
<b>B Kavalalli</b>													
1.	Kavalalli	14 <sup>0</sup> 71'7125"	74 <sup>0</sup> 51'4696"	65.56	5.81	86.6	58	1.12	1.93	279.34	13.41	308.64	32.45
2.	Kavalalli	14 <sup>0</sup> 71'7141"	74 <sup>0</sup> 51'4738"	64.65	5.10	126.6	61	0.89	1.53	278.34	10.47	304.37	28.64
<b>Dongri</b>													
1	Dongri	14 <sup>0</sup> 69'4977"	74 <sup>0</sup> 50'7887"	46.22	5.47	90.70	47	1.07	1.84	218.34	08.64	298.37	32.66
<b>Artibail</b>													
1	Artibail	14 <sup>0</sup> 89'3557"	74 <sup>0</sup> 65'3665"	77.24	6.02	70.10	51	1.01	1.74	279.36	12.34	316.39	24.37

**Appendix 3**

Location	Latitude	Longitude	Altitude	Eroded ecosystem						
				MBC µg g <sup>-1</sup> of soil	Mycorrhizal spore (50g of soil)	Glomalin content (mg/ g soil)	Dehydrogenase activity (µg TPF formed g <sup>-1</sup> soil d <sup>-1</sup> )	Alkaline Phosphatase activity (µg pnp released g <sup>-1</sup> soil h <sup>-1</sup> )	Urease activity (µg NH <sup>+</sup> N g <sup>-1</sup> soil day <sup>-1</sup> )	
<b>A Adlur</b>										
1.	Adlur	14 <sup>0</sup> 68'6659"	74 <sup>0</sup> 37'3951"	104.88	439	451	2.21	40.12	316.37	20.31
2.	Adlur	14 <sup>0</sup> 68'6486"	74 <sup>0</sup> 37'3896"	110.66	310	366	2.00	30.45	319.35	22.39
<b>B Kavalalli</b>										
1.	Kavalalli	14 <sup>0</sup> 71'7125"	74 <sup>0</sup> 51'4696"	65.56	253	421	1.89	37.52	332.44	20.69
2.	Kavalalli	14 <sup>0</sup> 71'7141"	74 <sup>0</sup> 51'4738"	64.65	291	378	2.18	41.02	294.05	23.43
<b>Dongri</b>										
1	Dongri	14 <sup>0</sup> 69'4977"	74 <sup>0</sup> 50'7887"	46.22	283	401	2.01	38.80	215.18	26.12
<b>Artibail</b>										
1	Artibail	14 <sup>0</sup> 89'3557"	74 <sup>0</sup> 65'3665"	77.24	232	374	1.18	36.87	263.69	22.44

Appendix 4

Pristine ecosystem									
Location	Latitude	Longitude	Altitude	MBC $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ of soil	Mycorrhizal spore (50g of soil)	Glomalin content (mg/ g soil)	Dehydrogenase activity ( $\mu\text{g TPF formed g}^{-1}$ soil $\text{d}^{-1}$ )	Alkaline Phosphatase activity ( $\mu\text{g pnp released g}^{-1}$ soil $\text{h}^{-1}$ )	Urease activity ( $\mu\text{g NH}^+ \text{N g}^{-1}$ soil $\text{day}^{-1}$ )
<b>A Dongri</b>									
1. Dongri	14 <sup>0</sup> 68'9045"	74 <sup>0</sup> 52'5544"	79.19	571	421	3.11	41.45	363.20	23.98
2. Dongri	14 <sup>0</sup> 69'4414"	74 <sup>0</sup> 52'2611"	24.96	434	399	2.99	39.81	312.69	21.46
<b>B Mastikatta</b>									
1. Mastikatta	14 <sup>0</sup> 68'6280"	74 <sup>0</sup> 46'3084"	45.46	481	437	3.01	40.98	331.78	22.78
2. Mastikatta	14 <sup>0</sup> 68'5950'	74 <sup>0</sup> 46'2812"	50.27	640	461	2.73	43.12	329.64	24.39
<b>Gangavali river site</b>									
1 Gangavali river	14 <sup>0</sup> 71'1679"	74 <sup>0</sup> 52'2188"	62.41	421	471	2.97	51.66	371.40	31.69
2 Gangavali river	14 <sup>0</sup> 70'9928"	74 <sup>0</sup> 52'1074"	63.46	394	428	2.33	49.33	361.12	28.4

© 2022 Waratadar et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:  
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/95201>